بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم وَقُل رَّبِ زِدْنِي عِلْمًا

صدق الله العظيم سورة طه (114)

Influence Of Preparation Parameters And Cement Space Width On The Marginal And Internal Fit of A Machinable All Ceramic Crown System

A thesis submitted to

The Faculty of Oral And Dental Medicine, Cairo University,
In Partial Fulfillment Of The Requirements For The Master Degree
In Fixed Prosthodontics

By

Sarah Ahmed El-Hussieny Ali

B.D.S (Cairo University)
2007

Faculty of Oral and Dental medicine,
Cairo University,
2012

SUPERVISORS

Dr. Eman M. Anwar

Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Rasha Nabil Sami

Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to **Dr. Eman M. Anwar** Professor of Fixed Prothodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her valuable advices, guidance, and for giving generously of her precious time to accomplish this work.

I am greatly indebted to **Dr. Rasha Nabil Sami,** Lecturer of Fixed Prothodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her great efforts, extreme patience, kindness and continuous encourgment.

Finally I would like to thank the staff members of the department of Fixed Prothodontics for their co-operation and support.

CONTENTS

	Page
List of tables	i
List of figures	iii
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	3
Aim of the Study	20
Materials and Methods	21
Results	52
Discussion	72
Summary and Conclusions	80
Recommendations	83
References	84
Arabic Summary	

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table (1): Factor	orial designing & samples grouping	24
Table (2): Effect	ct of different tested variables on internal fit	52
Table (3): Com	parison between mean internal gap distance	
of th	e two tested preparation heights	53
Table (4): Com	parison between mean internal gap distance	
of th	e two tested convergence angles	54
Table (5): Com	parison between mean gap distance of the	
two	tested cement space widths	55
Table (6): Com	parison between mean internal gap distance	
of th	ne interaction between preparation heights	
and	convergence angles	56
Table (7): Com	parison between mean internal gap distance	
of th	ne interaction between preparation heights	
and	cement space widths	58
Table (8): Con	mparison between the mean internal gap	
dista	ance of the interaction between convergence	
angl	e and cement space widths	59
Table (9): Comp	parison between mean internal gap distance	
of in	teraction between different tested variables	60
Table (10): Effe	ect of different interactions between the	
teste	ed variables on the internal fit	62

i

Table (11): Comparison between mean marginal gap	63
distance of the two tested preparation height	S
Table (12): Comparison between mean marginal gap)
distance of the two tested convergence angles	s 64
Table (13): Comparison between mean marginal gap)
distance of the two tested cement spaces	65
Table (14): Comparison between mean marginal gap)
distance of the interaction between	1
preparation heights and convergence	9
angles	. 66
Table (15): Comparison between mean marginal gap)
distance of the interaction between	1
preparation heights and cement space	9
widths	. 67
Table (16): Comparison between the mean marginal gap)
distance of the interaction between convergence	9
angle and cement space widths	69
Table (17):Effect of different interactions between the	e
tested variables on the marginal fit	70

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure (1): IPS- I	Emax CAD blocks	22
Figure (2): Stain	less steel die for subgroup IA:	
a. Sc	hematic representation of the master stainless	
ste	eel die for subgroup IA	25
b. Pł	notograph of the master stainless steel die for	
sul	bgroup IA	25
Figure (3): Stainl	ess steel die for subgroup IB:	
a. Sc	hematic representation of the master stainless	
ste	eel die for subgroup IB	26
b. Pł	notograph of the master stainless steel die for	
sul	bgroup IB	26
Figure (4):Stainle	ess steel die for subgroup IIA	
a. Sc	hematic representation of the master stainless	
ste	el die for subgroup IIA	28
b. Pł	notograph of the master stainless steel die for	
sul	bgroup IIA	28
Figure (5): Stainl	ess steel die for group IIB:	
a. So	chematic representation of the master stainless	
ste	el die for subgroup IIB	29
b. P	hotograph of the master stainless steel die for	
sul	bgroup IIB	29

	Page
Figure (6): Cerec MCXL Inlab milling machine	31
Figure (7): The Cerec optispray	33
Figure (8): The powdered stainless steel die	33
Figure (9): Taking the optical impression	36
Figure (10): Captured image of the preparation	36
Figure (11): Trim line application	38
Figure (12): Trimming of the preparation	38
Figure (13): Defined finish line of virtual model	38
Figure (14): Adjustment of the path of insertion and the design	
of The IPS-Emax CAD coping	39
Figure (15): Preview of milled coping.	42
Figure (16): selection of the material and the size of the	
ceramic Block	42
Figure (17): Loading device	
a. Schematic representation of the loading device	44
b . Photograph of the loading device	44
Figure (18): The stereomicroscope	47
Figure (19): The fixed reference points for the internal fit	
measurements	47
Figure (20): Photograph of measuring points of internal fit	
(x12)	48

	Page
Figure (21): Loading jig	
a. Schematic representation of loading jig	50
b. Photograph of loading jig	50
Figure (22): measuring points for the marginal fit measurements	51
Figure (23): Mean values for comparison between internal gap	
distance (mm) of the two tested preparation heights	53
Figure (24): Mean values for comparison between internal gap	
distance(mm) of the two tested convergence angles	54
Figure (25): Mean values for comparison between internal gap	
distance (mm) of the two tested cement space	
widths	55
Figure (26): Mean values for comparison between internal gap	
distance (mm) of interaction of the preparation	
heights and convergence angles	57
Figure (27): Mean values for comparison between internal gap	
distance (mm) of interaction of the preparation	
height and cement space width	58
Figure (28): Mean values for comparison between internal gap	
distance (mm) of interaction of convergence angle	
and cement space width	59
Figure (29): Mean values for comparison between internal gap	
distance of the interaction of the tested variables	61
Figure (30): Mean values for comparison between marginal gap	
distance (mm) of the two tested preparation height	63

	Page
Figure (31): Mean values for comparison between marginal gap distance (mm) of the two tested convergence angle	64
Figure (32): Mean values for comparison between marginal gap distance (mm) of the two tested cement space widths	65
Figure (33): Mean value for comparison between marginal gap distance (mm) of interaction of preparation height and convergence angle	66
Figure (34): Mean values for comparison between marginal gap distance (mm) of interaction of preparation height and cement space width	68
Figure (35): Mean values for comparison between marginal gap distance (mm) of interaction of convergence angles and cement space widths	69
Figure (36): Mean values for comparison between marginal gap distances of interaction between different tested variables.	71

INTRODUCTION

All ceramic restorations have replaced other fixed prosthodontic restorations because of their superior esthetics and biocompatibility, which made them first choice for the patient and also for the dentist.

Ceramic is basically a brittle material, so its wide use has been limited at a time, as they were always prone to fracture.

Recently, the continuous researching and development of ceramic materials and fabrication techniques has allowed for the introduction of several all ceramic systems, which can be used in the posterior as well as the anterior regions.

Successful all ceramic restorations must satisfy clinical requirements of strength, precision of fit and esthetics.

Excellent adaptation is essential for successful all ceramic restorations in terms of longevity as increased marginal discrepancy of all ceramic crowns may favor the increases rate of cement dissolution and micro leakage affecting the longevity of the restoration. Moreover, the preparation parameters and cement space are important factors affecting the marginal and internal fit of the restoration predicting the success and performance of the restoration.

Machined milling (Cerec In lab) ceramics are among the well known techniques used to produce esthetic ceramic restorations. The Cerec CAD/CAM system was designed to produce ceramic restoration at chair side. The principle advantage of this system is its ability to provide long

1

lasting, tooth colored restorations in one appointment without need to provisional restorations.

It would be worthy to investigate throughout this study the effect of preparation parameters and cement space on the marginal and internal fit of all ceramic crown system.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Esthetics is a matter of individual interpretation and preference, that extend to individual perceptions of autos, clothes and facial beauty⁽¹⁾. In 1969 a study⁽²⁾ indicated that most dentists interpret esthetic attitudes based on their own esthetic concepts rather than those of the patient and this seems untrue and the opposite should occur. **Rosenthal et al.,** in (1964)⁽³⁾ discovered that the greatest degree of satisfaction occurred when the dentist considered the patient's feelings as the most important guide in denture esthetics. A survey of patient's attitudes toward current esthetic procedure, causes of selecting dental procedures and patient's satisfaction with the appearance of the smile was conducted by **Goldestein., and Lancaster.,** in (1984)⁽⁴⁾ and they found that patient dissatisfaction would be a simulating factor for the need for esthetic dentistry.

Different factors were reported by **Tjan and Miller** in (1984) ⁽⁵⁾ that affect the esthetic behaviour of restoration such as the outline form, translucency and color of the restoration and they concluded that a defective smile might be considered as a physical handicap. Nowadays, esthetic restorations became a need and no other option is accepted by the patient and the dentist and this is achieved by the great evolution in both the dental materials, the techniques and the machines.

History of Esthetic Restorations Development:

The development of metal ceramic restorations and high strength dental ceramics dominated the later part of the 20th century, first

Weinstein et al., in (1962)⁽⁶⁾ introduced vaccum fired porcelains where bonding of porcelain to gold alloys by aluminous porcelain that were finally veneered with conventional feldspathic porcelain to reproduce contour and shade of natural tooth.

In 1970s the collarless metal ceramic crowns was introduced by $Sozi^{(7)}$ and $Toogood^{(8)}$ that was known as commercial shoulder porcelains.

Finally **Mclean and Seed**⁽⁹⁾ developed the first commercially viable foil-reinforced crown system (Foil- reinforced porcelain crowns).

In the quest for the ultimate esthetic restorative material new materials and techniques continued to develope till the all ceramic systems were developed. The reason for the increase in use of these systems is the ease with which a ceramist can mimic the optical properties of natural teeth, the biocompatibility of the used dental alloys and the perception that improved the esthetics obtained by removing the dark and opaque metallic substructure. An ideal all-ceramic restoration should be able to be used in a multiple of clinical situations, withstand the mechanical and chemical attacks perpetuated on it in the oral environment for an extended period of time and not be limited to the incisor region⁽¹⁰⁾.

The currently available all ceramic systems are either in the form of powder to be mixed with water and then built up in layers on a die such as conventional powder slurry ceramics and Infiltrated ceramics, or the other technique based on lost wax technique leaving mold into which the ingots were pressed as the pressable ceramics and castable