

Water Resources Evaluation and Environmental Assessment of the Southwest Area of the Nile Delta

A Thesis

By

Kamilia Hamed Hagagg Hamed

B. Sc. (2003)

For

Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree

(Analytical Chemistry)

Submitted To

CAIRO UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF SCIENCE

CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT

(2009)

In The Name Of Allah The Most Merciful The Most Compassionate

I BEGIN THIS THESIS





APPROVAL SHEET FOR SUBMISSION

Title of Thesis:

Water Resources Evaluation and Environmental Assessment of the Southwest Area of the Nile Delta.

Name of the Candidate: Kamilia Hamed Hagagg Hamed

This thesis has been approved for submission by the Supervisors:

Signature

1- Prof. Dr. Motaza Mohamed Khater

Professor of Analytical Chemistry and Inorganic Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University.

2- Prof. Dr. Mostafa A. Sadek

Professor of Isotope Hydrology, National Center for Nuclear Safety, Atomic Energy Authority.

3- Dr. Ahmed Fahmy A. Youssef

Associate professor of Analytical Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Rifaat Hassan Hilal

Chairman of Chemistry Department Faculty of Science, Cairo University



SUPERVISORS

1- Prof. Dr. Motaza Mohamed Khater

Professor of Analytical Chemistry and Inorganic Chemistry, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University.

2- Prof. Dr. Mostafa A. Sadek

Professor of Isotope Hydrology, National Center for Nuclear Safety, Atomic Energy Authority.

3- Dr. Ahmed Fahmy A. Youssef

Associate professor of Analytical Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University.

ABSTRACT

Name:Kamilia Hamed Hagagg HamedTitle of Thesis:

Water Resources Evaluation and Environmental Assessment of the Southwest Area of the Nile Delta.

Degree: (M. Sc.), The degree of Master of Science in Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, 2009.

The present work has been conducted on the groundwater resources of the western Nile delta fringes including South El-Khatatba, El-Sadat City, Southern part of Wadi El-Natron and Wadi El-Farigh areas. It includes both hydrochemical, isotopic and hydgeological characteristics of the system for identifying and assessing the factors and processes that control salinity and recharge that may serve as a basis for gaining new insights relating to the groundwater management and environmental problems. The investigation relies on the results of analyses of major cations (Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺), major anions (Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, HCO₃⁻), minor ions (NO₃⁻) and environmental isotopes (¹⁸O, ²H, ³H and ¹⁴C) of about 120 groundwater samples collected from the three aquifers in the study area (Quaternary, Pliocene and Miocene aquifers).

The ranges of TDS and ionic constituents, ionic orders and hypothetical salts combination deduced from the studied groundwater samples show the presence of several water types covering the whole range of salinity evolution. The hydrochemical processes that control groundwater salinization have been investigated; based on the relevant ionic ratios and the chemical equilibrium between water and minerals; it was indicated that $(Na^{+}+K^{+})$ on one side and $(Ca^{2+}+Mg^{2+})$ on the other side are interrelated through ionic exchange and inverse ionic exchange reactions. The saturation indices were calculated to estimate of the extent to which a groundwater has reached chemical equilibrium under dissolution of the minerals within the aquifer matrix using SOLMINQUE hydrochemical programme.

The evaluation of the groundwater samples for various uses (drinking, domestic, irrigation, and industrial) indicated that the Miocene samples are of the most suitable followed by Quaternary and Pliocene.

The main source of recharge is the direct contribution from the Nile system for both Quaternary and Miocene of South El-Khatatba area. Mixing between paleometeoric water or old Nile water, that was flooding the area prior to High Dam construction, with the present day Nile water characterizes most of the Miocene samples and some of the Quaternary and Pliocene ones). The major recharge of the Miocene aquifer comes from a combination of isotopically depleted paleonile water fed during the late Holocene times (5500 -8000 y.b.p.) of δ^{18} O about -4.2 ‰ with the relatively enriched mixture of recent/sub recent Nile water of δ^{18} O about 1.5 ‰.

The DRASTIC method was applied for the quantitative assessment of the pollution vulnerability of the studied groundwater based on seven parameters of relevance to recharge and flow. The vulnerability is generally high particularly for the sites which are located in Wadi El-Natron, Wadi El-Farigh and South El-Khatatba areas. Sensitivity analysis was performed using the map-removal sensitivity method. The fuzzy pattern recognition and optimization method was applied for assessment of groundwater vulnerability to pollution as it has significant advantages over DRASTIC approach.

Key words: Environmental assessment, Water resources, Southwest area of Nile Delta.

Supervisors: Prof. Dr. Motaza M. Khater

Prof. Dr. Mostafa A. Sadek DR. Ahmed Fahmy A. Yousef

Prof. Dr. Rifaat Hassan Hilal

Chairman of Chemistry Department Faculty of Science, Cairo University

Statement

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of M.Sc. Degree. In addition to the work carried out in this thesis, the candidate has accomplished with success the post graduate studies during the academic year 2004-2005 in the following topics:

- 1. Techniques of Molecular Structure.
- 2. Advanced Analytical Chemistry.
- 3. Quantum Chemistry.
- 4. Group Theory.
- 5. Surface Chemistry
- 6. Electrokinetic Phenomena.
- 7. Polymer Chemistry.
- 8. ElectroChemistry of Molten Salts and Metallurgy.
- 9. Nuclear Chemistry.
- 10. Chemistry of the Solar Cell.
- 11. Statistical Thermodynamics.
- 12. Advanced Inorganic Chemistry.
- 13. Polarography and Voltammetry.
- 14. Thermal analysis and X-ray.
- 15. Inorganic Reaction Mechanism.
- 16. Modern Electrochemistry.
- 17. Chelatimetry.
- 18. Catalysis.
- 19. Thermodynamics.
- 20. German Language.

Prof. Dr. Rifaat Hassan Hilal

Chairman of Chemistry Department

Faculty of Science, Cairo University

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks to Allah, the Most Beneficent, the Most Merciful for inspiring me the right path to his content and to enable me to continue the work started in this thesis to the benefits of my country.

It is my great pleasure to cordially express my sincere appreciation of all the efforts provided by my supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Motaza M. Khater**, **Prof. Dr.** *Mostafa abd El-Hamid Sadek*. I would like to express my deepest thanks and gratitude for their kind supervision, cooperation, faithful help and continues interest during this research.

Thanks, appreciation and gratitude are due to **Dr**. *Ahmed Fahmy* for his keen enthusiasm, sincere guidance, moral help and support throughout this work.

Thanks, appreciation and gratitude are due to **Prof. Dr.** *Wafaa Mohamed Salem* for her co-supervising, sincere guidance, moral help and support this work.

During this work I have collaborated with many colleagues for whom I have great regard, and I wish to extend my warmest thanks to all those who have helped me with my work in the Central laboratory of Isotope hydrology, especially **Dr. Ahmed El-Khatat.**

I owe my loving thanks to my family and my friends, without their encouragement and understanding it would have been impossible for me to finish this work. My special gratitude is due to my sister and my brother for their loving support, their prayers and moral support.

Kamilia Hamed HagaggHamed

Chemist

Isotope Hydrology laboratory

National Center for Nuclear Safety

Atomic Energy Authority

Contents

Subject		Page
AIM OF	THE WORK	xix
	I. INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE SURVEY	
I.1.	Introduction	1
I.2.	Background information	1
I.2.1.	Location and climate of the studied area	1
I.2.2.	Land use	2
I.2.3.	Previous studies	4
I.3.	Geological aspects of the studied area	7
I.4.	Hydrology of the studied area	9
I.4.1.	Surface water system	9
I.4.2.	Groundwater system	9
I.5.	Chemical parameters of groundwater	13
I.5.1.	Total dissolved salts (TDS)	13
I.5.2.	Major cations	14
I.5.2.1.	Sodium and potassium	14
I.5.2.2.	Calcium	15
I.5.2.3.	Magnesium	15
I.5.3.	Major anions	15
I.5.3.1.	Bicarbonate	15
I.5.3.2.	Chloride	15
I.5.3.3.	Sulphate	15
I.5.4.	Minor ions	16
I.5.4.1.	Nitrate	16
I.5.4.2.	Silica	16
I.6.	Environmental isotopes	16
I.6.1.	Stable environmental isotopes	18
I.6.1.1.	Oxygen and deuterium (² H and ¹⁸ O)	18
I.6.1.2.	Occurrence of stable carbon isotope ¹³ C	20
I.6.2.	Radioactive environmental isotopes	22
I.6.2.1.	Tritium	22
I.6.2.2.	Carbon-14	24

II. EXPERMINTAL

II.1.	Reagents	27
II.2.	Instruments	27
II.2.1.	Field instruments	27
II.2.2.	Laboratory instruments	27
II.3.	Sampling process	28
II.4.	Chemical analyses of the collected samples	29
II.4.1.	EC, TDS and pH	29
II.4.2.	Major cations	29
II.4.3.	Major anions	30
II.4.3.1.	Bicarbonate	30
II.4.3.2.	Chloride	30
II.4.3.3.	Sulphate	30
II.4.4.	Minor ions	30
II.4.4.1.	Nitrate	30
II.4.4. 2.	Silica	31
II.5.	Environmental isotopes measurements	31
II.5.1.	Stable isotopes	31
II.5.1.1.	Oxygen-18 and deuterium	31
II.5.1.2	Carbon-13	31
II.5.2.	Radioactive isotopes	31
II.5.2.1.	Tritium	31
II.5.2.2.	Carbon-14	33
	III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
III.1.	Chemical characterization of groundwater samples	34
III.1.1.	Total dissolved salts distribution	37
III.1.2.	Major ions distributions	41
III.1.2.1.	Sodium and potassium	41
III.1.2.2.	Calcium	42
III.1.2.3.	Magnesium	44
III.1.2.4.	Bicarbonate	46
III.1.2.5.	Chloride	47
III.1.2.6.	Sulphate	49

III.1.2.6. Sulphate

III.1.3.	Minor ions distribution	51
III.1.3.1.	Nitrate	51
III.1.3.Y	Silica	51
III.1.4.	Major ions relations	51
III.1.4.1.	Ion dominance and water chemical type	51
III.1.4.2.	Hypothetical salts assemblages	53
III.1.5.	Geochemical classification of groundwater samples	54
III.1.5.1.	Trilinear diagram (Piper diagram)	54
III.1.6.	Geochemical processes	59
III.1.6.1.	Ion exchange reactions	59
III.1.6.2.	Dissolution and precipitation reactions	62
III.2.	Evaluation of groundwater quality	65
III.2.1.	Evaluation of groundwater for human drinking	65
III.2.2.	Evaluation of groundwater for drinking of livestock and poultry	66
III.2.3.	Evaluation of groundwater samples for domestic uses	67
III.2.4.	Evaluation of groundwater samples for irrigation purposes	68
III.2.5.	Evaluation of groundwater for industrial purposes	78
III.3.	Environmental Isotopes	79
III.3.1.	Stable environmental isotope indices	79
III.3.2.	Geochemical processes and mixing criteria	87
III.3.3.	Radioactive isotopes	90
III.3.3.1.	Tritium	90
III.3.3.2.	Carbon-14	91
III.4.	Groundwater vulnerability assessment	93
III.4.1.	DRASTIC method application for the studied area	98
III.4.2.	Analyses of the DRASTIC parameters	100
III.4.2.1.	Depth to the water table	100
III.4.2.2.	Net recharge of the aquifer	101
III.4.2.3.	Aquifer media	102
III.4.2.4.	Soil media	102
III.4.2.5.	Topography	103
III.4.2.6.	Impact of the vadose zone	104
III.4.2.7.	Hydraulic conductivity	105

III.4.3.	Performing sensitivity analysis	107
III.4.4.	Fuzzy pattern recognition and optimization	109
	Summary	112
	References	118
	Arabic summary	125

List of Figures

No.	Title	Page
1	Map of the studied area.	3
2	Land use in the studied area.	4
3	Structural map of the southwest Nile Delta area.	8
4	Hydrogeological cross section across El-Rayah El-Naseri.	9
5	Water-bearing formations in the western region of the Nile Delta.	10
6	Hydrogeological cross section across Quaternary and Pliocene aquifer.	12
7	Hydrological cycle.	14
8	Hydrogen and oxygen content of ocean water and precipitation.	19
9	Deviations in isotopic compositions from the meteoric water line as a	20
	consequence of various processes.	
10	General view of ¹³ C/ ¹² C variations in natural compounds.	21
11	Origin and distribution of ³ H in nature.	23
12	The average ³ H content of precipitation over the continental surface of the	23
	Northern hemisphere.	
13	Hydrological cycle of ¹⁴ C.	24
14	Sampling sites map of the studied area.	29
15	Distribution of TDS for the three aquifers in the studied area.	39
16	The relationship between TDS and EC for the three aquifers in the studied	40
	area.	
17	Distribution of sodium and potassium ions in the Pliocene aquifer.	41
18	Distribution of sodium and potassium ions in the Quaternary aquifer.	42
19	Distribution of sodium and potassium ions in the Miocene aquifer.	42
20	Distribution of calcium ion in the Pliocene aquifer.	43
21	Distribution of calcium ion in the Quaternary aquifer.	43
22	Distribution of calcium ion in the Miocene aquifer.	44
23	Distribution of magnesium ion in the Pliocene aquifer.	45
24	Distribution of magnesium ion in the Quaternary aquifer.	45
25	Distribution of magnesium ion in the Miocene aquifer.	45
26	Distribution of bicarbonate ion in the Pliocene aquifer.	46
27	Distribution of bicarbonate ion in the Quaternary aquifer.	47
28	Distribution of bicarbonate ion in the Miocene aquifer.	47

29	Distribution of chloride ion in the Pliocene aquifer.	48
30	Distribution of chloride ion in the Quaternary aquifer.	49
31	Distribution of chloride ion in the Miocene aquifer.	50
32	Distribution of sulphate ion in the Pliocene aquifer.	50
33	Distribution of sulphate ion in the Quaternary aquifer.	50
34	Distribution of sulphate ion in the Miocene aquifer.	50
35	Piper plot for geochemical classification of groundwater.	55
36	Piper plot for both Pliocene (1) and Quaternary (2) aquifers in the studied	57
	area.	
37	Piper plot for Wadi El-Farigh (1) and South El-Khatatba (2) of Miocene	58
	aquifer in the studied area.	
38	Relationship between $(Ca^{2+}+Mg^{2+}) - (HCO_3^-+SO_4^{2-})$ versus $(Na - Cl)$ for the	61
	three aquifers in the studied area.	
39	Wilcox classification for Pliocene (1) and Quaternary (2) aquifers in the	70
	studied area.	
40	Wilcox classification for Miocene aquifer in South El-Khatatba area (3) and	71
	Miocene aquifer in Wadi El-Farigh area (4).	
41	SAR classification for the samples of the Pliocene aquifer in the studied area.	74
42	SAR classification for the samples of the Quaternary aquifer in the studied	75
	area.	
43	SAR classification for the samples in the Miocene aquifer in South El-	76
	Khatatba area.	
44	SAR classification for the samples in the Miocene aquifer in Wadi El-Farigh	77
	area.	
45	Distribution of δ^{18} O in the whole studied area.	83
46	Distribution of δ^{18} O in the Quaternary aquifer.	83
47	Distribution of δ^{18} O in the Miocene aquifer.	84
48	Distribution of δ^{18} O in the Pliocene aquifer.	84
49	Variation of δ^{18} O with distance from El-Rayah El Nasery for the Quaternary	86
	aquifer.	
50	Variation of δ^{18} O with distance from El-Rayah El Nasery for the Miocene	86
	aquifer.	
51	Variation of δ^{18} O with distance from El-Rayah El Nasery for the Pliocene	86
	aquifer.	

52	δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H relationship for the three aquifers in the studied area.	87
53	Relation between δ^{18} O and TDS for the different aquifers in the studied area.	89
54	Tritium and δ^{18} O relationship for the three aquifers in the studied area.	91
55	The radiocarbon age and δ^{18} O relationship for the groundwater samples of Miocene and Pliocene aquifers.	92
56	Illustration of a groundwater vulnerability assessment, based on an origin- pathway-target conceptual model.	94
57	Schematic presentation of the analytical procedure.	98
58	Rating values of the depth to water table on aquifer vulnerability.	101
59	Rating values of the recharge on aquifer vulnerability in the studied area.	101
60	Rating values of the aquifer media on aquifer vulnerability.	102
61	Rating values of the soil media on aquifer vulnerability.	103
62	Rating values of the topography on aquifer vulnerability.	104
63	Rating values of impact of the vadose zone on aquifer vulnerability.	104
64	Rating values of hydraulic conductivity on aquifer vulnerability.	105
65	Vulnerability of the aquifers in the studied area to pollution.	107
66	Comparison of groundwater vulnerability results from DRASTIC and Fuzzy methods.	111