

Low Dose Capecitabine as Maintenance Therapy in Colorectal Cancer with Irresectable Metastasis

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment

Of the M.D. Degree in

Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine

By

Ghada Refaat Meckawy

M.B., B.Ch; M.Sc.

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Dr. / Atef Yousef Riad

Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. / Lobna Rashed Ezz El-Arab

Professor of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. / Ahmed Ezzat Essa

Assistant Prof. of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University

2012

List of abbreviations

5-FU: 5 flurouracil

AAPC: attenuated adenomatous polyposis coli

ACF: aberrant crypt foci

ADL: average daily living

AFAP: attenuated familial adenomatous polyposis

AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer

APC: adenomatous polyposis coli

BEV: bevacizumab

bFGF: basic fibroblast growth factor

BSA: body surface area

CAP: College of American Pathologists

CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen

CEPs: circulating endothelial progenitor cells

CFI: chemotherapy free interval

CI: confidence interval

CIMP: CpG island hypermethylation phenotype

CK1 α : Casein kinase 1α

CRC: Colorectal cancer

CT scan: computed tomography scan

CT: chemotherapy

CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for

Adverse Events

DCC gene: deleted in colorectal cancer gene

DCC: duration of disease control

DKK1: Dickkopf-1

DSH: disheveled (protein)

ECG: electrocardiogram

ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group

ECs: microvascular endothelial cells

ECM: extracellular matrix

EGFR: Epidermal growth factor receptor

EMT: epithelial-mesenchymal transition

ERUS: Endorectal ultrasound

FAP: Familial adenomatous polyposis

FZD: Frizzled

GI: gastrointestinal

GSK3β: glycogen synthase kinase 3β

H: hours

HFS: hand-foot syndrome

HNPCC: Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer

HR: hazard ratio

HS: highly significant

IQR: interquartile range

IVF: intravenous fluids

LNs: lymph nodes

LOH: loss of heterozygosity

LV: lecuvorin

M: distant metastases

MAPK: mitogen activated protein kinase

mCRC: metastatic colorectal cancer

MCV: mean corpuscular volume

Mets: metastases

MMP: membrane-type 1

MMPs: matrix metalloproteinases

MMR: mismatch repair

MRI: magnetic resonance imaging

MSI: microsatellite instability

MSI-H: high-frequency microsatellite instability

MSI-L: low-frequency microsatellite instability

MSS: microsatellite stable

MT: microtubule

MTD: maximum tolerated dose

N: number

N: regional lymph node

NS: non-significant

ORR: overall response rate

OS: overall survival

PDGF: platelet-derived growth factor

PDGFRs: platelet-derived growth factor receptors

PET: positron emission tomography

PFS: progression free survival

PIP₃: phosphatidyl-inositol-3-phosphate

PIGF: placental growth factor

PPE: palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia

PO: per os

PS: performance status

PTEN: phosphatase and tensin homolog

Pts: patients

QOL: quality of life

S: significant

SD: mean standard deviation

SD: stable disease

SEER: Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End

Results

SFRP: secreted-frizzled-related-protein

Std: standard

T: tumor

TCF: T-cell factor family

TGF β : transforming growth factor β

TKI: tyrosine kinase inhibitor

TP: thymidine phosphorylase

TPN: total parentral nutrition

TSP-1: thrombospondin- 1

TTP: time to progression

UC: ulcerative colitis

UICC: Union Internationale Contre le Cancer

ULN: upper limit of normal

VDAs: vascular disrupting agents

VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor

VEGFR: vascular endothelial growth factor receptor

WIF1: WNT inhibitory factor-1

WT: wild type

List of contents

Title	Page
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Review of Literature	5- 101
Chapter 1- Epidemiology and Risk Factors	5
Chapter 2- Prognostic and Predictive Factors	11
Chapter 3- Molecular Biology of CRC	18 40
Chapter 5- Diagnosis of CRC	54
Chapter 6- Treatment Options for mCRC	58
Chapter 7- Maintenance Therapy	90
Patients and methods	102
Results	111
Discussion	145
Summary	165
Conclusion and Recommendations	169
References.	171
Arabic Summary	

List of Figures

lines 22 PFS according to best response achieved after 133	Figure	Title	Page
Alterations of the WNT pathway in colon cancers Histological progression of colon cancer and accumulation of genetic alterations The family of VEGF molecules and receptors Possible mechanisms of the anti-angiogenic basis of metronomic chemotherapy Performance status of study cases Time to metastases among study cases Response of previous chemotherapy among study cases Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by study cases Number of current treatment Hand foot syndrome among study cases Toxicity of current was among study cases Performance status of study cases 121 Hand foot syndrome among study cases 122 Fatigue among study cases 123 Progression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups 125 PFS among males and females 126 PFS among cases with different performance status 127 PFS according to primary site 128 PFS according to ime to metastases 129 PFS according to number of metastatic sites 130 PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines 22 PFS according to best response achieved after 133	numbe	r	number
Histological progression of colon cancer and accumulation of genetic alterations The family of VEGF molecules and receptors Possible mechanisms of the anti-angiogenic basis of metronomic chemotherapy Performance status of study cases Time to metastases among study cases Response of previous chemotherapy among study cases Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by study cases Toxicity of current treatment Hand foot syndrome among study cases Togression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups PFS among males and females PFS according to primary site PFS according to primary site PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after	1	The APC gene and its encoded protein	24
accumulation of genetic alterations The family of VEGF molecules and receptors Possible mechanisms of the anti-angiogenic basis of metronomic chemotherapy Performance status of study cases Time to metastases among study cases Response of previous chemotherapy among study cases Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by study cases Toxicity of current treatment Hand foot syndrome among study cases Progression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups PFS among males and females PFS among cases with different performance status PFS according to primary site PFS according to time to metastases PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after	2	Alterations of the WNT pathway in colon cancers	26
4 The family of VEGF molecules and receptors Possible mechanisms of the anti-angiogenic basis of metronomic chemotherapy Performance status of study cases Time to metastases among study cases Response of previous chemotherapy among study cases Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by study cases Toxicity of current treatment Hand foot syndrome among study cases Fatigue among study cases Progression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups PFS among males and females PFS among cases with different performance status PFS according to primary site PFS according to time to metastases PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after	3	Histological progression of colon cancer and	36
Possible mechanisms of the anti-angiogenic basis of metronomic chemotherapy Performance status of study cases Time to metastases among study cases Response of previous chemotherapy among study cases Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by study cases Number of current treatment Hand foot syndrome among study cases Progression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups PFS among males and females PFS among cases with different performance status PFS according to primary site PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS regarding to best response achieved after 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 118 119 119 119 110 110 110 110 110 110 110		accumulation of genetic alterations	
metronomic chemotherapy 6 Performance status of study cases 7 Time to metastases among study cases 8 Response of previous chemotherapy among study cases 9 Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by study cases 10 Toxicity of current treatment 11 Hand foot syndrome among study cases 12 Fatigue among study cases 13 Progression free survival (in weeks) 14 PFS among patients in the 2 age groups 15 PFS among males and females 16 PFS among cases with different performance status 17 PFS according to primary site 18 PFS according to primary site 19 PFS according to number of metastatic sites 10 PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines 20 PFS according to best response achieved after 133	4	The family of VEGF molecules and receptors	44
7 Time to metastases among study cases 114 8 Response of previous chemotherapy among study cases 9 Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by study cases 10 Toxicity of current treatment 11 Hand foot syndrome among study cases 12 Fatigue among study cases 13 Progression free survival (in weeks) 14 PFS among patients in the 2 age groups 15 PFS among males and females 16 PFS among cases with different performance status 17 PFS according to primary site 18 PFS according to time to metastases 19 PFS according to number of metastatic sites 10 PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines 110 lines 1110 lines 1111 lines 1111 lines 1112 lines 1113 lines 1114 lines 1115 lines 1116 lines 1116 lines 1117 lines 1118 lines 1119 lines 1119 lines 1110 lines 1110 lines 1111 lines 1111 lines 1111 lines 1112 lines 1112 lines 1113 lines 1111 lines 1112 lines 1113 lines 1111 lines 1112 lines 1112 lines 1113 lines 1113 lines 1114 lines 1114 lines 1114 lines 1115 lines 1116 lines 1116 lines 1117 lines 1118 lines 1118 lines 1119 lines 1110 lines 1111 lines 111	5	Possible mechanisms of the anti-angiogenic basis of	52
Time to metastases among study cases Response of previous chemotherapy among study cases Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by study cases Toxicity of current treatment Hand foot syndrome among study cases Fatigue among study cases Progression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups PFS among males and females PFS among cases with different performance status PFS according to primary site PFS according to time to metastases PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS regarding to best response achieved after 133		metronomic chemotherapy	
Response of previous chemotherapy among study cases Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by study cases Toxicity of current treatment Hand foot syndrome among study cases Progression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups PFS among males and females PFS among cases with different performance status PFS according to primary site PFS according to metastases PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after 136 137 138 139 130 130 131 130 131 131	6	Performance status of study cases	112
cases Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by study cases Toxicity of current treatment Hand foot syndrome among study cases Fatigue among study cases Progression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups FFS among males and females PFS among cases with different performance status PFS according to primary site PFS according to time to metastases PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after 133	7	Time to metastases among study cases	114
Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by study cases Toxicity of current treatment Hand foot syndrome among study cases Fatigue among study cases Progression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups FFS among males and females PFS among cases with different performance status PFS according to primary site PFS according to time to metastases PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after 133	8	Response of previous chemotherapy among study	116
study cases 10 Toxicity of current treatment 11 Hand foot syndrome among study cases 121 12 Fatigue among study cases 121 13 Progression free survival (in weeks) 14 PFS among patients in the 2 age groups 15 PFS among males and females 16 PFS among cases with different performance status 17 PFS according to primary site 18 PFS according to time to metastases 19 PFS according to number of metastatic sites 19 PFS according to previous surgery to secondary 20 PFS according to previous surgery to secondary 21 PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines 22 PFS according to best response achieved after 133		cases	
Toxicity of current treatment Hand foot syndrome among study cases Fatigue among study cases Progression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups FFS among males and females PFS among cases with different performance status PFS according to primary site PFS according to time to metastases PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS according to previous surgery to secondary PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after 133	9	Number of previous chemotherapy lines received by	117
Hand foot syndrome among study cases Fatigue among study cases 121 Progression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups PFS among males and females PFS among cases with different performance status PFS according to primary site PFS according to time to metastases PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS according to previous surgery to secondary PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after 133		study cases	
Fatigue among study cases 121 13 Progression free survival (in weeks) 14 PFS among patients in the 2 age groups 15 PFS among males and females 16 PFS among cases with different performance status 17 PFS according to primary site 18 PFS according to time to metastases 19 PFS according to number of metastatic sites 20 PFS according to previous surgery to secondary 21 PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines 22 PFS according to best response achieved after 133	10	Toxicity of current treatment	118
Progression free survival (in weeks) PFS among patients in the 2 age groups PFS among males and females PFS among cases with different performance status PFS according to primary site PFS according to time to metastases PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS according to previous surgery to secondary PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after 133	11	Hand foot syndrome among study cases	121
PFS among patients in the 2 age groups 125 15 PFS among males and females 16 PFS among cases with different performance status 17 PFS according to primary site 18 PFS according to time to metastases 19 PFS according to number of metastatic sites 19 PFS according to previous surgery to secondary 20 PFS according to previous chemotherapy 131 21 PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy 132 133	12	Fatigue among study cases	121
PFS among males and females 126 16 PFS among cases with different performance status 17 PFS according to primary site 18 PFS according to time to metastases 19 PFS according to number of metastatic sites 20 PFS according to previous surgery to secondary 21 PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines 22 PFS according to best response achieved after 133	13	Progression free survival (in weeks)	124
PFS among cases with different performance status PFS according to primary site 128 PFS according to time to metastases 129 PFS according to number of metastatic sites 130 PFS according to previous surgery to secondary 131 PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines 22 PFS according to best response achieved after 133	14	PFS among patients in the 2 age groups	125
PFS according to primary site 128 18 PFS according to time to metastases 129 19 PFS according to number of metastatic sites 130 20 PFS according to previous surgery to secondary 131 21 PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines 22 PFS according to best response achieved after 133	15	PFS among males and females	126
PFS according to time to metastases 129 PFS according to number of metastatic sites 130 PFS according to previous surgery to secondary 131 PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines 22 PFS according to best response achieved after 133	16		127
PFS according to number of metastatic sites PFS according to previous surgery to secondary PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after 130 131 132 133	17	PFS according to primary site	128
PFS according to previous surgery to secondary PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after 133	18	PFS according to time to metastases	129
PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy lines PFS according to best response achieved after 133	19	PFS according to number of metastatic sites	130
lines 22 PFS according to best response achieved after 133	20	PFS according to previous surgery to secondary	131
22 PFS according to best response achieved after 133	21	PFS regarding number of previous chemotherapy	132
		lines	
	22	PFS according to best response achieved after	133
previous chemotherapy		previous chemotherapy	
Follow up interval (months) 134	23	Follow up interval (months)	134

24	Follow up interval between age groups	136
25	Follow up interval between males and females	137
26	Follow up interval between patients with different performance status	138
27	Follow up interval according to primary site	139
28	Follow up interval according to time to metastasis	140
29	Follow up interval according to number of metastatic sites	141
30	Follow up interval according to previous surgery to secondary	142
31	Follow up interval according to number of previous chemotherapy lines	143
32	Follow up interval according to best response achieved after previous chemotherapy	144

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
number		number
1	TNM staging system of the 7 th edition of	11- 12
	American Joint Committee on Cancer	
	(AJCC) cancer staging	
2	Some hereditary syndromes predisposing to	21- 22
	colon cancer	
3	Somatic Mutations in Oncogenes and Tumor	29- 30
	Suppressor Genes	
4	Treatment sequencing options for patients	87- 89
	with metastatic colorectal cancer	
5	ECOG performance status	103- 104
6	National Cancer Institute Common	107- 109
	Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events	
	v3.0.	
7	Baseline demographic characteristics of	111
	study cases	
8	Description of tumor characteristics among	113
	study cases	
9	Description of previous treatment among	115
	study cases	
10	Description of response of previous	116
	chemotherapy for metastatic disease among	
	study cases	
11	Description of number of cycles of current	117
	therapy among study cases	
12	Description of follow up duration among	118
	study cases	
13	1	119- 120
	hematological toxicities among study cases	
14	Description of current treatment	122

	hematological toxicities among study cases	
15	Description of cause of study termination of current therapy among study cases	123
16	Description and comparison of Progression	125
	free survival among patients in the 2 age	
	groups	
17	Description and comparison of Progression	126
	free survival between males and females	
18	Description and comparison of Progression	127
	free survival between cases with different	
	performance status (PS)	
19	Description and comparison of progression	128
	free survival between colon and rectum	
	primary sites	
20	Description and comparison of progression	129
	free survival between patients with different	
	time to metastasis	
21	Description and comparison of progression	130
	free survival between patients with one and	
	more than one metastatic sites	
22	Description and comparison of progression	131
	free survival between patients with and	
	without previous surgery to secondary	
23	Description and comparison of progression	132
	free survival between patients with single	
	and two or more chemotherapy lines	
24	Description and comparison of progression	133
	free survival between patients with different	
	responses	
25	Description of outcome of current therapy	134
	among study cases	
26	Description and comparison of overall	135
	survival among patients between 2 age	
	groups	

		Appendix
27	Description and comparison of overall survival between males and females	136
28	Description and comparison of overall survival between cases with different PS	137
29	Description and comparison of overall survival among patients with different primary sites	138
30	Description and comparison of overall survival among patients with different time to metastasis	139
31	Description and comparison of overall survival among patients according to number of metastases	140
32	Description and comparison of overall survival between patients with and without previous surgery to secondary	141
33	Description and comparison of overall survival between patients with single and two or more chemotherapy lines	142
34	Description and comparison of overall survival between patients with different response	143
35	Description of further management after	144

study termination among study cases

Introduction

Colorectal cancer is the third most frequently diagnosed cancer in men and women in the United States, where an estimated number of 108,070 new cases of colon cancer and approximately 40,780 cases of rectal cancer would occur, and 49,960 people would die from colon and rectal cancer in year 2008 (*Jemal et al, 2008*).

The NCI in Egypt estimated colorectal cancer to be the 5th common incident cancer in both males and females, accounted for 4.2% of incident cases in males and for 3.8% in females (*Elattar*, 2005).

Impressive gains in response rates, progression-free survival, overall survival, and -sometimes- potential cure were achieved in the past decade in the field of metastatic colorectal cancer treatment as a result of evolution of new chemotherapeutic agents (*Goldberg et al, 2007*).

Addition of agents like oxaliplatin (*De Gramont et al, 2000*) and irinotecan (*Saltz et al, 2000*) to the standard 5-FU/leucovorin has improved efficacy over 5-FU/leucovorin alone. Targeted therapies as bevacizumab, cetuximab and panitumumab introduction have shown to increase response rates, progression-free survival, and -in the case of bevacizumab- overall survival, particularly when used in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy (*Tabernero et al, 2007*). Capecitabine has proved to be an acceptable alternative for 5-FU, adding more treatment options (*Cassidy et al, 2008*).

However, the abundance of the treatment options, despite offering prolonged survival to patients if they have access to these options, it also comes with specific

١

challenges, the most important of which is that the most effective sequence of agents, the best combination regimens, and the optimum duration of therapy remain not well defined (*Grothey*, 2007).

The desire for less toxic regimens, and decreasing the need for hospitalization, with keeping the advantage of prolonging overall survival have changed current treatment approaches to an individualized therapeutic strategy, examples include the stop-and-go approaches with maintenance therapy phases, chemotherapy-free intervals, and reduced dosing less toxic maintenance regimens (*Wu et al*, 2008).

Maintenance therapy or sometimes referred to as "metronomic" dosing is the chronic administration of an agent at relatively low, nontoxic doses on a frequent schedule of administration with no prolonged drug-free breaks (*Kerbel and Kamen*, 2004).

Some metronomic regimens can have surprisingly potent antitumor effects compared with respective maximum tolerated dose regimens, despite being less toxic (*Bertolini et al, 2003*), in addition to their anti-angiogenic activities attained by targeting proliferating endothelial cells in tumor blood vessels (*Ooyama et al, 2008*).

Several trials are being performed to evaluate the efficacy, safety, and quality of life through the use of maintenance therapy in advanced colorectal cancer. This includes irinotecan (*Loupakis et al, 2006*), chronically administered infusion 5-FU (*Marshall et al, 2007*), and oral fluoropyrimidine (*Scalamogna et al, 2007*).