

Ain Shams University Faculty of Women for Arts, Science and Education Biochemistry and Nutrition Department

The Effect of Phytoestrogen consumption on Breast Cancer in Postmenopausal Female Rats

Thesis Submitted for partial fulfillment of Ph.D. degree in Biochemistry & Nutrition

By Nehad Naem Hamed Shosha

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Nagwa Ibrahim Yahia Hassanin

Professor of Nutrition Dept. of Biochemistry and Nutrition Faculty of women - Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Hanan Mohamed FathyAbd El Wahab

Professor of Biochemistry and Nutrition Dept. of Biochemistry and Nutrition Faculty of women - Ain Shams University

Dr. Amal Ashmawy Ahmed EL-Kirsh

Lecturer in Biochemistry and Nutrition Department Faculty of women - Ain Shams University

2016



Acknowledgment

First I would like to thank **Allah** for giving me strength, capability and opportunity to do this work.

I offer my regards and blessings to **Prof. Dr. Nagwa Ibrahim Yahia Hassanin,** Professor of Nutrition, Biochemistry and Nutrition Department, Faculty of Women, Ain Shams University, for her sincere advice, choice of research topic, suggesting, planning the point of research and kindly support.

My deepest gratitude and sincere appreciation goes to **Prof. Dr. Hanan Mohamed Fathy Abdel-Wahab**, Professor of Biochemistry and Nutrition, Biochemistry and Nutrition Department, Faculty of Women, Ain Shams University, for her great help, guidance, appropriate, her fruitful criticism has been most helpful at all stages and continuous encouragement, she tided me over many difficulties throughout the work. No words seem to be sufficient to describe, to her owe much.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude and deep thanks to *Dr*. **Amal Ashmawy Ahmed El-Kirsh** Lecturer in Biochemistry and Nutrition Department, Faculty of Women, Ain Shams University, for sincere advice and help throughout the work, her guidance, kindness and continuous encouragement.

I would like to express my great thankful to **Dr. Hala Fahmy Abd-Ellah Sayed** Assistant Professor of Histology and Cytology. Zoology Department, Faculty of Women, Ain Shams University, for helping me during the practical histopathological part of this work.

I am grateful for the staff members of Biochemistry and Nutrition Department, faculty of women, Ain Shams university, for their help and support.

Dedication

This work is dedicated to my family; my mother and my father for their love, continues help and support.

Also I am greatly thankful to my husband, for encouragement, support and help and also my daughter Sarah and my son Omr.

I always inspired to complete this work

List of content

Content

page

List of tables	vi
List of figures	V
Abstract	iii
I- Introduction	1
II- Aim of the work	4
III-Review of Literature	5
- Basic concepts of breast carcinogenesis	5
- Epidemiology of breast cancer	7
- Risk factors for development of breast cancer	8
- Classification of breast cancer	1
- Staging of breast cancer	2
- Role of oxidative stress as aiding agent in initiation of 1	3
breast cancer	
- Mechanism of action between the immune system and 1	4
inflammation in defense against breast cancer	
• Anti-breast cancer effector cells of the immune 1	7
system	
• Tumor cell-autonomous modification that enable 1	8
breast tumors to evade immune system	
• Tumor cells immunosuppressive microenvironment 1	9
of breast cancer	
- Animal model of breast cancer	20
• NMU mechanism of action	22
- Phytoestrogen	23
Classification of phytoestrogens	24
• Chrysin	26
- Estrogenic effect of phytoestrogens on breast cancer 2	27
Effect of phytoestrogen on estrogen receptor (ER) in	
breast cancer	31
- Effect of phytoestrogens on the growth of BC in vitro	

and in vivo
- Relation between timing of exposure to phytoestrogens
and its effect against breast cancer risks
• Effect of chrysin against breast cancer
IV-Materials and methods
-Materials
1- Preparation of N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (NMU)
2- Preparation of chrysin
3- Biological experiment
3.1. Diet
3.2 Animals
3.3 Experimental Design
- Methods
1-Samples
2-Histopathological Examination
3. Hematological parameter
4. Serum biochemical assays
4.1.Determination of C-reactive protein (CRP)
4.2. Determination of carcinoemberyonic antigen
(CEA)
4.3. Determination of estradiol level (E ₂) 4
4.4. Determination of total antioxidant capacity
(TAC)
4.5. Determination of nitric oxide (NO)
4.6. Determination of malondialdehyde
(MDA)
4.7. Determination of arginase activity
4.8. Determination of glutathione – S– transferase
(GST) activity
4.9. Determination of catalase activity (CAT)
5-Statistical analysis
III- Results
1. Hematological parameters
1.1 Platelet (PLT) and white blood cell (WBC) counts,
lymphocytes, monocytes and granulocytes percentage in
different experimental groups

1. 2. Levels of hemoglobin (Hb), mean corpuscular	
volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH),	
mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC)	
and red cell distribution width (RDW) in different	
experimental groups	5
2. Biochemical assays	2
2.1. Levels of C-reactive protein (CRP),	
carcinoemberyonic antigen (CEA), and estradiol (E ₂) in	
different experimental groups	2
2.2. Activities of serum arginase, Glutathion-S-	
transeferase (GST) and catalase (CAT) in different	
experimental groups	8
2.3. Total antioxidant capacity (TAC), malondialdhyde	
(MDA), and nitric oxide (NO) concentrations in different	
experimental groups	1
3. Histopathological examination)
V-Discussion 10	0
1. Hematological parameters10	0
1.1. Platelet (PLT) and white blood cell (WBC) counts,	
lymphocytes, monocytes and granulocytes percentage in	
different experimental groups	0
1.2. Levels of hemoglobin (Hb), mean corpuscular	
volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH),	
mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC)	
and red cell distribution width (RDW%) in different	
experimental groups	4
2. Biochemical assays)/
2.1. Levels of C-reactive protein (CRP),	
carcinoemberyonic antigen (CEA), and estradiol (E_2) in	~
different experimental groups)/
2.2. Activities of serum arginase, Glotathione-S-	
transeferase (GS1) and catalase (CA1) in different	2
experimental groups 11 2.2 Tatal anti-articlent consolity (TAC)	3
2.5. Total antioxidant capacity (TAC), malondialdhyde	
(NIDA), and nitric oxide (NO) concentrations in different	7
experimental groups	/

3. Histopathological examination	122
VI- Summary	127
IX- Conclusion	131
X-Recommendation.	132
XI- References	133
Arabic summary	

List of abbreviations

AOM	: Azoxymethane
APC	: Antigen presenting cell
b.wt.	: Body weight
BC	: Breast cancer
BMI	: Body mass index
CAT	: Catalase
CD8+T	: Cytotoxic T cell that cotain CD8 glycoprotein
CDNB	: 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene
CEA	: Carcinoembryonic antigen
CIS	: Carcinomas insitu
COX-2	: Cyclooxygenase 2
CRP	: C-reacive protein
CTLs	: Cytotoxic T lymphocytes
CYP	: Cytochrome
DAMO	: Diacetylmonoxime
DCIS	: Ductral carcinoma insitu
DEN	: Diethylnitrosoamine
DEN	: Dimethyl nitorosamine
DHBS	: 3,5-Dichloro-2- hydroxybenzene sulfonic acid
DHEA	: Dehydroepiandrosterone
DMBA	: 7,12-dimethyl benzanthracene
DNA	: Deoxyribonucleic acid
E ₁ S	: Esterone sulfate
$\mathbf{E_2}$: Estradiol
EDTA	: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
eNOS	: Endothelial NOS
EpRE	: Electrophile responsive element
ER	: Estrogen receptor
ERα	: Estrogen receptor α
ΕRβ	: Estrogen receptor β
ETS	: Estrogen sulfotransferase
FSH	:Follicle-stimulating hormone
GP _X	: Glutathione peroxidase
GR	: Glutathione reductase

GSH	: Reduced Glutathione
GST	: Glutathione-S- transferase
H&E	: Hematoxylin and eosin
H_2O_2	: Hydrogen peroxide
Hb	: Hemoglobin
HER2	: Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2
HRP	: Horseadish peroxidase
HRT	: Hormone replacement therapy
HSDs	: 17-β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase
i.P.	: Intraperitoneal
IGF-1	: Insulin like growth factor-1
IL	: Interleukin
ΙΝΓγ	: Interferon γ
iNOS	: Inducible NOS
LCIS	: Lobular carcinoma insitu
LH	: Luteinizing hormone
LN	: Lobular neoplasia
MCA	: Methyl chloranthracene
MCH	: Mean corpuscular hemoglobin
MCHC	: Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration
MCV	: Mean corpuscular volume
MDA	: Malondialdhyde
MMP	: Matrix metalloproteinase
NEDA	: N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediamine
NF-kB	: Nuclear factor kappa B
NK cells	: Natural killer cells
NMU	: N –methyl N-nitrosourea
nNOS	: Neuronal NOS
NO	: Nitric oxide
NOS	: Nitric oxide synthase
NOX	: NADP oxidase
NQO-1	: NADPH quinine oxidoreductase
OD	: Optical density
P53	: Tumor suppressor protein
p.o.	: Per orally
PCV	: Packed cell volume

ii

PI-9 : Protease inhibitor-9	
PLT : Platlets	
PR : Progesteron receptor	
RBCs : Red blood cells	
ROS : Reactive oxygen species	
SPSS : Statistical package for social science	
STS : Steroid sulfatase	
TAC : Total antioxidant capacity	
TBA : Thiobarbituric acid	
TMB : 3,3 ¹ ,5,5 ¹ tetramethylbenzidine	
TNBC : Triple-negative breast cancer	
TNF α : Tumor nicrosis factor α	
TRAIL : TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligan	d
TPA :12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate)
Treg cells : T regulatory cells	
VEGF : Vascular endothelial growth factor	
WBC : White blood cell	
WHO : World health organization	
WR : Working reagents	

List of tables

Table (1): Composition of the commercial diet	39
Table (2): Platelet and white blood cell (WBC) counts,	61
and the percent of lymphocytes, monocytes and	
granulocytes in different experimental groups	
Table (3): Levels of hemoglobin (Hb), mean corpuscular	<u>68</u>
volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH),	
mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC)	
and Red cell distribution width (RDW) % in different	
experimental groups	
Table (4): Concentrations of C-reactive protein (CRP),	75
carcinoemberyonic antigen (CEA) and estradiol (E_2) in	
different experimental groups	
Table (5): Activities of serum arginase, Glutathion-S-	81
transeferase (GST) and catalase (CAT) in different	
experimental groups	
Table (6): Levels of serum total antioxidant capacity	87
(TAC), malondialdhyde (MDA), and nitric oxide (NO)	
in different experimental groups	

List of figures

Figure (1): Carcinogenesis stages	5
Figure (2): Cancer mortality profile	8
Figure (3): Chemical structure for NMU and DMBA	22
Figure (4): Chemical structure of the major classes of phytoestrogens	25
compared with the structure of estradiol	
Figure (5): Chemical structure of chrysin and apgenin	26
Figure (6): Pathways of estrogen synthesis and catabolism in relation to	29
sensitivity of tissue to estrogens	
Figure (7): Steroid synthesis in intratumoral stromal and carcinoma	30
cells and the potential sites at which flavones and isoflavones may	
inhibit the production of biologically active estrogens	
Figure (8): Summary of potential actions of phytoestrogens	34
Figure (9):Percent of change for platelet (PLT) count from negative	62
control group	
Figure (10):Percent of change for white blood cell (WBC) count from	62
negative control group	
Figure (11):Percent of change for lymphocytes percent from negative	63
control group	
Figure (12):Percent of change for monocytes percent from negative	63
control group	
Figure (13):Percent of change for granulocytes percent from negative	64
control group	
Figure (14):Percent of change for hemoglobin level (Hb) from negative	69
control group	
Figure (15):Percent of change for mean corpuscular volume (MCV)	69
from negative control group	

Figure (16):Percent of change for mean corpuscular hemoglobin	70
(MCH) from negative control group	
Figure (17):Percent of change for mean corpuscular hemoglobin	70
concentration (MCHC) from negative control group	
Figure (18):Percent of change for red cell distribution width (RDW %)	71
from negative control group	
Figure (19):Percent of change for serum C-reactive protein (CRP) from	76
negative control group	
Figure (20): Percent of change for serum carcinoemberyonic antigen	76
(CEA) from negative control group	
Figure (21):Percent of change for serum estradiol (E ₂) from negative	77
control group	
Figure (22): Percent of change for serum arginase activity from	82
negative control group	
Figure (23):Percent of change for serum Glutathion-S-transeferase	82
(GST) activity from negative control group	
Figure (24):Percent of change for serum catalase (CAT) activity from	83
negative control group	
Figure (25):Percent of change for total antioxidant capacity (TAC) from	88
negative control group	
Figure (26):Percent of change for malondialdhyde (MDA) from	88
negative control group	
Figure (27):Percent of change for nitric oxide (NO) from negative	89
control group	
Figures (28& 29): Light micrograph showing the mammary gland of	91
negative control female rats (Group1)	

Figures (30& 31): Light micrographs of the mammary gland of Group2	93
rats	
Figures (32& 33): Light micrographs of the mammary gland of	94
Group3 rats	
Figures (34& 35): Light micrographs of the mammary gland of Group4	96
rats	
Figures (36& 37): Light micrographs of the mammary gland of Group5	97
rats	
Figure (38): Light micrographs of the mammary gland of Group6 rats	99
Figure (39): Light micrographs of the mammary gland of Group7 rats	99