

FACULTY OF EDUCATION BIOLOGICAL & GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT

COMPOSITION, DISTRIBUTION PATTERNS AND DIVERGENCE OF THE STREET TREE COMMUNITIES IN THE GREATER CAIRO CITY, EGYPT

A THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF Ph. D. IN PREPARATION OF SCIENCE'S TEACHER (BOTANY)

BY

ETHAR ASAAD SAYED AHMED HUSSEIN

Master in Preparation of Science's Teacher (Botany-2011) Special Diploma in Preparation of Science's Teacher (Botany-2007) General Diploma in Preparation of Science's Teacher (Botany-2006) B.Sc. & Ed. (2005)

SUPERVISED BY

Dr. Monier M. Abd El-Ghani Dr. Maged N

Professor of Flora & Ecology Faculty of Science Cairo University

Dr. Salwa M. Abbas

Professor of Plant Physiology Faculty of Education Ain Shams University Dr. Maged M. Abou-El-Enain Professor of Taxonomy & Flora

Faculty of Education Ain Shams University

Dr. Abd-El-Moneim I. Aboel-Atta

Assistant Prof. of Taxonomy & Flora Faculty of Education Ain Shams University

Dr. Rabea A. T. Shehab Assistant Prof. of Phycology Faculty of Education

Ain Shams University

2015



FACULTY OF EDUCATION BIOLOGICAL & GEOLOGICAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT

Approval Sheet

Student Name: Ethar Asaad Sayed Ahmed Hussein

Title: Composition, Distribution Patterns and Divergence of the Street Tree Communities in the Greater Cairo City, Egypt

Degree: Ph. D.

Supervisors	Approved	
Prof. Dr. Monier M. Abd El-Ghani	()
Prof. Dr. Maged Mahmoud Abou-El-Enain	()
Prof. Dr. Salwa M. Abbas	()
Dr. Abd-El-Moneim Ibrahim Aboel-Atta	()
Dr. Rabea A. T. Shehab	()

DECLARATION

This thesis has not been previously submitted for any degree at this or any other University.

Ethar Asaad Sayed Ahmed

To My Parents and my Family

Acknowledgment

Praise and gratitude be to *ALLAH* (the one and only), the God of all creatures, for guiding us to the right way.

The author wishes to express her deep thanks and Indebtedness to **Dr. Monier M. Abd El-Ghani**, Professor of Flora & Ecology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University for suggesting the problem, keen supervision, guidance throughout this work and revision of the manuscript.

Sincere gratitude is due to **Dr. Maged M. Abou-El-Enain**, Professor of Plant Taxonomy & Flora, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for his keen supervision, help and advice during the work and for his assistance in preparation of the manuscript.

gratitude to *Prof. Dr. Salwa M. Abbas* Professor of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for helpful advice and encouragement.

Deep thanks are also due to *Dr. Abd-El-Moneim I. Aboel-Atta*, Assistant professor of Plant Taxonomy, Biological and Geological Sciences Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for his supervision, generous help and advice during the study.

Appreciation to *Dr. Rabea A. T. Shehab,* Assistant professor of Phycology, Biological and Geological Sciences Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for continuous encouragement and sustaining.

Special thanks to *Mrs. Therese Labib*, consultant of classification & identification of ornamental species, Orman Garden for offering the aid during field work and identification of the taxa found in the different habitats.

The author is also greatly indebted to Prof. Dr. N. Z. Al-Alfy

Ex-heads of Biological and Geological Sciences Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for their cooperation in the practical part and encouragement throughout the work; and the present Head *Prof. Dr. M. Abd-Elaziz* for providing facilities.

Thanks are also due to all staff members of Biological and Geological Sciences Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University whose provide sincere help especially *Prof. Dr. M. Hesham Abd El-Hameed* Loutfy and *Prof. Dr. M. H. Abd El-Aal* for their kind assistance in the practical work and *Mr. Abd-El-Moneim Gomaa* for his assistance in carrying out the field work.

Finally, all thanks and gratitude to my lovely Mother, my Husband, my Sisters and Brothers that helped me a lot in the completion of this modest work.

The author

Abstract

Species diversity of the tree flora of 38 districts in the Greater Cairo city was studied with reference to landscape differentiation of species make-up. Three main habitats including 14 sites with 263 sample plots were considered (35 urban parks, 76 road islands and 152 street verges). The multivariate statistical analyses were performed by using the program package MVSP for Windows, v. 3.1. The produced data were subjected to a UPEGMA-clustering based on squared Euclidean distance and the obtained groups were represented in a dendrogram. Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) is preformed using the product-moment correlation as a coefficient. In total, 378 of vascular plant species belonging to 264 genera and 79 families were recorded in urban areas of the Greater Cairo city. The most species-rich (44.7%) families were Fabaceae (36 spp.), Asparagaceae, Poaceae (21 spp.), Moraceae (19 spp.), Asteraceae (17 spp.), Euphorbiaceae and Malvaceae (15 spp.), Arecaceae (14 spp.), Lamiaceae (11 spp.), whereas the remaining families (70) constituted together 193 species. Ficus (18 spp.) was the highest among the species-rich genera, followed by Euphorbia (11 spp.), Asparagus, Brachychiton, Callistemon, Citrus and Pinus (4 spp. each). 257 genera contained only 1-3 species e.g. Cereus, Koelreuteria, Strelitzia, Terminalia, Carica and Toona, Growth form spectra revealed that, the recorded trees (139 spp.) belonged to 32 families; shrubs (79 spp.) to 30 families, herbs and others (160 spp.) to 48 families. A complete refined checklist of the recorded species in the Greater Cairo City was prepared and detailed species compositions, classification and their distribution patterns in each of the street verges, road islands and urban park were presented. Taxonomic diversity and growth forms in each habitat were estimated and graphically compared. Interactions between human disturbance, habitat condition and tree composition were discussed and recommendations regarding conservation ecology were suggested.

Key Words:

Urban biodiversity, street trees, urban trees, metropolitan flora, Egypt, growth forms, green spaces.

Contents

	Page
Approvals and Acknowledgment	i-v
Abstract	vi
Contents	vii
List of Figures	viii-x
List of Tables	xi-xiii
Part I:	
- Introduction and Aim of the Work	1
- Historical review	11
I-Urban Vegetation of Egypt	11
II-Literature Review	21
- Study Area	30
Geographical location	30
Climate	30
Part II: Methods	36
Field work and data collection	36
Floristic Composition	37
Data Analysis	56
Part III: Results	57
i) Enumeration of species	57
Floristic diversity of the Greater Cairo City	141
ii) Habitat heterogeneity	155
1- The street verges	155
2- The road islands	170
3- The urban parks	185
General distribution patterns of species	202
in the habitats (Interrelations between habitats)	202
Part IV: Discussion	219
Conclusions and Recommendations	231
Summary	236
References	242
Arabic summary	
Appendices	A1

List of Figures

Title	Page
Fig. 1: A picture shows the sycamore Road in El-Roda	14
Island (Al-Shayeb, 2002).	
Fig. 2: A rare picture of Al-Ahram Street taken in the Year	18
1870. It is now preserved at Harvard University	
(Abbas Al-Trabily, 1997).	
Fig. 3: A rare picture of Shubra Street as it seemed in 1873	19
AD (Ali, 1998).	
Fig. 4: Location map of the Nile Delta region showing the	
study area of the Greater Cairo (Cairo, Giza	
excluding Qalyubia).	
Fig. 5: Location map of the different regions of the study	34
area.	
Fig. 6: Satellite image of the Greater Cairo city showing	35
sites of the study area.	
Fig. 7: Species-rich families in the Greater Cairo city.	141
Fig. 8: General distribution pattern of the growth forms for	143
the recorded species in the study area.	
Fig. 9: Species-rich families in street verges habitat.	156
Fig. 10: Distribution pattern of the growth forms for the	158
species recorded in street verges habitat.	
Fig. 11: Cluster analysis of the 14 sites representing street	169
verges habitat, showing the five separated	
vegetation groups (A-E).	
Fig. 12: The Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA)	169
ordination plot of the 14 sites of street verges	
habitat represent the five cluster groups (A-E)	
superimposed.	
Fig. 13: Species-rich families in road islands habitat.	170
Fig. 14: Distribution pattern of the growth forms for the	172
species recorded in road islands habitat.	10.0
Fig. 15: Cluster analysis of the 14 sites representing road	183
island habitat, showing the seven separated	
vegetation groups (A-G).	10.4
Fig. 16: The Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA)	184
ordination plot of the 14 sites of road island habitat	
represent the seven cluster groups (A-G)	
superimposed.	

Title	Page
Fig. 17: Species-rich families in urban parks habitat.	185
Fig. 18: Distribution pattern of the growth forms for the	186
species recorded in urban parks habitat.	
Fig. 19: Cluster analysis of the 10 sites representing urban	201
parks habitat, showing the four separated	
vegetation groups (A-D).	
Fig. 20: The Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA)	201
ordination plot of the 10 sites of urban parks	
habitat represent the four cluster groups (A-D)	l
superimposed.	L
Fig. 21: General distribution pattern of species recorded in	202
The Greater Cairo city in relation to the three studied	
habitats in which they occur.	L
Fig. 22: Cluster analysis dendrogram of the studied habitats.	207
Fig. 23: Prinicipal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) of the	208
three habitats, with three groups clearly separated	
along axes 1 and 2.	L
Appendix 2: Photos of the different habitats and some	A16
species in the study area.	

List of Tables

Title	
Table 1: Seasonal fluctuations in climatic factors at Greater Cairo during 10 years (from 2003-2013).	32
Table 2. List of locations, sites and their coordinates in the study area over the period from winter 2012 to summer 2014.	39
Table 3. Floristic composition of the vascular plants in the study area. Abbreviations: SV = Street Verges, RI = Road Island and UP = Urban Parks.	144
Table 4: Synoptic table of the indicator and preferential species of the five vegetation groups (A - E) with their recurrence index (RI%) in street verges habitat. Shading cells indicate the species confined to each group.	162
Table 5: Synoptic table of the indicator and preferentialspecies of the seven vegetation groups (A - G) withtheir recurrence index (RI%) in road island habitat.Shading cells indicate the species confined to eachgroup.	177
Table 6: Synoptic table of the indicator and preferential species of the four vegetation groups (A - D) with their recurrence index (RI%) in urban parks habitat. Shading cells indicate the species confined to each group.	191
Table 7: Distribution of the growth forms in the threestudied urban habitats. Abbreviations: SV = StreetVerges, RI = Road Island and UP = Urban Parks.	205
Table 8: Distribution of the recorded species in relation to the three habitats recognized in the study area, with their presence values (%).	209
Appendix 1: The recognized sites and species records of the present study, with their presence values (%). Abbreviations: SV = Street Verges, RI = Road Island and UP = Urban Parks.	A1

Introduction and Aim of the Work

Urban ecology is a subfield of ecology which deals with the interaction of plants, animals, and humans with each other and with their environment in urban or urbanized settings. Analysis of urban settings in context of ecosystem ecology is ultimately helping us to design healthier, better managed communities. It involves the study of the effects of urban development patterns on ecological conditions. Emphasis may be placed on planning communities via design and building materials in order to promote a healthy and biodiversity urban ecosystem (Funch, 2008).

Urban landscapes are generally dominated by human infrastructure: building (residential and industrial); transport links (roads, pavements, railways, canals); and open land required for parking vehicles and disposal of waste. There also, sometimes substantial, areas of green space: gardens, parks, playing fields, golf courses, road verges and grounds of public institutions comprise nearly half of the land area (Baines, 1995).

Flora and vegetation of cities are different not only from semi-natural vegetation but also from human-made habitats

- - 1 -

outside cities (Kowarik, 1988). Numerous studies are devoted to man made habitats in open landscapes (Prach *et al.*, 1997; Sykora *et al.*, 2002). Cities have their own spatial organization and distinctive patterns of species behavior, population dynamics and the formations of communities, each of which is specific to the urban environment (Sukopp, 2002). The unique character of urban habitats is well demonstrated after World War II; as reported by Salisbury (1943), who described plant species that colonized the ruins in London.

Biological diversity or biodiversity is introduced as a major objective in world-wide conservation strategies at the conference in Rio de Janeiro in 1992. Recent research in this field revealed that not only natural and semi-natural landscapes can be highly diverse in flora and habitats, but that urban and industrial areas also display a wide variety of habitats and communities. Urban- industrial ecosystems differ from non-urban ones in a number of ways. Most of the factors which affect ecosystems in cities (climate, soil, water conditions, human impact, etc.) are comparable to the site conditions in non-urban areas; the combination of these unique urban-industrial factors creates ecosystems. Consequently, the city has to be regarded as a new type of

with species compositions and habitats environment urban-industrial areas (Maurer. peculiar to 2002). Moreover, land use patterns and historical changes could affect floristic diversity in cities (Zerbe et al., 2003). The extensive introduction and invasion of alien species in urban areas, and heterogeneity of urban habitats (natural, disturbed and managed), could raise species richness above the surrounding natural or semi-natural habitats (Kowarik, 1990; Turner et al., 2005).

The flora of many urban habitats has been recognized as species. rich considerably in Cities represent an accumulation of species diversity in intensively managed landscapes (Haeupler, 1974; Kühn et al., 2004). This is due to a high heterogeneity of the urban environment which provides plants with habitats suitable for all kinds of strategies (Gilbert, 1989). It is also significantly enriched by invasions of alien species. This makes their flora prone to the loss of native specific, spread of aliens and thus biotic homogenization (Mackinneys & Lockwood, 1999).

The physical, ecological and geographical factors influence the species which may be found. Soil quality determines plant growth, being involved in nutrient