

# **Assessment of Simulated Mandibular Condylar Erosions in Cone Beam CT Imaged with Two Different Voxel Sizes: A Diagnostic Accuracy Study**

Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University in partial fulfillment of the requirement for Master Degree in Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology

**Yasmin Aboulmaaty Mogahed**

*B.D.S. 2010*

**Major area of interest:** Role of Oral Radiology in Diagnosis of Oral Diseases.

**Minor area of interest:** Evaluating validity, effectiveness, and diagnostic accuracy of high versus normal CBCT protocols in evaluating mandibular condylar erosions size.

**Research Code:** ORAD 8:2:13

**Faculty of Dentistry Medicine**

**Cairo University**

**2017**

# **Supervisors**

***Dr. Noha Saleh M. Abu-Taleb***

Associate Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology

Faculty of Dentistry

Cairo University

***Dr. Hany Mahmoud Omar***

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology

Faculty of Dentistry

Cairo University

# **Judgment committee**

## ***Dr. Mona Aboul fotouh***

Professor of Oral Radiology

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

## ***Dr. Dina M. El Beshlawy***

Associate Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology

Faculty of Dentistry

Cairo University

## ***Dr. Noha Saleh M. Abu-Taleb***

Associate Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology

Faculty of Dentistry

Cairo University

## ***Dr. Hany Mahmoud Omar***

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology

Faculty of Dentistry

Cairo University

# *Acknowledgement*

*Before all and above all, thanks to GOD for everything.*

I would like to thank **Dr. Noha Saleh Abu-Taleb** Associate Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University for her generous work and cooperation. It was a great honor to work under her meticulous supervision.

I would like to thank **Dr. Hany M.Omar** Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University for his kindness and valuable guidance. It was honor to work under his supervision.

Special thanks to my friends Eman **Mamdouh**, **Maha Samy**, **Hanan Omar** for their precious advices and emotional support.

I would like to thank all my colleagues and staff members of the Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University for their support throughout the study.

Last but not least I would like to thank my dear husband **Ayman Gad** for standing by my side in all hard times it was a really hard journey, but I will always remember that you are the treasure of the journey thank you for your unlimited support .

# *Dedication*

This work is dedicated to

*My sacrificing mother, my mother in law, the soul of my father*

*My sisters, my brother, my lovely and supportive husband*

*Ayman Gad*

*My lovely sons Malek & Ahmed*

# List of Contents

<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b> .....	<b>4</b>
• <b>Tempromandibular joint</b>	
TMJ Anatomy .....	<b>4</b>
TMJ Disorders .....	<b>9</b>
Imaging of TMJ erosions .....	<b>11</b>
• <b>Cone beam computed tomography</b>	
Introduction .....	<b>14</b>
CBCT image production .....	<b>14</b>
CBCT image quality.....	<b>23</b>
Advantages of CBCT .....	<b>28</b>
Limitations of CBCT.....	<b>32</b>
Application of CBCT in dentistry .....	<b>38</b>
CBCT in the assessment of condylar osseous defects.....	<b>42</b>
<b>AIM OF THE STUDY</b> .....	<b>49</b>
<b>MATERIAL AND METHODS</b> .....	<b>50</b>
<b>RESULTS</b> .....	<b>78</b>
<b>DISCUSSION</b> .....	<b>152</b>
<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</b> .....	<b>167</b>
<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	<b>170</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>171</b>

<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>183</b>
Appendix I.....	183
Appendix II.....	185
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>- 1 -</b>



# List of Tables

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
Table (1) The scan parameters of the CBCT protocols (KV, mA, Exposure time, FOV and voxel size)	<b>61</b>
Table (2) The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the real measurements of all ranges of the defect diameter in the five condylar surfaces	<b>78</b>
Table (3) The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the real measurements of the diameters of the simulated defects in all the condylar surfaces	<b>79</b>
Table (4): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm) measurements of all ranges of the defect diameter in the five condylar surfaces	<b>80</b>
Table (5): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm) measurements of the diameters of the simulated defects in all the condylar surfaces	<b>81</b>
Table (6): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm) measurements of all ranges of the defect diameter in the five condylar surfaces	<b>82</b>
Table (7): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm) measurements of the diameters of the simulated defects in all the condylar surfaces	<b>83</b>
Table (8): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the real measurements of all ranges of the defect depth in the five condylar surfaces	<b>84</b>
Table (9): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the real measurements of the depths of the simulated defects in all the condylar surfaces	<b>85</b>
Table (10): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm) measurements of all ranges of the defect depth in the five condylar surfaces	<b>86</b>
Table (11): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm) measurements of the depths of the simulated defects in all the condylar surfaces	<b>87</b>
Table (12): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm) measurements of all ranges of the defect depth in the five condylar surfaces.	<b>88</b>

Table (13): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges of the CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm) measurements of the depths of the simulated defects in all the condylar surfaces	<b>89</b>
Table (14): False +ve and false –ve results of observer (1) in the detection of simulated defects by CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm)	<b>90</b>
Table (15): 95% confidence limits, lower limits and upper limits of sensitivity, specificity , (PPV), (NPV) and diagnostic accuracy of observer (1) in the detection of simulated defects by CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm)	<b>90</b>
Table (16): False +ve and false –ve results of observer (1) in the detection of simulated defects by CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm)	<b>91</b>
Table (17): 95% confidence limits, lower limits and upper limits of sensitivity, specificity , (PPV), (NPV) and diagnostic accuracy of observer (1) in the detection of simulated defects by CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm)	<b>91</b>
Table (18): False +ve and false –ve results of observer (2) in the detection of simulated defects by CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm)	<b>92</b>
Table (19): 95% confidence limits, lower limits and upper limits of sensitivity, specificity , (PPV), (NPV) and diagnostic accuracy of observer (2) in the detection of simulated defects by CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm)	<b>92</b>
Table (20): False +ve and false –ve results of observer (2) in the detection of simulated defects by CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm)	<b>93</b>
Table (21): 95% confidence limits, lower limits and upper limits of sensitivity, specificity , (PPV), (NPV) and diagnostic accuracy of observer (2) in the detection of simulated defects by CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm)	<b>93</b>
Table (22): Cross-tabulation of observer (1) results of the detection of simulated defects by CBCT normal and high resolution protocols (voxel sizes: 0.4 and 0.2mm)	<b>96</b>
Table (23): K value and percentage of observer (1) intra-observer agreement on the detection of simulated defects by CBCT normal and high resolution protocols (voxel sizes: 0.4 and 0.2mm)	<b>96</b>
Table (25): Cross-tabulation of observer (2) results of the detection of simulated defects by CBCT normal and high resolution protocols (voxel sizes: 0.4 and 0.2mm)	<b>97</b>

Table (25): K value and percentage of observer (2) intra-observer agreement on the detection of simulated defects by CBCT normal and high resolution protocols (voxel sizes: 0.4 and 0.2mm)	<b>97</b>
Table (26): Cross-tabulation of observer(1) and observer (2) results of the detection of simulated defects by CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel sizes: 0.4 mm)	<b>98</b>
Table (27): K value and percentage of inter-observer agreement on the detection of simulated defects by CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel sizes: 0.4 mm)	<b>98</b>
Table (28): Cross-tabulation of observer (1) and observer (2) results of the detection of simulated defects by CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel sizes: 0.2 mm)	<b>99</b>
Table (29): K value and percentage of inter-observer agreement on the detection of simulated defects by CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel sizes: 0.2 mm)	<b>99</b>
Table (30) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm) measurements of defect diameter range (0.8mm<1.2mm ) in the five condylar surfaces	<b>101</b>
Table (31) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm) measurements of defect diameter range (0.8mm<1.2mm ) in the five condylar surfaces	<b>103</b>
Table (32) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between CBCT normal and high resolution protocols' (voxel size: 0.4mm and 0.2mm) measurements of defect diameter range (0.8mm<1.2mm )	<b>105</b>
Table (33) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm) measurements of defect diameter range (1.2 mm<1.6mm) in the five condylar surfaces	<b>107</b>
Table (34) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm) measurements of defect diameter range (1.2 mm<1.6mm ) in the five condylar surfaces	<b>109</b>

Table (35) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between CBCT normal and high resolution protocols' (voxel size: 0.4mm and 0.2mm) measurements of defect diameter range (1.2mm<1.6mm )	<b>111</b>
Table (36) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm) measurements of defect diameter range (1.6 mm≤2mm ) in the five condylar surfaces	<b>113</b>
Table (37) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm) measurements of defect diameter range (1.6 mm≤2mm) in the five condylar surfaces	<b>115</b>
Table (38) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between CBCT normal and high resolution protocols' (voxel size: 0.4mm and 0.2mm) measurements of defect diameter range (1.6mm≤2mm )	<b>117</b>
Table (39): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT normal and high resolution protocols' (voxel size: 0.4mm and 0.2mm) measurements in all ranges of defect diameter	<b>118</b>
Table (40) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm) measurements of defect depth range (0.5 mm<1.5mm ) in the five condylar surfaces	<b>121</b>
Table (41) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm) measurements of defect depth range (0.5 mm<1.5mm) in the five condylar surfaces	<b>123</b>
Table (42) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between CBCT normal and high resolution protocols' (voxel size: 0.4mm and 0.2mm) measurements of defect depth range (0.5 mm<1.5mm)	<b>125</b>
Table (43) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.4mm) measurements of defect depth range (1.5 mm<2.5mm ) in the five condylar surfaces	<b>127</b>

Table (44) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size: 0.2mm) measurements of defect depth range (1.5 mm<2.5mm ) in the five condylar surfaces	<b>129</b>
Table (45) : The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between CBCT normal and high resolution protocols' (voxel size: 0.4mm and 0.2mm) measurements of defect depth range (1.5 mm<2.5mm)	<b>131</b>
Table (46): The mean, standard deviation, 95% upper and lower CI ranges and P values of the comparison between real and CBCT normal and high resolution protocols' (voxel size: 0.4mm and 0.2mm) measurements in all ranges of defect depth	<b>132</b>
Table (47):The means, SD, mean difference, mean absolute difference, relative mean absolute difference DE, RDE and ICC results of error assessment of defects diameter measurements of all ranges using CBCT normal and high resolution protocols (voxel size: 0.4mm and 0.2mm)	<b>136</b>
Table (48):The means, SD, mean difference, mean absolute difference, relative mean absolute difference DE, RDE and ICC results of error assessment of defect depth measurements of all ranges using CBCT normal (voxel size:0.4mm) and high (voxel size:0.4mm) resolution protocols	<b>137</b>
Table (49): The means, standard deviations (SD), DE, RDE and ICC results of intra-observer reliability of defect diameter measurements using CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size:0.4mm)	<b>144</b>
Table (50): The means, standard deviations (SD), DE, RDE and ICC results of intra-observer reliability of defect diameter measurements using CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size:0.2mm)	<b>145</b>
Table (51): The means, standard deviations (SD), DE, RDE and ICC results of inter-observer reliability of defect diameter measurements using CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size:0.4mm)	<b>146</b>
Table (52): The means, standard deviations (SD), DE, RDE and ICC results of inter-observer reliability of defect diameter measurements using CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size:0.2mm)	<b>147</b>
Table (53): The means, standard deviations (SD), DE, RDE and ICC results of intra- observer reliability of defect depth measurements using CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size:0.4mm)	<b>148</b>

Table (54): The means, standard deviations (SD), DE, RDE and ICC results of intra- observer reliability of defect depth measurements using CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size:0.2mm)	<b>149</b>
Table (55): The means, standard deviations (SD), DE, RDE and ICC results of inter- observer reliability of defect depth measurements using CBCT normal resolution protocol (voxel size:0.4mm)	<b>150</b>
Table (56): The means, standard deviations (SD), DE, RDE and ICC results of inter- observer reliability of defect depth measurements using CBCT high resolution protocol (voxel size:0.2mm)	<b>151</b>

# List of Figures

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
Fig (1): A diagram representing the TMJ anatomy.	<b>5</b>
Fig (2): Diagrams representing the muscles of the TMJ: temporalis, masseter, medial and lateral pterygoid muscles. Also shown are the other muscles associated with jaw function; mylohyoid, anterior and posterior digastric, and stylohyoid muscles.	<b>5</b>
Fig (3) A diagram representing the TMJ Disc and joint compartments.	<b>6</b>
Fig (4) A diagram representing a cross section through the mandibular condyle. The mandibular fibrocartilage covers the articular surface of the condyle . The thin subchondral bone. The medullary bone .	<b>7</b>
Fig (5) Different shapes of the mandibular condyle from lateral, posterior and superior views.	<b>8</b>
Fig (6) Condylar bone changes in degenerative TMJ diseases observed macroscopically. (A) Erosion with exposure to bone marrow. (B) Osteophyte. (C) Flattening of the condyle with the preservation of the cortical bone	<b>10</b>
Fig (7) Temporomandibular joint tomogram revealing mild erosions at the anterior aspect of the left condyle.	<b>11</b>
Fig (8) Temporomandibular joint tomogram revealing mild erosions at the anterior aspect of the left condyle.	<b>12</b>
Fig (9) MSCT (coronal plane) in osteoarthritis. The right TMJ reveals condylar erosions, osteophyte subchondral cyst and sclerosis.	<b>13</b>
Fig (10) A diagram representing the principle of CBCT. An X- ray source and opposing detector are rotating around the patient's jaw.	<b>15</b>