Facet Joint Injection versus Radiofrequency Facet Neurotomy as an Adjuvant Therapy in Conservative Management of Chronic Low Back Pain

A Thesis
Submitted in partial fulfillment of Masters degree in
Neurosurgery
by

Mahmoud Galaleldeen Abouelela

Resident of Neurosurgery
M.B.,B.Ch
Ain Shams University
Under supervision of

Professor Dr/ Salah Abdelkhalek Hemida

Professor of Neurosurgery

Ain Shams University

Assistant professor Dr/ Hazem Ahmed Mostafa

Assistant professor of Neurosurgery

Ain Shams University

Assistant professor Dr/ Ahmed Galal

Assistant professor of Neurosurgery

Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2013

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are all to **Allah**, The Compassionate and the Merciful, for helping me finish this work, and for blessing me throughout my life by His Compassion and Generosity.

My profound gratitude is to Professor Dr/ Salah Abdelkhalik Hemida, Professor of Neurosurgery, Ain Shams University.

Words fail to express my great indebtedness to Assistant Professor **Dr/ Hazem Ahmed Mostafa**, assistant Professor of Neurosurgery, Ain Shams University, whose continuous supervision, advice and fruitful criticism have been of great help in performing this work.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to Assistant Professor **Dr/ Ahmed Galal**, assistant Professor of Neurosurgery, Ain Shams University, whose advice I always take as my brother's one.

Also, I am deeply indebted to Lecturer **Dr. Ahmed Abdelbar**, Lecturer of Neurosurgery

Faculty of medicine - Ain Shams University for his valuable instructions, inspiring guidance and support throughout this work.

Mahmoud Galaleldeen Abouelela M.B.B.Ch

List of Contents Z

Item	Page
 Acknowledgements 	I
 List of Tables, Figures and abbreviations 	II
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	5
 Review of literature 	6
Functional anatomy of the spine	7
Chronic low back pain	26
 Failed back syndrome 	61
 Facet joint injection and Radiofrequence neurotomy 	e y 74
Subjects and methods	130
Results	138
Discussion	149
 Summary and Conclusion 	160
References	163
 Arabic Summary 	

Figure	Page
<u>Fig. 1</u> . Basic structure of the vertebral column	8
<u>Fig. 2</u> . The normal curves of the spine.	9
<i>Fig.</i> 3. The components of a vertebra	12
<u>Fig 4.</u> Facet joint articulation between lumbar vertebrae	13
<u>Fig 5.</u> Ligaments of the spine	15
<u>Fig 6.</u> Anterior muscle group	16
Fig 7. The intermediate layers of posterior muscle group	17
Fig 8. superficial layers of posterior muscle group	18
Fig 9 . Margolis pain drawing and scoring system.	42
<u>Fig 10</u> . Items of EQ-5D	47
Fig 11. Posterior oblique, Scottie dog	50
Fig 12. Posterior view of lumbar transforaminal epidural steroid injection	50
Fig 13. Lateral view of lumbar transforaminal epidural steroid injection	51
Fig 14. Posterior view of lumbar transforaminal epidural steroid injection	51

Fig 15. Posterior oblique view of lumbar selective nerve root block after contrast injection	54
<u>Fig 16</u> . Posterior oblique view of lumbar facet injection after contrast injection for facet arthrography.	57
Fig 17. Posterior oblique approach for CT-guided facet injection.	58
Fig 18. Right lateral oblique view of the lumbar vertebral bodies and the dorsal rami medial branches.	79
Fig 19. Schematic drawing of the spinal cord and segmental spinal innervation.	82
Fig 20. Planes of the articular facet surfaces of the vertebral arch joints.	85
Fig 21. Facet joint osteoarthritis	95
Fig 22. Right lateral oblique view of radiofrequency lesioning sites	108
Fig 23. A sketch of the circuitry and electric field of thermal radiofrequency (RF) neurotomy.	113
Fig 24. Effects near the tip of the electrode subjected to a radiofrequency current.	114
Fig 25. The volume of tissue coagulated assumes the shape of a prolate spheroid.	

Figure, Tables and Abbreviations \mathscr{L}

Fig 26. Comparison between Injection and Neurotomy groups as regards to Pain score before and after intervention.	143
Fig 27. Comparison between Injection and Neurotomy groups as regards to DAILY activity grade before and after intervention	146

Table	Page
<u>Table 1.</u> Major pain patterns of lumbar facet joint stimulation	96
<u>Table 2.</u> Comparison between Injection and Neurotomy groups as regards to age (years).	138
Table 3. Comparison between Injection and Neurotomy groups as regards to sex	139
<u>Table 4.</u> Comparison between Injection and Neurotomy groups as regards to diagnosis	139
<u>Table 5.</u> Comparison between Injection and Neurotomy groups as regards to previous back operation	140
<u>Table 6.</u> Comparison between Injection and Neurotomy groups as regards to duraion since back operation (years)	140
<u>Table 7.</u> Comparison between Injection and Neurotomy groups as regards to clinical picture before intervention	141
<u>Table 8.</u> Comparison between Injection and Neurotomy groups as regards to Pain score before and after intervention.	142
<u>Table 9.</u> Comparing pain score after intervention to before pain score in injection and Neurotomy groups	144
<u>Table 10</u> : Comparison between Injection and Neurotomy groups as regards to DAILY grade before and after intervention	145

Table 11: Comparing DAILY activity grade after	147
intervention to before DAILY activity grade in	
injection and Neurotomy groups.	

Abbreviations:

MBB: medial branch blocks.

CGRP: calcitonin gene-related peptide.

chAT choline acetyl transferase. CLBP: Chronic low back pain CT : Computed Tomography.

DBH: dopamine B-hydroxylase.

DRG: Dorsal root ganglion.

FBSS: Failed back surgery syndrome. LBP: Low back pain

MRI : Magnetic resonance imaging.

PRF: Pulsed radiofrequency.

ST : sympathetic trunk.

RC: rami communicantes.

RF : Radiofrequency.TN : Trigeminal Neuralgia

INTRODUCTION

Low back pain (LBP) is related to disability and work absence and accounts for high economical costs in western societies. The management of LBP comprises a range of different intervention strategies including surgery, drug therapy, and non-medical interventions (Andersson GB 1999).

Linton et al., 1998 estimated the prevalence of spinal pain in the general population as 66%, with 44% of patients reporting pain cervical region, 56% in the lumbar region, and 15% in the thoracic region. (Manchikanti et al 2002) reported similar results. Despite the high prevalence of spinal pain, it has been suggested that a specific etiology of back pain can be diagnosed in only about 15% of patients with certainty based on clinical examination alone (Bogduk N et al., 2002).

Pivotal to the proper management of chronic spinal pain is the ability to pinpoint an anatomical diagnosis. For this purpose, physical examination is neither reliable nor valid (Bogduk N et al., 2002). No technique of physical examination has sufficient reliability and validity to allow a patho-anatomic diagnosis to be made (Bogduk Net al., 2002).

Radiographic investigations, including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), reveal only some

conditions with certainty (Bogduk N et al., 2002). Medical imaging provides little sound information (Bogduk N et al., 2002).

Failed back surgery syndrome is a common problem with enormous costs to patients, insurers, and society, defined as persistent back and/or leg pain after spine surgery. The etiology of failed back surgery can be poor patient selection, incorrect diagnosis, suboptimal selection failure to poor technique, achieve surgery, surgical goals, and/or recurrent pathology (Richard D et al., 2006).

Pathologies implicated in failed back syndrome (post laminectomy syndrome) includes recurrent herniation, arachnoiditis, instability, epidural fibrosis, spinal stenosis, traumatic neuritis (battered root syndrome), juxtafusional discogenic pain, sacroiliac joint or spinal joint pain, and many others (Schofferman J et al., 2003).

Management of post lumbar surgery syndrome with numerous modalities of treatments including interventional techniques, results in moderate improvement, yet leaves a proportion of patients in intractable pain (Boswell MV et al., 2007). The success rate of repeat back surgery declines in parallel with the number of reoperations (North RB et al., 2005).

Successful intervention in this difficult patient population requires a detailed history, precise physical examination, and carefully chosen diagnostic tests (Richard D. Guyer et al., 2006).

Zygapophyseal (Facet) joints have been implicated as the source of chronic pain in 15% to 45% of patients with chronic low back pain (CLBP) (Manchikanti L et al., 2001), (Manchikanti L et al., 2004). The facet or zygapophyseal joints of the lumbar spine have been shown to be capable of causing pain in the low back with referred pain to the lower extremity in healthy volunteers (Fukui S et al., 1997), (Windsor RE et al., 2002).

Bogduk postulated that for any structure to be deemed a cause of back pain, it should have a nerve supply; should be capable of causing pain similar to that seen clinically, ideally healthy volunteers; should be susceptible diseases or injuries that are known to be painful; and should have been shown to be a source of pain in patients by using diagnostic techniques known reliability and validity (Bogduk N 1997).

Bogduk also postulated that diagnostic blockade of a structure with a nerve supply with the ability to generate pain can be performed to test the hypothesis that the target structure is a source of the patient's pain (Bogduk N 1997).

In addition to causing localized spinal pain, facet joints may refer pain to adjacent structures. Pain referral patterns of facet joints have been well described. Cervical facet joint pain may radiated to the neck, head and shoulders and lumbar facet joint pain may refer to the back, buttocks and proximal lower extremities. Referred pain may assume a pseudoradicular pattern, making the underlying diagnosis difficult to confirm (Gilhool JI et al., 2003).

Cervical, thoracic and lumbar facet joints are innervated by the medial branches of the dorsal rami. Facet joint pain may be managed by intraarticular injections, medial branch blocks and neurolysis of medial branch nerves. However, conflicting results have been reported for the intraarticular injections of facet value of ioints, medial branch blocks, and radiofrequency neurolvsis of medial branches in systematic and narrative reviews (Niemisto L eta al ., 2003), (Slipman CW et al., 2003).

The first pulsed radiofrequency procedure—on a lumbar dorsal root ganglion, took place on February 1, 1996, Since then, there had been reports that it has been successfully used for the treatment of myriad pain conditions, including cervical radicular pain, facial pain including trigeminal neuralgia (TN), sacroiliac joint pain, facet arthropathy, shoulder pain, postsurgical pain, radicular pain, groin pain, and myofascial pain conditions (Cohen SP, Van Zundert J 2010).

Aim of The Work

A comparative study between the therapeutic benefit of either facet joint injection block and pulsed radiofrequency neurotomy in the management of chronic low back pain in non surgical patients.

Introduction

The vertebral column normally consists of 24 separate bony vertebrae, together with 5 fused vertebrae that form the sacrum, and usually 4 fused vertebrae that form the coccyx (Alison Middleditch & Jean Oliver, 2005).

It is not unusual for variations to occur, particularly at the lumbosacral junction where the first sacral segment may exist as a separate vertebra. lumbarization of the first sacra1 the Sacralization of fifth vertebra. lumbar vertebra is another variant, in which there is complete or incomplete incorporation of the fifth lumbar vertebra into the sacrum. Hemivertebrae and fused vertebrae also mav occur (Alison Middleditch & Jean Oliver, 2005).

composed The vertebral column is of alternating vertebrae (IV)and intervertebral discs supported by robust spinal ligaments and muscles. All of these elements. bony. cartilaginous, ligamentous, and muscular, are essential to the structural integrity of the spine (A. Rawls and R. E. Fisher 2010).

The spine serves three vital functions:
a) Protecting the spinal cord and spinal nerves,
b) Transmitting the weight of the body, and
c) Providing a flexible axis for movements of the
head and the torso (A. Rawls and R. E. Fisher 2010)