Objective Assessment of Cortical Activity Changes in Stroke Patients before and after Hand Rehabilitation with and without Botulinum Toxin Injection

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfilment of the requirements of the MD degree in Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation

> By Omnía Alí Abu-Bakr

M.B.B.Ch., M.Sc. Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Nagwa M M Nassar

Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Amal Mostafa Al-Ganzoury

Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Khaled Abo-Elfotouh Ahmed

Professor of Radiology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Ass. Prof. Dr. Eman Ahmed Tawfik

Assistant Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University **2016**



$\overline{\mathfrak{O}}\mathfrak{0}$

My father, gone now but never forgotten Thank you for all you did, my greatest teacher and my best friend.



I would like to express my heartiest acknowledgement to **Prof. Dr. Nagwa Nassar,** Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Ain Shams University, for her constructive suggestions, valuable guidance, and for her continuous support. I'm indebted to her for always being there to help and solve difficulties. I deem myself fortunate to work under her supervision.

My deepest appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Amal Al-Ganzoury,** Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Ain Shams University, for her concrete support and suggestions. I appreciate all her contribution and her great interest in this work.

I'm grateful to **Prof. Dr. Khaled Abo-Elfotouh,** Professor of Radiology, Ain Shams University, for his generous help and ample co-operation.

I wish to express my deepest thanks and gratitude to **Ass. Prof. Dr. Eman Ahmed Tawfik,** Assistant Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Ain Shams University, for her continuous and enthusiastic stimulation throughout the whole work. Thank you for all your advice, ideas and support.

I'm hearty thankful to **Ass. Prof. Dr. Hosam Sakr**, Assistant Prof. of Radiology, Ain Shams University, for his valuable support and guidance.

Special thanks to **Dr. Ahmed M.M Radwan,** Clinical Radiology Specialist, Neuroimaging fellow, M.R.C, for his great help, his enthusiasm for this study, and his up-to-date knowledge.

🞘 Omnia Ali Abu-Bakr

List of Contents

Subject	Page No.
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Tables	iv
List of Figures	vii
Introduction	xiv
Aim of the Work	6
Review of Literature	
Cerebrovascular Stroke	7
Assessment of Spasticity	
Management of Spasticity	
Botulinum Toxin Injection	
Neuroplasticity	71
Subjects and Methods	
Results	
Discussion	
Summary	
Conclusion	
Recommendations	
References	
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

Abbr.	Full-term
AANEM	American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine
AMPA	α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4- isoxazolepropionic acid
ARAT	Action Research Arm Test
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
AUC	Area under curve
BG	Basal ganglia
BOLD	Blood oxygen level dependent
BTX	Botulinum toxin
Ca ⁺⁺	Calcium
CAHAI	Chedoke Arm and Hand Activity Inventory
CAP	Compound action potential
CBM	Cannabis-based medicine
CER	Cerebellum
CMAP	Compound motor action potential
CI therapy	Constraint Induced therapy
CNS	Central nervous system
СТ	Computed tomography
DRT	Dorsal reticulospinal tract
EMG	Electromyography
FAS	Functional Ability Score
FAT	Frenchay Arm Test
FGF-2	Fibroblast growth factor-2
FEAT	fMRI Expert Analysis Tool
FLAIR	Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery
fMRI	Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging

FMRIB	Functional Magnetic Resonance of the brain
GABA	Gamma-aminobutyric acid
H-reflex	Hoffman reflex
\mathbf{K}^+	Potassium
M1	Primary motor area
MAS	Modified Ashworth Scale
MRC	Medical Research Council
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
MRT	Medial reticulospinal tract
Na ⁺	Sodium ions
NMDA	N-methyl-D-aspartate
NPCs	Neural progenitor cells
PDGF	Platelet derived growth factor
PET	Positron emission tomography
PMA	Primary motor area
PNF	Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation
RIA	Reticular inhibitory area
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic
ROM	Range of motion
RST	Reticulospinal tract
S1	Primary sensory area
S2	Secondary sensory area
SSC	Somatosensory cortex
SEPs	Somatosensory evoked potentials
SIS	Stroke Impact scale
SLP	Stationary lumbar potential
SMA	Supplementary motor area
SNAP-25	Synaptosomal-associated proteins 25 kDa
SNAREs	Soluble N-ethylmaleimide–sensitive factor attachment protein receptors

SPASM	Support Program for Assembly of database for Spasticity Measurement
TENS	Transcutaneous nerve stimulation
TH	Thalamus
UMNS	Upper Motor Neuron Syndrome
VAMP	Vesicle-associated membrane protein
VEGF	Vascular endothelial growth factor
VST	Vestibulospinal tract
We Move	Worldwide Education and Awareness for Movement Disorders
WHO	World Health Organization
WMFT	Wolf Motor Function Test

List of Tables

Table N	o. Eitle Page No.
Table (1):	Clinical and functional problems associated with spasticity
Table (2):	Ashworth and Modified Ashworth scale
Table (3):	Lists the different SNARE or site within these targets each of the botulinum toxin serotypes selectively cleaves
Table (4):	Modified Ashworth Scale95
Table (5):	Medical Research Council Scale96
Table (6):	Grades of deep tendon reflexes
Table (7):	Scoring of Action Research Arm Test100
Table (8):	Subscales of Action Research Arm Test101
Table (9):	WE MOVE recommendations of botulinum toxin injection
Table (10):	Comparison between each of group A and group B patient and the control group as regard age and gender, and comparison between group A patients and group B patients as regard age, gender, and disease duration 121
Table (11):	Comparison of clinical and functional data of group A and group B patients at baseline 122
Table (12):	Comparison of baseline electrophysiological data between each of the patient groups and the control group and between group A & B patients124
Table (13):	Comparison of fMRI data between each of the patient groups and the control group, and between group A patients and group B patients at baseline

List of Tables (Cont.)

Cable N	o. Citle	Page No.
Table (14):	Comparison of clinical data at baselin weeks post-treatment program among patients	group A
Table (15):	Comparison of electrophysiological group A at baseline and post-treatment	
Table (16):	Comparison of fMRI data at baseline a treatment among group A patients	*
Table (17):	Comparison of clinical and functional baseline and post-treatment among g patients	group B
Table (18):	Comparison of electrophysiological baseline and post-treatment among g patients	group B
Table (19):	Comparison of fMRI data at baseline a treatment among group B patients	▲
Table (20):	Comparison of Δ change of the clinical group A and group B patients	
Table (21):	Comparison of functional data delta cl group A and group B patients	Ų
Table (22):	Comparison of electrophysiological change of group A and group B patient	
Table (23):	Comparison of fMRI data Δ change of and group B patients	• •
Table (24):	Correlation between baseline N20 late baseline Modified Ashworth Scale, fur electrophysiological, and fMRI data	nctional,

List of Tables (Cont.)

Eable N	o. Citle	Page No.
Table (25):	Correlation between baseline N20-P25 a and baseline clinical, functional, physiological, and fMRI data	electro-
Table (26):	Correlation study between baseline nu voxel of primary motor area (PMA) and clinical, functional, and electrophysiologic	baseline
Table (27):	Correlation study between baseline nu voxels of supplementary motor area (SM baseline clinical, functional, and physiological data	VIA) and electro-
Table (28):	Correlation study between baseline nu voxels of basal ganglia (BG) and clinical, functional, and electro-physi data	baseline ological
Table (29):	Correlation study between baseline nu voxels of thalamus and baseline functional, and electrophysiological data.	mber of clinical,
Table (30):	Correlation study between baseline nu voxels of cerebellum and baseline functional, and electrophysiological data	clinical,
Table (31):	Correlation study between baseline nu voxels of somatosensory cortex (SS baseline clinical, functional, and physiological	SC) and electro-
Table (32):	Correlation between baseline Modified A scale (MAS) and baseline F/M, H/M ratio	
Table (33):	Cut-off point study of SEP study	
Table (34):	Cut-off point study of fMRI data	

List of Figures

Figure No.	Citle Page No.
Figure (1):	The ischemic cascade9
Figure (2):	The neural circuit of the stretch reflex
Figure (3):	The physiological pathway involved in motor control
Figure (4):	Vicious cycle of spasticity25
Figure (5):	The adducted internally rotated shoulder 28
Figure (6):	Flexed elbow
Figure (7):	Pronated forearm
Figure (8):	Flexed wrist
Figure (9):	Clenched fist
Figure (10):	Thumb-in-palm deformity
Figure (11):	H-reflex
Figure (12):	Mechanism of autogenic inhibition
Figure (13):	Mechanism of reciprocal inhibition50
Figure (14):	The composition and activation of botulinum toxin
Figure (15):	Mechanism of action of botulinum toxin
Figure (16):	The dorsal lemniscal system75
Figure (17):	The Homunculus Cortical representation of various aspects of the body76
Figure (18):	Schematic diagram showing the haemodynamic variables of fMRI
Figure (19):	fMRI activation of the motor system during finger movement

List of Figures (Cont.)

Figure No.	Citle Page N	No.
Figure (20):	Action Research Arm Test	100
Figure (21):	Nine Hole Peg Test	102
Figure (22):	The international 10-20 system	109
Figure (23):	Comparison of baseline clinical and functional data of group A and group B	123
Figure (24):	Comparison F/M and H/M of group A & B patient and control group at baseline	124
Figure (25):	Comparison N20 latency and N20-P25 amplitude of group A & B patient and control group at baseline	125
Figure (26):	Mean fMRI activity of the control group	127
Figure (27):	Mean fMRI activity of group A patients	127
Figure (28):	Mean fMRI activity of group B patients	127
Figure (29):	Comparison of fMRI data of group A patients, group B patients, and control group at baseline	129
Figure (30):	Comparison of clinical and functional data at baseline and 8 weeks post- treatment program among group A patients	131
Figure (31):	Baseline H-reflex of flexor carpi radialis muscle of a patient group A	
Figure (32):	Post-treatment H-reflex of flexor carpi radialis of a patient in group A patients	133

List of Figures (Cont.)

Figure No.	Title	Page	No.
Figure (33):	Comparison of F/M and H/M r baseline and post-treatment among g patients	roup A	134
Figure (34):	Baseline somatosensory evoked po of a patient in group A		135
Figure (35):	Post-treatment somatosensory of potential of a patient in group A		135
Figure (36):	Comparison of N20 latency and N2 amplitude at baseline and post-tree program among group A patients	atment	136
Figure (37):	(A) The mean Baseline fMRI acti gruup A patients. (B) The mean treatment fMRI activity of gro patients	n post- oup A	138
Figure (38):	Comparison of fMRI at baseline an treatment among group A patients.	•	139
Figure (39):	Comparison of clinical and fundata of group B at baseline and treatment	l post-	140
Figure (40):	Baseline H-reflex of flexor carpin of a patient in group B patients		142
Figure (41):	Post-treatment H-reflex of flexor radialis of a patient in group B		142
Figure (42):	Comparison of F/M and H/M of g at baseline and post-treatment		143
Figure (43):	Baseline somatosensory evoked po of a patient in group B		144

List of Figures (Cont.)

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (44):	Post-treatment somatosensory potential of a patient in group B	
Figure (45):	Comparison of N20 latency and N amplitude of group B at baseline as treatment	nd post-
Figure (46):	(A): The mean baseline fMRI act group B. (B): The mean post-tr fMRI activity of group B patients	reatment
Figure (47):	Comparison of fMRI data at basel post-treatment among group B pati	
Figure (48):	Comparison of clinical data Δ ch group A and group B patients	U
Figure (49):	Comparison of functional data Δ of group A and group B patients	•
Figure (50):	Comparison of electrophysiologic Δ change of group A and group B	
Figure (51):	Comparison of fMRI data Δ chargroup A and group B patients	0
Figure (52):	Correlation between baseline N20 and baseline Modified Ashworth (MAS) of fingers long flexors	h Scale
Figure (53):	Correlation between baseline N20 and baseline of 9 Hole Pegs test	•
Figure (54):	Correlation between baseline N20 and baseline F/M ratio	•