

Comparative Study of Three Different Systems for Removal of Gutta-Percha during Retreatment

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry,

Ain Shams University

For

Partial Fulfillment of Requirements of the master degree in

Endodontics

BY

Shady Ali Hussein

B.D.S.

(Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, 2005)

2010

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abdel Rahman Hashem

Associate Prof. of Endodontics

Endodontic department,

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Kariem Mostafa El batouty

Lecturer of Endodontics

Endodontic department,

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dedication

To my Dearest Father

To my Geat Mother

To my lovely wife & daughter

*To my Dear brother & my
Sweet sister*

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deep gratitude to *Dr. Ahmed Abdel Rahman Hashem*, Associate Prof. of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his valuable guidance and effort throughout my academic and clinical work.

My sincere gratitude to *Dr. Kariem Mostafa El batouty*, Lecturer of Endodontics, for his sincere help and co-operation during this study.

My deep appreciation to **all the staff & members of the endodontic department**, Faculty of dentistry, Ain shams university for their valuable help and cooperation.

CONTENTS

CONTENTS	I
LIST OF TABLES	III
LIST OF FIGURES	IV
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
1) HOT INSTRUMENTS AND PLUGGERS	5
2) CHEMICAL SOLVENTS:	6
3) ULTRASONIC:	14
4) MANUAL INSTRUMENTATION TECHNIQUES:	16
5) ENGINE DRIVEN INSTRUMENTS:	30
A) RECIPROCATING INSTRUMENTATION	30
B) THE ROTARY INSTRUMENTS:	33
6) LASER:	78
AIM OF THE STUDY	69
MATERIAL AND METHODS	70
1) MATERIALS:	70
II) METHODS:	76
1-SAMPLE SELECTION:	76
2- SAMPLE PREPARATION:	76
3-CANAL FILLING:	77

4-SAMPLES ASSEMBLY FOR DEBRIS COLLECTION:	79
5- CLASSIFICATION OF SAMPLES:	81
6- EVALUATION;	86
7- STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	98
RESULTS	102
DISCUSSION	146
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	157
REFERENCES	163

List of Tables

Table 1	Scanning parameters used	88
Table 2	Comparison between the three groups regarding the time of retreatment	104
Table 3	comparison between the three groups regarding the weight of debris extrude	114
Table 4	percentage enlargement of root canal in removal of gutta-percha only in comparison between subgroups	115
Table 5	Percentage enlargement during removal of the gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping.	116
Table 6	Percentage enlargement during removal of gutta-percha only.	117
Table 7	Percentage enlargement during removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping.	129
Table 8	Comparison of root canal transportation in different groups.	139
Table 9	Comparison between area fractions in the three groups in residual filling material traces on the canal wall with removal of gutta-percha only.	140
Table 10	Comparison between area fractions in the three groups in residual filling material traces on the canal wall with removal of gutta-percha and cleaning shaping.	141
Table 11	Comparison between area fractions in the three segments in residual filling material traces on the canal wall with removal of gutta-percha only.	142
Table12	Comparison between area fractions in the three segments in residual filling material traces on the canal wall with removal of gutta-percha and cleaning shaping.	142

List of Figures

Figure 1	Mtwo regular rotay files (Courtesy VDW GmbH, Munich, Germany)	74
Figure 2	Mtwo Retreatment files (Courtesy VDW GmbH, Munich, Germany)	74
Figure 3	Easy RaCe kit (Courtesy FKG Dentaire, La Chaux-de-Fonds, Switzerland)	74
Figure 4	D-RaCe files (Courtesy FKG Dentaire, La Chaux-de-Fonds, Switzerland)	75
Figure 5	Ultra-sonic tips ET20 (Courtesy Satelec Acteon Group, Merignac, France)	75
Figure 6	Ultra-sonic tips ET20 (Courtesy Satelec Acteon Group, Merignac, France)	75
Figure 7	Schematic representation of the collection assembly prepared for the evaluation of apically extruded debris.	80
Figure 8	Classification of samples	82
Figure 9	Showing teeth set up on the board with fixed spacing, numbering and orientation marking	89
Figure10	CT machine with teeth orientation on the table	89
Figure11	Pre-instrumentation specimen scanning, 3D volumetric images generated at the workstation	92
Figure12	Pre-instrumentation specimen scanning, sagittal sections generated at the workstation	92
Figure13	After obturation axial section	93
Figure14	After retreatment axial section	93
Figure15	Measurement of percentage enlargement	100
Figure16	Measurement of root canal transportation	100

Figure17	Image analyses of stereomicroscopic photos using image j	101
Figure18	Histogram showing time required for retreatment in different groups	104
Figure19	Histogram showing the weight of debris extruded in different groups	107
Figure20	Histogram-showing the percentage enlargement of root canal after removal of gutta-percha only (subgroup A)	114
Figure21	Histogram-showing the percentage enlargement of root canal after removal of the gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping (subgroup B)	115
Figure22	Histogram-showing the percentage enlargement of root canal after removal of the gutta-percha only (subgroup A) in different segments.	116
Figure23	Histogram-showing the percentage enlargement of root canal after removal of the gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping (subgroup B) in different segments	117
Figure24	Subgroup IA measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha.	118
Figure25	Subgroup IIA measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha.	118
Figure26	Subgroup IIIA measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha	119
Figure27	Subgroup IA measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 6mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha	119
Figure28	Subgroup IIA measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 6mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha	120

Figure29	Subgroup IIIA measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 6mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha	120
Figure30	Subgroup IA measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 9mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha	121
Figure31	Subgroup IIA measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 9mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha	121
Figure32	Subgroup IIIA measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 9mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha	122
Figure33	Subgroup IB measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping	122
Figure34	Subgroup IIB measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping	123
Figure35	Subgroup IIIB measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping	123
Figure36	Subgroup IB measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 6mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping	124
Figure37	Subgroup IIB measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 6mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping	124
Figure38	Subgroup IIIB measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 6mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping	125
Figure39	Subgroup IB measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 9mm from apex after removal of gutta-	125

	percha and cleaning and shaping	
Figure40	Subgroup IIB measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 9mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping.	126
Figure41	Subgroup IIIB measurement of percentage enlargement in axial section 9mm from apex removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping	126
Figure42	Histogram showing root canal transportation in different subgroups.	129
Figure43	Subgroup IA measurement of root canal transportation in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha	130
Figure44	Subgroup IIA measurement of root canal transportation in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha	130
Figure45	Subgroup IIIA measurement of root canal transportation in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha	131
Figure46	Subgroup IB measurement of root canal transportation in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping	131
Figure47	Subgroup IIB measurement of root canal transportation in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping	132
Figure48	Subgroup IIIB measurement of root canal transportation in axial section 3mm from apex after removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping	132
Figure49	Histogram-showing area fraction of residual filling material on the canal wall in subgroups A (removal of gutta-percha only)	139
Figure50	Histogram-showing percentage residual filling material on the canal wall in subgroups B (removal of gutta-	140

percha and cleaning and shaping)

Figure51	Histogram-showing percentage residual filling material on the canal wall in subgroups A (removal of gutta-percha only) in different segments.	141
Figure52	Histogram-showing percentage residual filling material on the canal wall in subgroups B (removal of gutta-percha and cleaning and shaping) in different segments	142
Figure53	Subgroup IA measurement percentage of residual filling material on canal walls	143
Figure54	Subgroup IIA measurement percentage of residual filling material on canal walls	143
Figure55	Subgroup IIIA measurement percentage of residual filling material on canal walls	144
Figure56	Subgroup IB measurement percentage of residual filling material on canal walls	144
Figure57	Subgroup IIB measurement percentage of residual filling material on canal walls	145
Figure57	Subgroup IIIB measurement percentage of residual filling material on canal walls	145

One of the main causes of endodontic failure making the retreatment necessary is thought to be when infection persists^(1, 2). This may be due to insufficient cleaning, inadequate obturation, unfilled or untreated canals, or under extended root canal fillings. That will leave necrotic tissue or bacteria beneath gutta-percha or sealer which will require retreatment.

The main goal of orthograde nonsurgical retreatment is to reestablish healthy periapical tissue⁽³⁾, which is only obtained by removal of filling material completely and canal negotiated to apical foramen, re-instrumentation and re-disinfection.

The process of retreatment itself is accompanied by many problems resulting from the known techniques used, which are hand files (Hedstrom-files), Gates-Glidden burs, Nickel-Titanium (NiTi) rotary instruments, ultra-sonic instruments and heat carrying instruments. These problems were mainly a) extruded debris from the apex at the periapical area b) canal transportation and ledging c) incomplete removal of gutta-percha especially in the curved canals and d) long chair time required to complete the retreatment.

Introduction of infected debris loaded with millions of bacteria into the periapical tissue causes flare up phenomena

which is always associated with pain and swelling during and after completion of the root canal therapy. Many researches confirmed that there is some debris forced out of the apex during instrumentation with different amount according to the technique of instrumentation used ⁽⁴⁻⁶⁾

Canal transportation and ledging are also a standing problem against successful and convenient instrumentation and Obturation of the canal. Many researches over the last 20 years had studied the effect of different hand and rotary instruments on the canal shape ⁽⁷⁾

Successful retreatment obligates removal as much as possible of the gutta-percha from the canal. Many techniques go far in this and were proved to do good job in the round cross-section canals. However, these techniques showed much less success in oval cross section canals. They left much remaining gutta-percha on the long dimension of the canal. ⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾

New systems which are specially designed for retreatment have been recently introduced in the market. Of these, Mtwo-R (retreatment) rotary files, RaCe rotary instruments and ultra-sonic tips.

Deficient literature and up-to-date knowledge in evaluating the effectiveness of these instruments in removal of the gutta-percha from the canal, their safety, apically extruded debris & chair time have stimulated the formulation of this study.