



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

**WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT
WITHIN WAVE PROTECTED
COASTAL ZONES**

BY

AHMED MAHDY AHMED GAD HASHISH

B.Sc. Civil Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2002

M.Sc. Civil Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2007

A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE PARTIAL FULFILLMENT
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR
OF PHILOSOPHY IN CIVIL ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT OF IRRIGATION AND HYDRAULICS

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Mohamed M. Nour El-Din
Chairman of Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

Dr. Mohamed Seddik Gad El-Rab
Associated Professor
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Hamid Gad
Assistant Professor
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

Dr. Mohamed Khairy Elkamash
Assistant Professor
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University, Faculty of Engineering for the degree of PHD in Civil Engineering.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author and no part of the thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other University or Institution.

The candidate confirms that the work submitted is his own and that appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of others

Date / / 2013

Signature

Ahmed Mahdy Ahmed Gad Hashish

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, Thanks are due to Allah to whom any success in life is attributed.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed M. Nour El-Din**, Chairman of Irrigation and Hydraulics Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his help, guidance, useful suggestions, and encouragement throughout this work.

My special thanks are to **Dr. Mohamed Seddik Gad El-Rab**, Associated Professor, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision, comments and stimulating discussion, which are gratefully acknowledged and sincerely appreciated.

A special word of thanks is to **Dr. Mohamed A. Gad** Assistant Professor, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his kind and friendly assistance, valuable advice, faithful supervision, precious help, and constant guidance.

Acknowledgment is given to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khairy Elkamash**, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his guidance.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my family for their self-denial and for sparing no effort in encouraging and supporting me continuously throughout my study.

ABSTRACT

Ahmed Mahdy Hashish, “WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT WITHIN WAVE PROTECTED COASTAL ZONES”.

Coastal changes; erosion and accretion are natural and ongoing processes. The natural balance between erosion and accretion can greatly be affected by manmade coastal structures. This may adversely impact the surrounding coastal environment causing deteriorated water quality and unbalanced ecosystems.

Shoreline changes due to manmade structures are usually designed without taking the effect on water quality into account. This study proposes a methodology for studying water quality in coastal zones that significantly can alter the design.

Miami, Mandrah, and Montazah Beaches in Alexandria, Egypt provide an example of this problem and are used in this research as a case study.

In this research, a multi-component numerical modeling technique was developed for simulating the 2D depth averaged hydrodynamics and water quality in coastal areas. *Geographic information system* (GIS) is being used as the main pre-processing tool for the analysis of the study area.

The multi-component technique comprises four mathematical models (NMLONG, RMA2, STWAVE, and RMA4) to study waves, currents and water quality on the study area. Five scenarios are studied by this technique to get the environmental impact in terms of water quality. Different breakwater configurations are studied using the developed methodology to assess the hydrodynamics and water quality parameters. Biological oxygen demand (BOD) is the water quality parameter investigated in the study area. A statistical methodology was implemented to compare between the impacts of different breakwater configurations on the water quality within the study area. The optimum solution is the one having the least difference with the natural case.

The results showed that the optimum solution that significantly reduces the water quality deterioration is the submerged breakwaters. A wave analysis has been conducted to the submerged breakwater for computing the wave run-up to ensure the protection of the shore line.

An evaluation for the submerged breakwater scenario was performed in order to identify the optimum free board over the submerged breakwater.

Key words: Coastal zones - water quality – hydrodynamic – finite element.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	i
ABSTRACT.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENT.....	iv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	2
1.1 General.....	2
1.2 Environmental Impacts of Coastal Structures.....	2
1.3 Study Area and Problem Formulation.....	4
1.4 Scope of Work.....	6
1.5 Research Main Objectives.....	6
1.6 Structure of the Thesis.....	7
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	11
2.1 Introduction.....	11
2.2 Coastal Hydrodynamics Processes.....	12
2.2.1 Wind.....	12
2.2.2 Waves.....	13
2.2.3 Tides.....	15
2.2.4 Currents.....	16
2.2.5 Sediment transport.....	17
2.3 Coastal Structures.....	17
2.3.1 Sea dikes.....	19
2.3.2 Seawall.....	19
2.3.3 Revetment.....	19
2.3.4 Bulkhead.....	20
2.3.5 Groin.....	21

2.3.6 Breakwater	21
2.3.7 Nourishment.....	25
2.4 Hydrodynamics and Shoreline Changes Modeling.....	27
2.4.1 Three dimensional models	28
2.4.2 Two dimensional models	29
2.4.3 One-line models (1D models).....	30
2.4.4 Selected hydrodynamic and water quality numerical models	30
2.4.5 NMLONG model	31
2.4.6 RMA2 model.....	33
2.4.7 STWAVE model.....	38
2.4.8 RMA4 model.....	44
2.5 Previous Studies Hydro-dynamics and Water Quality Improvement within Wave Protected Coastal Areas	49
2.6 Case Study.....	53
2.6.1 Historical background and previous studies	54
2.7 Summary	59
CHAPTER 3: DATA PRE-PROCESSING.....	67
3.1 Introduction.....	67
3.2 Study Area and Site Description.....	69
3.2.1 Location	69
3.2.2 Background of the shore protection projects	69
3.3 Meteorological Data.....	70
3.3.1 Temperature	71
3.3.2 Humidity	71

3.3.3 Rainfall.....	72
3.3.4 Wind	72
3.3.5 Storm	74
3.4 Oceanographic Data.....	75
3.4.1 Water levels and sea-level rise.....	75
3.4.2 Waves	76
3.4.3 Currents.....	78
3.4.4 Sediments	78
3.5 Digitizing the Available Data Using GIS	79
3.5.1 The selected common coordinate system.....	80
3.5.2 Coordinate systems transformation.....	81
3.5.3 The merged data set	82
CHAPTER 4: DEVELOPMENT OF THE 2D MULTI- COMPONENT TECHNIQUE.....	90
4.1 Introduction.....	90
4.2 The Multi Component Technique.....	90
4.2.1 The multi component technique modules	91
4.3 Models Description.....	94
4.3.1 NMLONG model.....	94
4.3.2 RMA2 model.....	96
4.3.3 STWAVE model.....	100
4.3.4 RMA4 model.....	102
4.4 Simulation of the 2D Hydrodynamics and Water Quality Models in the Study Area.....	104
4.4.1 Study area.....	104

4.4.2 Longshore current profile.....	105
4.4.3 Finite element mesh generation and bathymetry data.....	105
4.4.4 Hydrodynamic boundary conditions.....	106
4.4.5 Finite difference Cartesian grid generation.....	107
4.4.6 Spectral wave field generation.....	107
4.4.7 Wave run-up prediction	107
4.4.8 Evaluating the pollution load	109
4.4.9 Used parameters.....	112
4.4.10 Output of the runs related to the emerged breakwater scenario.....	114
4.5 Multi-component technique validation and verification.....	115
4.5.1 Natural.....	116
4.5.2 Emerged breakwater	118
4.5.3 Emerged breakwater with submerged gaps	119
4.5.4 Submerged breakwater.....	119
CHAPTER 5: APPLICATION ON MIAMI, MANDRAH AND MONTAZAH CASE STUDY.....	142
5.1 Simulation Procedure and Setup.....	142
5.1.1 Dimensions of the study area.....	143
5.1.2 Finite element mesh generation and bathymetry data.....	144
5.1.3 Design wave conditions	144
5.1.4 Hydrodynamic boundary conditions.....	144
5.1.5 Model Setup and Simulation.....	146
5.2 Assessment Methodology	148
5.2.1 The Comparison Domain.....	148

5.2.2 Statistical assessment of the solutions	148
5.2.3 Models output	150
5.3 Sequence of Solutions Studied.....	152
5.4 Results and Analysis	153
5.4.1 Results of the studied scenarios	153
5.5 Scenario Assessment.....	153
5.6 Wave Analysis of the Submerged Scenario	156
5.7 Selecting of the Optimum Free Board Related to the Submerged Breakwater	157
5.8 Summary	159
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	194
6.1 General	194
6.2 Conclusions	195
6.2.1 General conclusions	196
6.2.2 Conclusions related to Miami, Mandrah, and Montazah area.....	197
6.3 Recommendations and Future Studies	199
REFERENCES.....	201
ARABIC SUMMARY.....	213

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Types of shoreline changes associated with single and multiple breakwaters (from US Army Corps of Engineers).....	9
Figure 1-2: Water quality impacts of coastal shore protection structures.....	9
Figure 2-1: Beach profile terminology	61
Figure 2-2: Waves in deep water.....	61
Figure 2-3: Waves in shallow water.....	62
Figure 2-4: Longshore current.....	62
Figure 2-5: STWAVE co-ordinate grid orientation.....	63
Figure 2-6: Illustration of the breakwater promoting vertical circulation flow.....	63
Figure 2-7: Contamination of water at the leeside of emerged breakwater	64
Figure 2-8: Submerged breakwater system for Miami, Mandrah and Montazah area.....	64
Figure 2-9: Water quality before and after the construction of the submerged breakwater.....	65
Figure 3-1: Alexandria Governorate.....	84
Figure 3-2: Miami, Mandrah, and Montaza beaches.....	84
Figure 3-3: Map of Alexandria beaches	85
Figure 3-4: Yearly prevailing wind rose.....	85
Figure 3-5: Mandrah – Montazah wave rose (Data analyzed from Jan. 1949 to Aug. 1997).....	86
Figure 3-6: The shore line and the bathymetric data.....	87
Figure 3-7: The bathymetric data shown in raster format relative to ESAD datum of “100.00”	87
Figure 3-8: The geo-referenced Google image of the study area rectified in the ETM – Red belt coordinates.....	88
Figure 4-1: Schematic flow chart for the simulation procedure..	120

Figure 4-2: Schematic shore profile and longshore current velocity obtained from NMLONG	121
Figure 4-3: Definition sketch for wave and wind directions	121
Figure 4-4: Configurations for the studied breakwater scenarios	122
Figure 4-5: Dimension of the natural configurations of the study area showing feature objects.....	123
Figure 4-6: Shore profile and longshore current velocity obtained from NMLONG.....	124
Figure 4-7: The finite element mesh of the natural breakwater scenario	125
Figure 4-8: GIS pre- processed bathymetry bed levels relative to the ESAD of (100.00).....	125
Figure 4-9: The finite element bathymetry interpolation with bed levels relative to the ESAD of (100.00) for the natural scenario.	126
Figure 4-10: RMA2 boundary condition for the natural scenario	126
Figure 4-11: STWAVE Cartesian grid	127
Figure 4-12: Location of the comparison domain	127
Figure 4-13: RMA4 boundary condition for the natural scenario	128
Figure 4-14: Field velocity contours resulting from longshore current for the natural scenario	129
Figure 4-15: Breaking dissipations resulted from STWAVE related to natural scenario.....	130
Figure 4-16: The wave filed at storm conditions for the submerged scenarios	131
Figure 4-17: BOD concentration for the natural simulation adopted by RMA4 model	132
Figure 4-18: The current intensities obtained from the natural scenario at the comparison domain	133
Figure 4-19: The Velocity vectors for the natural scenario at the comparison demand.....	133

Figure 4-20: Emerged breakwater superimposed on the calculated energy dissipations	134
Figure 4-21: Contamination of water at the leeside of emerged breakwater (El-sharnouby & Soliman, 2010).....	135
Figure 4-22: BOD concentration for the emerged simulation adopted by RMA4 model	136
Figure 4-23: Water quality deterioration as a result of constructing the emerged breakwater with submerged gaps (Google earth, 2007).....	137
Figure 4-24: concentration for the emerged with submerged gaps simulation adopted by RMA4 model.....	138
Figure 4-25: The water quality after the construction of the submerged breakwater (El-sharnouby & Soliman, 2010).	139
Figure 4-26: BOD concentration for the submerged simulation adopted by RMA4 model	140
Figure 5-1: Case study dimension for the natural case.....	161
Figure 5-2: The finite element mesh of the natural scenario.....	162
Figure 5-3: The natural bathymetry (bed levels are relative to ESAD of 100.00).....	162
Figure 5-4: The finite elements mesh of the emerged and emerged with submerged gaps scenarios	163
Figure 5-5: The bathymetry interpolated to FEMs of the emerged and emerged with submerged gaps scenarios (bed levels are relative to the ESAD of 100.00).....	163
Figure 5-6: The finite element mesh of the emerged with gaps scenario	164
Figure 5-7: The bathymetry interpolated to FEMs of the emerged with gaps scenario (bed levels are relative to the ESAD of 100.00).....	164
Figure 5-8: The finite element mesh of the submerged scenario	165

Figure 5-9: The bathymetry interpolated to FEMs of the submerged scenario (bed levels are relative to the ESAD of 100.00)	165
Figure 5-10: RMA2 boundary condition for the natural scenario	166
Figure 5-11: RMA2 boundary condition for the emerged scenario	166
Figure 5-12: RMA2 boundary condition for the emerged with submerged gaps scenario	167
Figure 5-13: RMA2 boundary condition for the emerged with gaps scenario	167
Figure 5-14: RMA2 boundary condition for the submerged scenario	168
Figure 5-15: RMA4 pollutant boundary condition for the natural scenario	168
Figure 5-16: RMA4 pollutant boundary condition for the emerged and emerged with submerged gaps scenarios	169
Figure 5-17: RMA4 pollutant boundary condition for the emerged with gaps scenario	169
Figure 5-18: RMA4 pollutant boundary condition for the submerged scenario	170
Figure 5-19: The analysis and comparison domains	170
Figure 5-20: Contours of the velocity field of the natural scenario	171
Figure 5-21: Velocity vectors for the natural scenario	172
Figure 5-22: Contours of the velocity field of the emerged scenario	173
Figure 5-23: Velocity vectors for the emerged scenario	174
Figure 5-24: Contours of the velocity field of the emerged with submerged gaps scenario	175
Figure 5-25: Velocity vectors for the emerged with submerged gaps scenario	176

Figure 5-26: Contours of the velocity field of the emerged with submerged gaps scenario	177
Figure 5-27: Velocity vectors for the emerged with gaps scenario	178
Figure 5-28: Contours of the velocity field of the submerged scenario	179
Figure 5-29: Velocity vectors for the submerged scenario.....	180
Figure 5-30: The wave filed at storm conditions for the submerged scenarios	181
Figure 5-31: BOD concentrations of the natural simulation	182
Figure 5-32: BOD concentrations of the emerged simulation.....	183
Figure 5-33: BOD concentrations of the emerged with submerged gaps simulation	184
Figure 5-34: BOD concentrations of the emerged with gaps simulation	185
Figure 5-35: BOD concentrations of the submerged simulation.	186
Figure 5-36: Deterioration ratios of the different scenarios with respect to the natural scenario at simulation time 6 hr.	187
Figure 5-37: Deterioration ratios of the different scenarios with respect to the natural scenario at simulation time 12 hr.	187
Figure 5-38: Deterioration ratios of the different scenarios with respect to the natural scenario at simulation time 18 hr.	187
Figure 5-39: Deterioration ratios of the different scenarios with respect to the natural scenario at simulation time 24 hr.	188
Figure 5-40: Deterioration ratios of the different scenarios with respect to the natural scenario at simulation time 48 hr.	188