



Cairo University

**IMPROVEMENT OF A LOCAL SUSPENDED BENTONITE
BEHAVIOUR TO MEET THE STANDARD
SPECIFICATIONS AS A DRILLING FLUID**

By

Eng/ Ahmed Mohammed Mohammed Elnady Hussein

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
MINING ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT

2017

**IMPROVEMENT OF A LOCAL SUSPENDED BENTONITE
BEHAVIOUR TO MEET THE STANDARD
SPECIFICATIONS AS A DRILLING FLUID**

By

Eng/ **Ahmed Mohammed Mohammed Elnady Hussein**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
MINING ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Salah Eldin M. Elmofty

Professor of Mineral processing
Mining, Petroleum and Metallurgy
Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo
University

Prof. Dr. Mona M. Hassan Dardir

Professor of drilling fluids
Production Department
Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

**IMPROVEMENT OF A LOCAL SUSPENDED BENTONITE
BEHAVIOUR TO MEET THE STANDARD
SPECIFICATIONS AS A DRILLING FLUID**

By

Eng/ Ahmed Mohammed Mohammed Elnady Hussein

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
MINING ENGINEERING

**Approved by the
Examining Committee**

Prof. Dr. Salah El-Din Mohamed El-Mofty	Thesis main Advisor
Prof. Dr. Mona Mahmoud Hassan Dardir	Advisor
Prof. Dr. Ayman Abd Elhamid El-Midany	Internal Examiner
Prof. Dr. Ismail Shaaban Ismail Mahgoub Professor of Petroleum , Petroleum department, Faculty of Engineering , Future University	External Examiner

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

Engineer's Name: **Ahmed Mohammed Mohammed El-nady Hussein**
Date of Birth: 19/05/1990
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: ahmadnady55@gmail.com
Phone: 01281802493
Address: Al saida zainab – cairo
Registration Date: 01/10/2014
Awarding Date: / /2017
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Mining, Petroleum, and Metallurgy

Supervisors:

Prof.Dr. Salah Eldeen Mohamed Elmofty
Prof.Dr.Mona Mahmoud Hassan Dardir

Examiners:

Prof.Dr. Salh Eldein El-Mofty (Thesis main advisor)
Professor of Mineral processing Mining, Petroleum and Metallurgy
Department Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University
Prof.Dr. Mona Mahmoud Hassan Dardir (Advisor)
Professor of drilling fluids , Production Department ,Egyptian
Petroleum Research Institute
Prof.Dr.Ayman Abd El Hamid El-Midany (Internal examiner)
Professor of Mineral processing Mining, Petroleum and Metallurgy
Department Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University
Prof.Dr Ismail Shaaban Ismail Mahgoub (External examiner)
Professor of Petroleum , Petroleum department, Faculty of
Engineering , Future University

Title of Thesis:

IMPROVEMENT OF A LOCAL SUSPENDED BENTONITE BEHAVIOUR TO MEET THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AS A DRILLING FLUID

Key Words:

Drilling mud, Montmorillonite, Activation , Rheological properties , Zeta potential

Summary:

The most drilling fluids used were the water based mud. Water based mud was contained mainly from Sodium bentonite. Local bentonite is a calcium bentonite with low swelling properties . The kneading process succeeded to activate the local bentonite to Sodium bentonite using 2.5 gm of Soda ash. The Rheological properties was improved using 0.15 gm of CMC ,0.15 gm of CTAB ,0.12 gm of PA and 0.9 gm of Sodium hydroxide to exceed the API standard for drilling fluids . Temperature ranging between 25 and 80 °C at atmospheric pressure affects significantly the rheological behavior of the water base mud.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my principal supervisor Prof. Dr. Salah Eldeen Mohamed Elmofty professor of Mineral Processing (Mining, Petroleum, and Metallurgical Department) Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University and Prof. Dr. Mona Mamoud Dardir, professor of drilling fluid (Production department) at Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute, for the complete support throughout my thesis with their patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. Also for their encouragement, guidance, and kind support from the initial to the final stage.

I would like to thank Dr.Ahmed Rasheed for providing the local bentonite samples and all information about it .

I would like to thank all the staff of Mining Department, Faculty of Engineering- Cairo University for all their assistance and encouragement.

My special appreciation goes to my family especially my Father and my Mother for everything they have done for me.

Table of Contents

	Pages
Acknowledgement	I
Table of Contents	II-III
List of Tables	IV
List of Figures	V-VII
Abstract	VIII
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Functions of Drilling Fluids.....	1
1.2 Composition of Drilling Fluids	2
1.3 Drilling Fluid Selection.....	3
1.3.1 Water Based Mud.....	3
1.3.2 Bentonite Mineralogical Composition.....	3
1.3.3 Bentonite Types.....	4
1.3.4 Bentonite in The World.....	4
1.3.5 Bentonite in Egypt.....	5
1.4 Properties of Water Based Mud.....	6
1.4.1 Density.....	7
1.4.2 Rheology	8
1.4.2.1 Viscosity	10
1.4.2.2 Apparent viscosity	11
1.4.2.3 Plastic viscosity	11
1.4.2.4 Yield point	11
1.4.2.5 Gel strength.	11
1.4.3 Filtration Properties.....	12
1.4.4 PH.....	12
1.4.5 Cation Exchange Capacity.....	12
Chapter 2: Literature Review	14-24
Chapter 3: Experimental Work	25
3.1. Collection of Bentonite Samples	25
3.2. Preparation.....	25
3.2.1 Drying Process	25
3.2.2. Crushing Process.....	26
3.2.3. Grinding Process.....	26
3.2.4. Screening Process	27
3.3. Samples Characterizations.....	28
3.3.1. Mineralogical Characterization.....	28
3.3.2. Chemical Analysis.....	28
3.4 Activation.....	28
3.4.1 Boiling Technique	29
3.4.2 Kneading Technique.....	30

3.5 Additives	30
3.6 Methodology	31
3.6.1 Rheological Measurements Methodology.....	31
3.6.1.1 The Effect Of Different Types Of Additives At Different Concentrations.....	33
3.6.1.2 The Effect of Temperature	33
3.6.1.3 The Effect of pH.....	33
3.6.2 Filtration Loss Measurements.....	34
3.6.3. Electrokinetic Measurements.....	35
Chapter 4: Results and Discussion.....	37
4.1 Samples Characterization Results.....	37
4.1.1 Mineralogical Characterization.....	37
4.1.2. Chemical Analysis Results	38
4.2 Bentonite Activation Results Using Boiling Technique.....	39
4.2.1 Rheology measurements for activated bentonite using Boiling technique.....	40
4.3 Bentonite activation results using Kneading technique.....	41
4.3.1 Rheology measurements for activated bentonite using Kneading technique.....	42
4.4 Effect of additives on Rheology properties of activated bentonite using Kneading technique.	44
4.5 Effect of Temperature on Rheological Properties.....	53
4.6 Effect of pH on Rheological Properties.....	58
4.7 Filtration Loss Measurements	62
4.7.1 Filtration Loss for Standard and Activated Bentonite.....	62
4.7.2 Effect of additives on Filtration Loss for Activated Bentonite.....	63
4.8 Electrokinetic measurements.....	65
4.8.1 Zeta Potential of The Local Bentonite and Standard Bentonite.....	65
4.8.2 Zeta Potential of The Activated Bentonite Using Kneading Technique.....	66
4.8.3 Zeta Potential of The Activated Bentonite with Different Additives Viscosity	67
4.9 Coloration Between The Zeta Potential and Apparent.....	70
Chapter 5:Summary, Conclusions and recommendations for future work.....	76
5.1 Summary.....	76
5.2 Conclusion.....	76
5.3 Recommendations for future work.....	77
References.....	78-80

List of Tables

Table 1.1 The world production of bentonite represented by 1000 ton.....	6
Table 1.2 The production of bentonite in Egypt represented by metric tons	7
Table 2.1 Common Additives for Water Based Mud	17
Table 3.1 API specifications for OCMA grade bentonite	32
Table 4.1 Chemical analysis of standard and local bentonite	39
Table 4.2 Chemical analysis of the activated samples by boiling technique (T ₁ ,T ₂ and T ₃)	40
Table 4.3 The Rheology measurements for the activated bentonite samples	40
Table 4.4 Chemical analysis of the activated sample by kneading technique (K ₁)...	42
Table 4.5 The Rheology measurements for the activated bentonite samples using kneading technique	43
Table 4.6 The effect of sodium hydroxide with various concentrations on shear stress and pH of bentonite samples.....	46
Table 4.7 The effect of CMC with various concentrations on shear stress and pH of bentonite samples	48
Table 4.8 The effect of Polyacrylamide with various concentrations on shear stress and pH of bentonite samples.....	50
Table 4.9 The effect of Polyacrylamide with various concentrations on shear stress and pH of bentonite samples.....	51

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 layer structures	5
Figure 1.2 Geological map for bentonite distribution.....	7
Figure 1.3 Newtonian fluids curve.....	9
Figure 1.4 Bingham model.....	10
Figure 1.5 Schematic of a clay particle with negative charges on the surface attracting various cation.....	13
Figure 2.1 Shear stress (Pa) versus shear rate (s^{-1}) for a 3.5% soda ash activation Different times of ageing.....	14
Figure 2.2 Gel strength at various ratios of soda addition.....	15
Figure 2.3 The zeta potential–pH behavior of 1 wt% and bentonite suspension over a pH range from 2 to 12.....	16
Figure 2.4 (Flocculent) Effect of order of addition of salt and polymer	18
Figure 2.5 Hydration of Bentonite Clay.....	19
Figure 2.6 Effects of magnesium salt on :a)the plastic viscosity b) filter loss of water base mud.....	20
Figure 2.7 Rheological properties of water based mud MB1, MB2 and MB3 at varied temperatures compared to MR.....	21
Figure 2.8 Effect of adding HV-CMC on the Viscosity of drilling mud.....	22
Figure 2.9 Effect of adding HV-CMC on the Filtration Loss of drilling mud.....	22
Figure 2.10 Effect of adding Caustic Soda on the pH of the drilling mud.....	23
Figure 2.11 Effect of adding Na_2CO_3 on the Viscosity of drilling mud.....	23
Figure 2.12 Effect of adding Na_2CO_3 on the Filtration Loss of drilling mud.....	24
Figure 3.1: (a) Standard bentonite API , (b) local bentonite ore.....	25
Figure 3.2 laboratory standard oven.....	25
Figure 3.3: (a) A laboratory Jaw crusher, (b) top view.....	26
Figure 3.4 Tumbling ball mill.....	27
Figure 3.5 Disc mill.....	27
Figure 3.6 Vibrating screens.....	28
Figure 3.7 Hamilton Beach stirrer.....	29
Figure 3.8 CENTERFUGE.....	29
Figure 3.9 Fischer mill.....	30
Figure 3.10 CMC - Molecular Structure.....	31
Figure 3.11 Polyacrylamide - Molecular Structure.....	31
Figure 3.12 (OFITE) viscometer	32
Figure 3.13 OFITE thermo cup	33
Figure 3.14 pH meter	34
Figure 3.15 Filter press OFITE	34
Figure 3.16 The electrical double layer (EDL)	35
Figure 3.17 Zeta meter.....	36
Figure 4.1 the X-ray diffraction for standard bentonite B _s	37

Figure 4.2 the X-ray diffraction for local bentonite B _L	38
Figure 4.3 The shear stress – shear rate curve for the activated bentonite samples using boiling technique.....	41
Figure 4.4 Activated samples using boiling technique were settling (a)T ₁ (b)T ₂ (c)T ₃	41
Figure 4.5 The shear stress – shear rate curve for the activated bentonite sample using kneading technique.....	43
Figure 4.6 the Rheology properties and for K ₁ , T ₁ , T ₂ and T ₃	44
Figure 4.7 Activated samples using kneading technique K ₁ keep suspended.....	44
Figure 4.8 The effect of NaOH with various concentrations on Rheology properties.....	45
Figure 4.9 The effect of CTAB with various concentrations on Rheology properties.....	47
Figure 4.10 The effect of CMC with various concentrations on Rheology properties.....	49
Figure 4.11 The effect of Polyacrylamide with various concentrations on Rheology properties.....	51
Figure 4.12 The shear stress –shear rate curve for (0.15 gm CTAB – 0.15 gm CMC – 0.12 gm Polyacrylamide)	52
Figure 4.13 Rheology measurements for additives best concentrations.....	53
Figure 4.14 apparent viscosity for standard bentonite (B _S) and activated bentonite (K ₁) at different temperature.....	54
Figure 4.15 plastic viscosity for standard bentonite (B _S) and activated bentonite (K ₁) at different temperature.....	54
Figure 4.16 Yield point for standard bentonite (B _S) and activated bentonite (K ₁) at different temperature.....	55
Figure 4.17 Gel strength for standard bentonite (B _S) and activated bentonite (K ₁) at different temperature	55
Figure 4.18 Apparent viscosity for modified bentonite samples by additives with various temperature.....	56
Figure 4.19 Plastic viscosity for modified bentonite samples by additives with various temperature	57
Figure 4.20 Yield point for modified bentonite samples by additives with various temperature	57
Figure 4.21 Gel strength for modified bentonite samples by additives with various temperature	58
Figure 4.22 The effect of different pH on the standard bentonite (B _S).....	59
Figure 4.23 The effect of different pH on activates sample (K ₁).....	59
Figure 4.24 shows the effect of pH on M ₆ (0.15 gm).....	61
Figure 4.25 shows the effect of pH on C ₆ (0.15 gm).....	61
Figure 4.26 shows the effect of pH on P ₅ (0.12 gm).....	62
Figure 4.27 Filtration loss for standard (B _S) and activated bentonite (K ₁).....	63
Figure 4.28 filter loss for bentonite samples with Sodium hydroxide.....	64
Figure 4.29 filter loss for bentonite samples with Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide.....	64

Figure 4.30 filter loss for bentonite samples with CMC	65
Figure 4.31 filter loss for bentonite samples with Polyacryamide.....	65
Figure 4.32 The zeta potential of the local bentonite (B _L) and standard bentonite (B _S)	66
Figure 4.33 Zeta potential curves for activated bentonite using kneading Technique.....	67
Figure 4.34 Zeta potential curves for bentonite suspension with Sodium hydroxide.....	68
Figure 4.35 Zeta potential curves for bentonite suspension with CTAB	68
Figure 4.36 Zeta potential curves for bentonite suspension with CMC.....	69
Figure 4.37 Zeta potential curves for bentonite suspension with Polyacrylamide....	69
Figure 4.38 The correlation between the viscosity and the zeta potential of activated bentonite (K ₁).....	71
Figure 4.39 The correlation between the apparent viscosity and the zeta potential of bentonite with Sodium hydroxide (S ₆).....	72
Figure 4.40 The correlation between the apparent viscosity and the zeta potential of bentonite with Cetyle Trimethyle Ammonium Bromide (M ₆).....	73
Figure 4.41 The correlation between the apparent viscosity and the zeta potential of bentonite with CMC (C ₆).....	74
Figure 4.42 The correlation between the apparent viscosity and the zeta potential of bentonite with Polyacrylamide (P ₅).....	75

Abstract

Oil and gas are the most important sources for energy. Countries all over the world has a big interesting in discovery and utilizing this sources of energy. The drilling process need fluid called drilling fluid . Drilling fluids are the essential element in drilling industry. They perform many functions like transporting rock cuttings to surface, lubricating the drill bit, applying hydrostatic pressure in the well bore to ensure well safety and minimizing fluid loss and sealing the permeable formations by forming a filter cake on the wall of the well. The selection of the proper drilling mud depends on many factors such as formation ,temperature and the pressure of the fluid in pores, but the most considered factors are the total cost and environmental impact. Recently, drilling companies interested in environmental safety, water base mud is environmentally friendly. Water base mud is the common fluid used nowadays. The main parameter of its performance is the sodium content in bentonite . A good quality bentonite should contain mainly more than 80% of Montmorillonite.

This work focused on the activation and improvement of the Egyptian calcium bentonite (clay minerals) in order to upgrade the ore up to the API specifications.

The evaluation involved the study of the rheological , filter loss properties and gel strength before and after improvement with viscosities and filter loss agents. Different additives and concentrations were tested. Sodium hydroxide and cationic surfactant Cetyl Trimethyle Ammonium Bromide (CTAB) , Polymers such as Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) and Polyacrylamide (PA) were used with various amounts to examine their effect on the Rheology properties. It is found that by increasing the concentration of Sodium hydroxide the rheological properties increased. Polymers tried were found to improve the rheological properties to meet with API specifications.

The effect of pH studied and found that the increasing in pH accompanied by increasing in Rheological properties. The electrokinetics properties were examined for activated bentonite and it is found that the bentonite surface has a negative surface charge. The surface charge values were varied in all the measured samples depending on the type of additives and the pH. It was found that changing the surface charge of the particles by adding different additives could be control the viscosity of the suspended bentonite. Subsequently it managed to improve the specifications of the drilling fluid.

Chapter 1: Introduction

The successful drilling of an oil well economics depends on the properties of the drilling fluid. Drilling fluids are suspensions that are used during the drilling of ground wells. They provide primary well control of subsurface pressures by a combination of density and any additional pressure acting on the fluid column. They are most often circulated down the drill string, out the bit and back up the annulus to the surface so that drill cuttings are removed from the wellbore. The most widely used name is “mud” or “drilling mud”. The cost of the drilling fluid itself is relatively small, but the choice of the right fluid and maintenance of the right properties while drilling profoundly influence the total well costs. For example, the number of rig days required to drill to total depth depends on the rate of penetration of the bit, and on the avoidance of delays caused by caving shales, stuck drill pipe, loss of circulation, all of which are influenced by the properties of the drilling fluid. In addition, the drilling fluid affects formation evaluation and the subsequent productivity of the well.

1.1 Functions of Drilling Fluids

Many requirements are needed on the drilling fluid characteristics. The first purpose of the drilling fluid was to act as a carrier to remove cuttings from the bore hole, but now the diverse applications for drilling fluids make the assignment of specific functions difficult. [1].

In rotary drilling, the principal functions performed by the drilling fluid are :

- Carrying cuttings from beneath the bit. Drilling fluids transport cuttings from the well bore as drilling progresses. Many factors influence the removal of cuttings from the hole. The velocity at which fluid travels up the annulus is the most important hole cleaning factor. The annular velocity must be greater than the slip velocity of the cuttings for the cuttings to move up the well bore.

The size, shape, and weight of a cutting determine the viscosity necessary to control its settling rate through a moving fluid. Low shear rate viscosity strongly influences the carrying capacity of the fluid and reflects the conditions most like those in the well bore. The drilling fluid must have sufficient carrying capacity to remove cuttings from the hole.

The density of the suspending fluid has an associated buoyancy effect on the cuttings. An increase in density increases the capacity of the fluid to carry cuttings. [2].

- Cooling and lubricating bit and drilling string. Considerable heat is generated by rotation of the bit and drilling string. The drilling fluid acts as a conductor to carry this heat away from the bit and to the surface. Current trends toward deeper and hotter holes make this a more important function. The drilling fluid also provides lubrication for the cutting surfaces of the bit thereby extending their useful life and enhancing bit performance. Filter cake deposited by the drilling fluid provides

lubricity to the drill string, as do various specialty products. Oil and synthetic base fluids are lubricious by nature.

- Maintaining the stability of uncased sections of the borehole. A fluid helps establish borehole stability by maintaining a chemical and mechanical balance.

Chemical interactions between the exposed formations of the borehole and the drilling fluid are major factor in borehole stability. Borehole formation hydration can be the primary cause of hole instability, or a contributing factor.

The hydrostatic pressure exerted by the drilling fluid is normally designed to exceed the existing formation pressures. The desired result is the control of formation pressures and a mechanically stable borehole.

- Preventing the inflow of fluids oil, gas, or water from permeable rocks penetrated.

It is extremely important to evaluate how drilling fluids will react when potentially productive formations are penetrated. Whenever permeable formations are drilled, a filter cake is deposited on the wall of the borehole. The properties of this cake can be altered to minimize fluid invasion into permeable zones. Also, the chemical characteristics of the filtrate of the drilling fluid can be controlled to reduce formation damage. Fluid–fluid interactions can be as important as fluid formation interactions. In many cases, specially prepared drilling fluids are used to drill through particularly sensitive horizons. Form a thin, low-permeability filter cake that seals pores and other openings in formations penetrated by the bit.

- Assist in the collection and interpretation of information available from drill cuttings, cores, and electrical logs.

- Support Partial Weight of Drilling string or Casing. The buoyancy effect of drilling fluids becomes increasingly important as drilling progresses to greater depths. Surface rig equipment would be overtaxed if it had to support the entire weight of the drilling string and casing in deeper holes. Since the drilling fluid will support a weight equal to the weight of the volume of fluid displaced, a greater buoyancy effect occurs as drilling fluid density increases.

- Control Subsurface Pressure As drilling progresses, oil, water, or gas may be encountered. Sufficient hydrostatic pressure must be exerted by the drilling fluid column to prevent influx of these fluids into the borehole. The amount of hydrostatic pressure depends on the density of the fluid and the height of the fluid column, i.e., well depth.

The following formulae can be used to calculate the total hydrostatic pressure at any given depth or fluid density:

$$\text{Hydrostatic Pressure (psi)} = 0.052 \times \text{Depth (ft)} \times \text{Fluid Density (lbm / gal)}$$

1.2 Composition of Drilling Fluids

Drilling fluids are classified according to their base into:

- Water-base mud. Solid particles are suspended in water. Oil may be emulsified in the water, in which case water is termed the continuous phase.
- Oil-base mud. Solid particles are suspended in oil. Water or brine is emulsified in the oil. Oil is the continuous phase.
- Gas. Drill cuttings are removed by a high-velocity stream of air. Foaming agents are added to remove minor inflows of water.[3].

1.3 Drilling Fluid Selection

The main factors dominating the selection of drilling fluids are:

- The types of formation to be drilled
 - The range of temperatures
 - Strength, permeability and pore fluids pressure exhibited by the formation.
- In addition to the above, selection of the drilling fluid can be informed through consideration of other factors such as - production concerns, environmental impact, safety and logistics, the most important factor that dominates selection of drilling fluid is the overall well cost.

The cost is an important factor in selection of the type of drilling fluids the water based mud is preferred to use and Could be discharged directly into the environment.

1.3.1 Water Based Mud

This basic system is essentially bentonite and water. Usually, this system is used to spud a well. As drilling continues, formation solids are incorporated into the drilling fluid. Solids-removal equipment is used to remove as much of the formation solids (drill solids) as possible.

1.3.2 Bentonite Mineralogical Composition

Bentonite is a geological term for soil materials with a high content of a swelling mineral, which usually is Montmorillonite. The remaining part of the bentonite may vary in mineralogy and especially between different quarries and depends basically on the geochemical conditions during the formation of the bentonite. Typical accessory minerals present in bentonite are other clay minerals, quartz, calcite, pyrite, feldspars, gypsum and various iron oxides/hydroxides.

The Montmorillonite mineral belongs to the smectite mineral group, in which all members have an articulated layer structure and swelling properties. Common for the smectite group is that the thickness of an individual mineral layer is around 1 nm and the extension of the other two directions may be up to several hundred nanometers. Each layer is composed of a central sheet of octahedral coordinated cation, which on both sides are linked through shared oxygen's to sheets of tetrahedral coordinated cation. Minerals of this type are often referred to as 2:1 layer structures. (Figure 1.1)