Role of Peritoneal Ports for Treatment of Intractable Ascites

Thesis submitted for Partial fulfillment of MD degree in Radiodiagnosis

> By Haytham Mohamed Nasser M.Sc. Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of medicine Ain Shams University

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Maha Mohamed Abd El Raoof

Professor of Radiodiagnosis -Faculty of medicine -Ain Shams University

Assist. Prof. Dr. Maha Khaled Hassanein Abd El Ghafar

Assistant Professor of Radiodiagnosis -Faculty of medicine -Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Yassin Mostafa

Lecturer of Oncology -Faculty of medicine -Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Sobhi Hassan

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis -Faculty of medicine -Ain Shams University

Faculty of medicine Ain Shams University 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks to **ALLAH**, the most graceful, and merciful for guiding me to the right way and protecting me from making mistakes'.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Maha Mohamed Abd El Raoof,** Professor of Radiodiagnosis, faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, I had the honor and pleasure to proceed with work under his supervision.

I would like to express my cordial thanks to Assist .Prof. Dr. Maha Khaled Hassanein Abd El Ghafar, Assistant Professor of Radiodiagnosis, faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University. His constant guidance and encouragement made all the difference.

My deepest appreciation goes to **Dr. Mohamed Yassin Mostafa**, Lecturer of oncology, faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University.

Special thanks goes to **Dr. Mohamed Sobhi Hassan**, Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his valuable suggestions, advice and cooperation without which this work couldn't be possible.

Haytham Nasser

Table of Content

	Title	Page
1.	Introduction	
2.	Review of literature	
	Anatomy	
	Pathology	
	Technique	
3.	Patients and methods	
4.	Results	
5.	Illustrative cases	
6.	Discussion	
7.	Summary and conclusion	
8.	References	
9.	Arabic summary	

List of Figures

Figure	Title	Page
(2.1)	<i>Right subphrenic and right subhepatic spac-es.</i>	5
(2.2)	Anatomy of the Peritoneal Cavity	6
(2.3)	Peritoneal folds and reflections	8
(2.4)	The Lesser Sac	10
(2.5)	Retroperitoneal Compartmental Anatomy	11
(2.6)	Compartmental Anatomy of the Pelvis	14
(2.7)	Pouch of Douglas	14
(2.8)	Signs of ascites in x ray abdomen	16
(2.9)	Peritoneal access system	32
(2.10)	a. Introducer needle is Attached to the syringe. b. Introducer needle is Inserting into the peritoneal cavity	33

(2.11) <i>The introducer needle is removed over the guide wire and discarded</i>	34
(2.12) a. An incision is made at the desired cathe- ter insertion site, b. A tunnel is created between the 2 incision sites	35
 a. We dilate the insertion site, guiding the dilators over the wire. (2.13) b. The peel apart introducer sheath is pushed over the guide wire into the peritoneal cavity 	36
(2.14) Distal tip of the catheter is passed into the peel-apart introducer sheath.	37
(2.15) The stylets removing from catheter	38
(2.16) a. An incision is done at the desired catheter insertion site. b. Insertion site is dilated, guiding the dila- tors over the wire.	38
(2.17) The peel-apart introducer sheath over the guide wire into the peritoneal cavity	39
(2.18) The distal tip of the catheter is passed into the peel-apart introducer sheath.	39
(2.19) A tunnel is created between the 2 incision sites.	40

Figure	Title	Page
(2.20)	We remove the stylets from the catheter.	40
(2.21)	An incision is made at the desired catheter insertion site.	41
(2.22)	Dilatation of the insertion site and guiding the dilators over the wire is done	42
(2.23)	We remove the dilator alone leaving the wire and peel-apart introducer in place	42
(2.24)	<i>The catheter is passed over the guide wire.</i>	43
(2.25)	a. We creation a tunnel between the 2 inci- sion sites. b. The guide wire and stylets fro the catheter is removed from the catheter as a unit	43
(3.1)	Shows port cath set	47
(3.2)	Port cath pocket, tunnel, and peritoneal en- try sites	51
(3.3)	Radiograph shows a port-catheter in place.	52
(5.1)	Sex distribution among our patient sample	53

Figure	Title	Page
(4.2)	<i>Type of malignancy among our male patient sample</i>	53
(4.3)	<i>Type of malignancy among our female pa- tient sample</i>	54
(4.4)	Short term results.	63
(4.5)	Long term results.	65
(4.6)	Hospital visit distribution.	65
(5.1)	CT chest axial cuts mediastinal window	66
(5.2)	US reveal tense clear ascites.	67
(5.3)	Radiograph shows a port-catheter in place with catheter tip in the left iliac fossa.	67
(5.4)	US revealed tens ascites and ultrasound guided sampling was done.	69
(5.5)	CT chest axial cuts mediastinal window showing nodular circumferential pleural thickening. The patient is diagnosed as mes- othelioma	69
(5.6)	Radiograph shows a port-catheter in place with catheter tip in the left iliac fossa	70
(5.7)	CT revealed pancreatic head mass with mixed densities. Patient was diagnosed as adenocarcinoma.	72

-

_

Figure	Title	Page
(5.8)	US revealed hepatic focal lesion (liver me- tastases) and tense clear ascites	72
(5.9)	Radiograph shows a port-catheter in place with catheter tip in the left iliac fossa	73
(5.10)	CT revealed bilateral adnexal masses of heterogeneous densities and areas of break down and ascites	75
(5.11)	US revealed tens ascites	75
(5.12)	Radiograph shows a port-catheter in place with catheter tip in the left iliac fossa.	76
(5.13)	3 month later radiograph shows migrated port-catheter catheter yet still well- functioning	77
(5.14)	11 month later radiograph shows migrated kinked port-catheter catheter after disap- pearance of ascites yet still patent.	58
(5.15)	CT revealed large pelvi-abdominal mass with no line of separation from the uterus	80
(5.16)	US after debulking surgery revealed tens ascites	80
(5.17)	3 month later radiograph shows migrated sub-phrenic in position port-catheter cathe- ter yet still well-functioning.	82

Figure	Title	Page
(5.18)	CT revealed circumferential gastric wall thickening (cancer stomach) with multiple hypo dense hepatic focal lesions	83
(5.19)	US revealed clear tens ascites with hepatic focal lesion.	83
(5.20)	<i>3 D reconstructed CT shows port-catheter catheter in place with catheter tip in the left iliac fossa.</i>	85
(5.21)	<i>3 month later radiograph shows port-</i> <i>catheter catheter in place</i>	86
(5.22)	CT revealed irregular pancreatic head mass	87
(5.23)	US revealed clear tens ascites.	87
(5.24)	<i>3 D</i> reconstructed CT shows port-catheter catheter in place with catheter tip in the left iliac fossa.	89
(5.25)	3 month later radiograph shows port- catheter catheter in place	90

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
(2.1)	Tests of Ascetic Fluid	21
(2.2)	<i>Classification of types of ascites according</i> <i>to the level of serum-ascites albumin gradi-</i> <i>ent</i>	23
(4.1)	Results statistics	56-62

INTRODUCTION

Ascites is a common complication of advanced malignancies (*Michael A, et al. 2005* and O'Neill MJ, *et al. 2001*). It is also the most common major complication of cirrhosis (*Runyon BA, 1998*). Symptoms of marked abdominal distention, shortness of breath, diminished appetite, fatigue, and lower-extremity edema can significantly compromise a patient's everyday function (O'Neill MJ, *et al. 2001*).

Treatment options for intractable ascites include serial paracentesis, peritoneovenous shunting, liver transplantation, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) creation, and tunneled peritoneal catheters that may be external or, more recently, attached to subcutaneous ports.

In the past, permanent drainage catheters have not been considered viable treatment options for intractable ascites as a result of problems with infection, malposition, and occlusion (*Ross GJ, et al. 1989 and Belfort MA, et al. 1990*).

However, cuffed, tunneled peritoneal catheters have been used for many years for peritoneal dialysis with acceptable complication rates (*Allon M, et al. 1988 and Gloor HJ, et al. 1983*). In 1999, 27,000 people received peritoneal dialysis in the United States, constituting 9% of the dialysis population, with mortality rates similar to or lower than those in hemodialysis patients (*Georgiades CS, Geschwind JFH. 2002*). These catheters have generally been placed in operating rooms (*Allon M, et al. 1988*). Recently, 2-year catheter survival rates with percutaneous placement have been reported to be 49%–82% (*Georgiades CS, Geschwind JFH. 2002*). Rosenblum et al (2001) described the use of a subcutaneous venous access port to treat refractory ascites with promising results in nine patients.

It is therefore appropriate to evaluate a port specifically designed for peritoneal access as a means of controlling intractable ascites. We present a minimally invasive treatment for palliative drainage of symptomatic ascites in patients with advanced malignancy or cirrhosis.

Aim of the work

The aim of this work is to evaluate the percutaneous implantable access system specifically designed for peritoneal access as a method to control intractable ascites as regards complications and patency.

ANATOMY

Compartmental anatomy of the abdomen and pelvis

Knowledge of the complex compartmental anatomy of the abdomen and pelvis is fundamental to understanding the effects of pathologic processes and to correctly interpret imaging studies. An understanding of the shape and extent of anatomic compartments and their normal variations may clarify imaging findings that would otherwise be incomprehensible or lead to misdiagnosis. Fundamental considerations include constant anatomic landmarks, ligaments and fascia that define compartments, and normal variations in size and appearance of the various compartments and recesses. Identifying the precise compartment in which an abnormality is located determines to a great extent the origin of the abnormality. (*Meyers MA.2000*)

The peritoneal cavity is divided into the greater peritoneal cavity and the lesser peritoneal cavity (the lesser sac) *Fig* (2.2). Within both portions of the peritoneal cavity are numerous recesses in which pathologic processes tend to loculate. (*Coakley and Hricak, 1999*)

The right subphrenic space communicates around the liver with the anterior subhepatic and posterior subhepatic space (Morison pouch) Fig (2.1). The Morison pouch (the right hepatorenal fossa) is the most dependent portion of the abdominal cavity in a supine patient, and it collects ascites,

hemoperitoneum, metastases, and abscesses. The right subphrenic and subhepatic spaces communicate freely with the pelvic peritoneal cavity via the right paracolic gutter. (*Coakley and Hricak*, 1999)

The left subphrenic space communicates freely with the left subhepatic space, but it is separated from the right subphrenic space by the falciform ligament and from the left paracolic gutter by the phrenicocolic ligament. The left subphrenic (perisplenic) space distends with fluid from ascites and with blood from splenic trauma. It is a common location for abscesses and for disease processes of the tail of the pancreas.

The left subhepatic space (gastrohepatic recess) is affected by diseases of the duodenal bulb, lesser curve of the stomach, gallbladder, and left lobe of the liver. (*Healy and Reznek, 1999.*Fig (2.2)



FIGURE (2.1) shows right subhrenic and right subhepatic spaces. Quoted from Gray's Anatomy 2001

Free fluid, blood, infection, and peritoneal metastases commonly settle in the pelvis because the pelvis is the most dependent portion of the peritoneal cavity (in the upright patient) and communicates with both sides of the abdomen. (*Meyers MA.2000*)