# TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOMENECLATURExi		
GREEK LETTERSxii		
ABBRE	EVIATIONS	xiii
ABSTR	RACT	xiv
CHAPT	FER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Types of Cooling Towers	1
1.2	2.1 Classification according to air flow driving force	1
1.2	2.2 Classification according to Air Flow	2
1.2	2.3 Classification by Construction	3
1.3	Factors Affecting Cooling Towers Performance	3
1.3	3.1 Wet-Bulb Temperature	3
1.3	3.2 Recirculation	4
1.3	3.3 Interference	4
1.4	Factors Affecting Recirculation	5
1.4	4.1 Prevailing Wind	5
1.4	4.2 Tower shape	5
1.4	4.3 Orientation with respect to wind	5
1.4	4.4 Equipment layout and planning	6
1.4	4.5 Provision for future extensions	6
1.4	4.6 Air Discharge Velocity	7
1.4	4.7 Fan Cylinder Height and Spacing	8
1.5	CFD	8
1.6	Aim of the Work	9
CHAPT	FER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Experimental investigations	10
2.3	Theoretical investigations	12
2.4	Numerical investigations	13
2.5	Summary and scope of present work	
CHAPT	FER 3 THE GOVERNING EQUATIONS	21
3.1	The Mass Conservation Equation	21

3.2	The	e Momentum Equation	22
3.3	The	e Energy Equation	22
3.4	Spe	ecies Transport Equation	23
3.5	Tur	bulence Modeling	23
3.5	.1	Large Eddy Simulation (LES)	24
3.6	Nu	merical Solution	25
3.6	.1	Numerical Solution stages	25
3.6	.2	Numerical solution scheme	25
3.6	.3	The Pressure Interpolation Schemes	27
3.6	.4	The Pressure-Velocity Coupling	27
3.7	The	e Geometry and the Grid	27
CHAPT	ER 4	4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Intr	roduction:	
4.2	Val	lidation	
4.3	Cas	se Geometry	29
4.4	Βοι	undary Conditions	30
4.5	Syr	nmetry	31
4.6	Gri	d Sizing	31
4.7	Par	ametric Study	34
4.7	.1	Case (1): Wind Velocity	36
4.7	.2	Case (2): Wind Direction	53
4.7	.3	Case (3): Exhaust Fan Outlet Velocity	58
4.7	.4	Case (4): Louver Height from Roof Level	67
4.7	.5	Case (5): Parapet wall Height	80
4.7	.6	Case (6): Reduced parapet wall height and increased fan stack heigh	ıt85
CHAPT	ER :	5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	90
REFER	ENC	CES	92

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Cooling Tower data	
Table 2: Summary of cases under study	
Table 3: Parameters used for Case 1 at wind velocity of 3 m/s	
Table 4: Parameters used for Case 1 at wind velocity of 5 m/s	40
Table 5: Parameters used for Case 1 at wind velocity of 7 m/s	44
Table 6: Parameters used for Case 1 at wind velocity of 10 m/s	
Table 7: Case (1) results	
Table 8: Parameters used for Case 2 at parallel wind direction	53
Table 9: Case (2) results	57
Table 10: Parameters used for Case 3 at exhaust velocity of 10 m/s	
Table 11: Parameters used for Case 3 at exhaust velocity of 12 m/s	62
Table 12: Case (3) results	66
Table 13: Parameters used for Case 4 at louver opening height of 3 m	67
Table 14: Parameters used for Case 4 at louver opening height of 5 m	71
Table 15: Parameters used for Case 4 at louver opening height of 7 m	75
Table 16: Case (4) results	79
Table 17: Parameters used for Case 5 at parapet wall height of 20.5 m	80
Table 18: Case (5) results	
Table 19: Parameters used for Case 6 at increased fan stack by 1 m	85
Table 20: Case (6) results	

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Relation between wet bulb and cooling tower supply temperatures	.4
Figure 1.2: Cooling Tower recirculation	.4
Figure 1.3: Cooling Towers Interference	.5
Figure 1.4: Recommended orientation of cooling towers with respect to parallel winds	5
Figure 1.5: Recommended orientation of cooling towers with respect to cross winds	.6
Figure 1.6: Wet bulb contour for an existing large cooling towers	.7
Figure 1.7: Effect of wind and exhaust velocity ratio on cooling tower plume	.8
Figure 1.8: Relation between velocity ratio and recirculation ratio	.8
Figure 2.1: Streamline picture presenting areas of recirculation	10
Figure 2.2: Cooling tower diagram	11
Figure 2.3: Air intake at a cooling tower model during (a) no wind, (b) wind speed of	
4.2 m/s	11
Figure 2.4: Total recirculation versus wind speed for two ACC platform heights	12
Figure 2.5: Relation between heat transfer ratio and wind to cooling tower exhaust	
velocity ratio1	12
Figure 2.6: Configuration of the Indirect Cooling towers of the 1 GW power station1	13
Figure 2.7: Cooling Towers are installed at an intermediate interconnected floor of the	;
high rise buildings	14
Figure 2.8: The simulated plume shape colored with the local vertical velocity	14
Figure 2.9: Moisture fraction contours for different obstacle height (H) at obstacle	
distance of 1 meter	15
Figure 2.10: Velocity path lines at crosswind speed of 4 m/s and $0^{\circ}$ wind direction wit	h
and without break walls	15
Figure 2.11: Temperature contour for wind speeds of (a) 0 m/s. (b) 0.5 m/s. (c) 2 m/s.	
(d) $4 \text{ m/s}$ , (e) $6 \text{m/s}$ and (d) $8 \text{m/s}$	16
Figure 2.12: Temperature Contours for flow around cooling tower	16
Figure 2.13: Temperature contours at (a) no wind condition (b) Wind speed of 10 m/s	-
1	17
Figure 2.14: Temperature contour at wind speed ratio of 2.5	17
Figure 2.15: Velocity Colored Pathlines of exhaust in closed walls case	18
Figure 2.16: Velocity Colored Pathlines of exhaust when using louvers at walls	18
Figure 2.17: Location of windbreak walls with respect to the inlet of the tower	19
Figure 2.18: Dry-bulb temperature distribution inside the NDWCT at crosswinds	
velocity of: (a) 0 m/s, (b) 10 m/s.	19
Figure 2.19: Air temperatures during wind velocity of 5m/s	20
Figure 3.1: Governing Equations	21
Figure 3.2: Numerical Solution Stages	25
Figure 3.3: Solution Steps	26
Figure 3.4: Flow chart of the segregated solution method	26
Figure 4.1: LES simulation results compared to wind tunnel measurements	28
Figure 4.2: Case Geometry	29
Figure 4.3: Wind Profile	30
Figure 4.4: Plant model areas of measurements for grid sizing	31
Figure 4.5: Area weighted average temperature comparison on cooling tower intakes	- 1
for different number of mesh volumes	32

Figure 4.6: Area weighted average relative humidity comparison on cooling tower	
intakes for different number of mesh volumes	32
Figure 4.7: Model main components	35
Figure 4.8: Case 1 at a wind velocity of 3 m/s	36
Figure 4.9: Dry bulb temperature contours at cooling towers intake	37
Figure 4.10: Dry Bulb temperature distribution	37
Figure 4.11: Relative Humidity contours at cooling towers intake	38
Figure 4.12: Relative Humidity distribution at mid section	38
Figure 4.13: Vectors of velocity in vertical plane	39
Figure 4.14: Velocity streamlines at mid section	39
Figure 4.15: Case 1 at a wind velocity of 5 m/s	40
Figure 4.16: Dry bulb temperature contours at cooling towers intake	41
Figure 4.17: Dry Bulb temperature distribution	41
Figure 4.18: Relative Humidity contours at cooling towers intake	42
Figure 4.19: Relative Humidity distribution at mid section	42
Figure 4.20: Vectors of velocity in vertical plane	43
Figure 4.21: Velocity streamlines at mid section	43
Figure 4.22: Case 1 at a wind velocity of 7 m/s	44
Figure 4.23: Dry bulb temperature contours at cooling towers intake	45
Figure 4.24: Dry Bulb temperature distribution	45
Figure 4.25: Relative Humidity contours at cooling towers intake	46
Figure 4.26: Relative Humidity distribution at mid section	46
Figure 4.27: Vectors of velocity in vertical plane	47
Figure 4.28: Velocity streamlines at mid section	47
Figure 4.29: Case 1 at a wind velocity of 10 m/s	48
Figure 4.30: Dry bulb temperature contours at cooling towers intake	49
Figure 4.31: Dry Bulb temperature distribution	49
Figure 4.32: Relative Humidity contours at cooling towers intake	50
Figure 4.33: Relative Humidity distribution at mid section	50
Figure 4.34: Vectors of velocity in vertical plane	51
Figure 4.35: Velocity streamlines at mid section	51
Figure 4.36: Average wet bulb increase for wind speeds of 3, 5, 7 and 10 m/s	52
Figure 4.37: Case 2 at a wind velocity of 10 m/s	53
Figure 4.38: Dry bulb temperature contours at cooling towers intake	54
Figure 4.39: Dry Bulb temperature distribution	54
Figure 4.40: Relative Humidity contours at cooling towers intake	55
Figure 4.41: Relative Humidity distribution at mid section	55
Figure 4.42: Vectors of velocity in vertical plane	56
Figure 4.43: Velocity streamlines at mid section	56
Figure 4.44: Average wet bulb increase for cross wind and parallel wind	57
Figure 4.45: Case 3 at exhaust velocity of 10 m/s	58
Figure 4.46: Dry bulb temperature contours at cooling towers intake	59
Figure 4.47: Dry Bulb temperature distribution	59
Figure 4.48: Relative Humidity contours at cooling towers intake	60
Figure 4.49: Relative Humidity distribution at mid section	60
Figure 4.50: Vectors of velocity in vertical plane	61

Figure 4.51: Velocity streamlines at mid section	61
Figure 4.52: Case 3 at exhaust velocity of 12 m/s	62
Figure 4.53: Dry bulb temperature contours at cooling towers intake	63
Figure 4.54: Dry Bulb temperature distribution	63
Figure 4.55: Relative Humidity contours at cooling towers intake	64
Figure 4.56: Relative Humidity distribution at mid section	64
Figure 4.57: Vectors of velocity in vertical plane	65
Figure 4.58: Velocity streamlines at mid section	65
Figure 4.59: Average wet bulb increase for exhaust speeds of 8, 10 and 12 m/s	66
Figure 4.60: Case 4 at louver opening height of 3 m	67
Figure 4.61: Dry bulb temperature contours at cooling towers intake	68
Figure 4.62: Dry Bulb temperature distribution	68
Figure 4.63: Relative Humidity contours at cooling towers intake	69
Figure 4.64: Relative Humidity distribution at mid section	69
Figure 4.65: Vectors of velocity in vertical plane	70
Figure 4.66: Velocity streamlines at mid section	70
Figure 4.67: Case 4 at louver opening height of 5 m	71
Figure 4.68: Dry bulb temperature contours at cooling towers intake	72
Figure 4.69: Dry Bulb temperature distribution	72
Figure 4.70: Relative Humidity contours at cooling towers intake	73
Figure 4.71: Relative Humidity distribution at mid section	73
Figure 4.72: Vectors of velocity in vertical plane	74
Figure 4.73: Velocity streamlines at mid section	74
Figure 4.74: Case 4 at louver opening height of 7 m	75
Figure 4.75: Dry bulb temperature contours at cooling towers intake	76
Figure 4.76: Dry Bulb temperature distribution	76
Figure 4 77: Relative Humidity contours at cooling towers intake	70
Figure 4 78: Relative Humidity distribution at mid section	77
Figure 4 79: Vectors of velocity in vertical plane	,,
Figure 4.80: Velocity streamlines at mid section	78
Figure 4.81: Average wet hulb increase for parapet louver heights of 3.5.7 and 10n	n 79
Figure 4.82: Case 4 at louver opening height of 3 m	80
Figure 4.83: Dry hulb temperature contours at cooling towers intake	00
Figure 4.84: Dry Bulb temperature distribution	81
Figure 4.85: Relative Humidity contours at cooling towers intake	01
Figure 4.86: Relative Humidity distribution at mid section	02 82
Figure 4.87: Vectors of velocity in vertical plane	02 83
Figure 4.88: Velocity streamlines at mid section	05
Figure 4.80: Velocity streammes at find section	05 QA
Figure 4.09. Average wet build increase for Case-1 and Case-5	04 95
Figure 4.90. Case 0 at increased fail stack of 1 in	05
Figure 4.91. Dry built temperature distribution	00
Figure 4.92. Dry Durb temperature distribution	00
Figure 4.95. Kelative Humidity distribution at mid cooffing	ð/
Figure 4.94: Kelative Humany distribution at mid section	/ 8
Figure 4.95: vectors of velocity in vertical plane	88
Figure 4.96: Velocity streamlines at mid section	88

Figure 4.97: Average Wet bulb increase for Case-1 and Case-6	89
Figure 5.1: Average wet bulb temperature increase	90

# NOMENECLATURE

Symbol	Description
А	Body surface area, m <sup>2</sup>
$\vec{A_j}$	The j face area vector, m <sup>2</sup>
Cp	Specific heat of fluid, kJ/kgK
$D_{i,m}$	Diffusion coefficient for species i, m <sup>2</sup> /s
$D_t$	Turbulent diffusivity, m <sup>2</sup> /s
$G_b$	Generation of turbulence kinetic energy due to buoyancy, kg/m $\mathrm{s}^3$
gi	The component of the gravitational vector in the $i^{th}$ direction, $m\!/s^2$
Ι	Intensity of fluctuation
$J_i$	The diffusion flux of species, kg/m s
Κ	Kinetic energy of turbulence, $m^2/s^2$
Kt	Turbulent thermal conductivity
l	Length scale of Turbulence, m
$\mathbf{M}_{t}$	Turbulent Mach number
Prt	Turbulent Prandtl number for energy
$R_i$	Net rate of production of species <i>i</i> by chemical reaction, $kg/m^3s$
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{i}}$	Rate of creation of species
Sc	Molecular Schmidt number
$\mathbf{Sc}_t$	Turbulent Schmidt number
Sφ	Source term of the general form of conservation equation
u <sub>i</sub>	Fluctuating velocity component, m/s
u <sub>i</sub>	Mean velocity component, m/s
$\vec{u}$	Flow velocity vector, m/s

# **GREEK LETTERS**

ρ	Density of the fluid, kg/m <sup>3</sup>
$ au_{ij}$	The stress tensor, $N/m^2$
$ au_{ij}$	The strain tensor , N/ $m^2$
Eij	Kronecker delta
$\mu_{e\!f\!f}$	Effective turbulent viscosity
Γφ	The diffusion coefficient
μt	Turbulent viscosity, kg.m/s
E	Turbulence dissipation rate, $m^2/s^3$
β	Coefficient of thermal expansion, K <sup>-1</sup>
$\delta V_{j}$	The volume swept out by the control volume face $j, m^3$
$\Phi_{f}$	Value of $\Phi$ convected through face $f$
$\nabla \Phi$	Gradient of $\Phi$
λ	Molecular mean free path factor
$\sigma_{\varepsilon}$	Turbulent Prandtl numbers for $\varepsilon$
$\sigma_K$	Turbulent Prandtl numbers for k
$-\rho \overline{u_i u_j}$	Reynolds stresses term
ψ	Gaussian random number

# **ABBREVIATIONS**

ACC	Air Cooled Condenser
ACHE	Air Cooled Heat Exchanger
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
СТ	Cooling Tower
CV	Control volume
DCP	District Cooling Plant
ERR	Exhaust Recirculation Ratio
FDCT	Forced Draft Cooling Tower
FDDCT	Forced Draft Dry Cooling Tower
FDWCT	Forced Draft Wet Cooling Tower
H <sub>2</sub> O	Water Vapor
HVAC	Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning
IDAC	Indirect Air Cooled
LES	Large Eddy Simulation
MDCT	Mechanical Draft Cooling Tower
NDCT	Natural Draft Cooling Tower
NDCWCT	Natural Draft Counter Flow Cooling Tower
NDDCT	Natural Draft Dry Cooling Tower
NDWCT	Natural Draft Wet Cooling Tower
NTU	Number of Transfer Units
Pa	Pascals
PISO	Pressure-Implicit with Splitting of Operators
PIV	Particle Image Velocimetry
PPS	Power Plant Structure
RANS	Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes
RH	Relative Humidity
RNG	Re-Normalization Group
RSM	Reynolds Stress Model
SIMPLE	Semi-Implicit Method for Pressure-Linked Equations
W	Watt

## ABSTRACT

Cooling Towers are one of the main components utilized in numerous major processes applications; any decrease in the cooling tower performance highly affects the main process. One of the major causes of deficiency is the recirculation of hot humid air from the cooling tower outlet back into the cooling tower air intake.

As recirculation occurs, the average entering wet bulb temperature at the intake of cooling tower increases and results in efficiency loss at the cooling towers and accordingly the associated process equipment up to the limit that it might causes malfunction of the equipment.

This study utilized computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to investigate numerically the effect of wind direction, wind velocity, intake louver location and cooling towers roof arrangement on cooling towers recirculation.

The numerical modeling was performed using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations using CFD software ANSYS 16.0.

A geometry of a district cooling plant where large number of cooling towers utilized, was constructed and enclosed in a larger domain through which wind was introduced. Two rows of cooling towers were considered in the model.

The CFD modeling solved the continuity, species, energy and momentum equations in addition to Large Eddy Simulations (LES) equations for turbulence model, which was selected based on previous findings.

The wind profile was introduced into software via a user defined function to provide more realistic wind simulation.

It is observed during the study that the recirculation rate initially increases as the wind speed increases and then tends to decrease as wind speed increases further.

This study represents a parametric study on recirculation at different winds speeds, direction, architectural enclosure louvers location, fan stack heights and exit velocities. The numerical simulations have been carried out and the output results have been compared and analyzed. It was concluded that the recirculation is minimized when the wind direction is parallel to the towers configuration. The rate is also reduced by locating the architectural enclosure louvers higher than cooling towers intake. It was also concluded that increasing the exit fan velocity slightly decreases the recirculation rate.

## **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

Cooling towers have been widely used, being a low cost and efficient equipment which delivers the required cooling with low consumed energy. They operate by the principle of evaporative cooling, to remove the undesirable heat from a running process.

After installation, cooling tower should be well maintained and operated efficiently. Performance should be monitored especially recirculation which has a major effect on cooling tower performance. Prevailing wind is considered a major factor from the factors that affects cooling towers recirculation.

Recently computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) has become a powerful tool in predicting the performance of cooling towers at difference arrangements and wind conditions.

### **1.2 Types of Cooling Towers**

Cooling towers have various designs, among which cooling towers type, materials and size is selected to reject the heat from the served process. The selection process is based on the best performance according to the operating conditions for a specific industrial process.

#### **1.2.1** Classification according to air flow driving force

According to the air flow driving force, the cooling towers are classified as follows:

#### 1.2.1.1 Atmospheric/Natural draft towers

Atmospheric towers utilize natural induction produced by pressurized spray of water distribution system. These types are used in processes having small heat loads and not requiring precise or reliable cold water temperature as they are very susceptible to performance degradation due to wind conditions.

On the contrary, the hyperbolic natural draft tower thermal performance is very precise and reliable. These towers operation rely on the air flow movement produced by density difference due to the difference between warm air inside that stack and the relatively cooler ambient air outside the tower. This type of towers has a remarkably large size and sometimes exceed 150 m of height.

### 1.2.1.2 Mechanical draft towers

Mechanical draft towers utilize fans to produce the required air volume movement through the tower, hence they have the ability of changing the air flow rate to cater for different operating conditions either by changing the fans rotation speed or even turning it off in part load conditions. As the performance is affected by fewer psychrometric variables, it has better stability compared to atmospheric towers.

Mechanical draft towers are categorized as follows:

#### **Forced draft Towers:**

This is the type of towers where the air stream is forced to enter the tower by a fan installed at the entrance of the cooling tower which blows the air through it.

Forced draft towers have high inlet velocities and low exhaust velocities, consequently it is tremendously vulnerable to recirculation, and thus it has more stability issues compared to induced draft. Also, in very cold regions it is susceptible to icing problems as the fan is facing the cold entering air stream.

Usually, forced draft towers use centrifugal blowers, which has the advantage of high static pressures compared to propeller type, allowing towers to be installed indoors having ducted exhaust providing more separation between the intake and exhaust air streams minimizing recirculation.

#### **Induced Draft towers**

This is the type of towers where the air stream is induced through the tower due to the negative pressure inside the tower produced by a fan installed at the cooling tower air stream exit.

Exit air velocity ranges from 3 to 4 times higher than air entrance velocity, which provides a very small potential for self-initiated recirculation, and thus recirculation can be quantified on the basis of wind conditions. As the fan is located at the cooling tower warm air stream exit, it is less prone to ice formation problems. [1]

### Hybrid draft towers

Hybrid draft towers are referred to as fan assisted natural draft towers. They are similar to natural draft towers but having shorter stacks and internally installed fans to enhance the air flow.

Their design is based on a compromise between fans required horse power and stack cost impact. When properly designed, fan will be required to operate only during high ambient and peak conditions.

This type is also a good choice in locations where low discharge level of tower plume is not permitted.

#### **1.2.2** Classification according to Air Flow

According to relative air and water flow relationship inside the tower, cooling towers are classified as follows:

#### **1.2.2.1** Counterflow towers:

In counterflow towers, air movement direction is vertically upward which counters the direction of downwards water flow. In small sizes, towers are typically higher and require more pumping head and larger fan power compared to crossflow towers. However, in larger towers the use of low pressure gravity distributions systems the comparison tends to equalize or even reverse.

#### 1.2.2.2 Crossflow towers:

In crossflow towers air movement direction is horizontally which is across the downwards flow of water. It utilizes gravity low pressure water distribution is system, which has the advantage of easy maintenance.

#### 1.2.2.3 Spray-fill towers

Spray-fill towers, are towers with no fill and hence it relies only upon the water atomization to maximize the water to air contact. It is used in applications where excessive contaminants or solids would affect the heat transfer surface.

#### **1.2.3** Classification by Construction

#### 1.2.3.1 Field-erected towers

The towers are constructed at the site. Usually, large towers are field erected.

#### 1.2.3.2 Factory assembled towers

The towers are completely assembled at the factory and might be divided into sections according to shipping requirements. Usually, small towers cells or modules are assembled at the factory and installation and connections are performed at the site.

### **1.3 Factors Affecting Cooling Towers Performance**

The following are main factors which affects cooling towers performance.

### 1.3.1 Wet-Bulb Temperature

The entering air wet bulb temperature is the basis of design of evaporative cooling towers. Proper determination of cooling towers entering wet bulb temperature is crucial to select the cooling towers which provides the required performance.

During cooling towers operation, the entering air wet bulb temperature is increased over the ambient due to mixture of the recirculation of the hot humid air from the cooling tower discharge with the ambient air entering the cooling tower. The amount of wet bulb increase is directly proportional the amount of recirculation.

Special care must be taken when providing the installation location ambient wet bulb temperature to the cooling tower manufacturer, as it might be higher than the values indicated in the location weather database due to recirculation effect. An increase of  $1^{\circ}$ C (1.8°F) would increase the cold water temperature by  $1^{\circ}$ C (1.8°F) as indicated in Figure 1.1, which shows the relationship between wet bulb and cooling tower supply temperature.





#### 1.3.2 Recirculation

As illustrated in Figure 1.2, recirculation is the mixture of air flowing into the cooling tower with a percentage of moist air which is exhausted from the cooling tower. The mixing leads to an increase in the wet bulb temperature of the air entering the cooling tower, resulting in a reduction to cooling tower performance. An increase of a two degree Fahrenheit (1.1°C), results in capacity reduction up to 16%. Further elevation of the wet bulb temperature entering the tower up to 3°C can lead to capacity reduction of more than 50%. [2]

A recirculation allowance up to 1.1°C is recommended for cooling towers design wet bulb temperature. [3]



**Figure 1.2: Cooling Tower recirculation** 

### 1.3.3 Interference

Interference phenomena is the elevation of cooling tower entering air wet bulb temperature due to other local heat sources upwind of the cooling towers. If cooling tower will be installed such that other existing cooling towers installations are located upwind the new towers, a portion of saturated discharge of the upwind tower mix with ambient air of the downwind tower. Figure 1.3 illustrates the interference phenomena.