

**GENETIC AND PHENOTYPIC
DIFFERENTIATION OF NILE TILAPIA
REVEALED BY MOLECULAR MARKERS**

By

AHMED SALEM ABDEL AZIZ DORGHAM
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Fish production), Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., 2006

THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of**

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

**Agricultural Sciences
(Fish Production)**

**Department of Animal Production
Faculty of Agriculture
Cairo University
EGYPT**

2012

APPROVAL SHEET

**GENETIC AND PHENOTYPIC
DIFFERENTIATION OF NILE TILAPIA
REVEALED BY MOLECULAR MARKERS**

**M.Sc. Thesis
In
Agric. Sci. (Fish Production)**

By

AHMED SALEM ABDEL AZIZ DORGHAM
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Fish Production), Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., 2006

APPROVAL COMMITTEE

Dr. GAMAL OSMAN EL-NAGGAR.....
Head Research of Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research, ARC

Dr. MOHAMED ELNADY AHMED MOHAMED.....
Associate Professor of fish Production, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. MOHAMED ALI IBRAHIM SALEM.....
Professor of Animal Production, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. HESHAM ABDALLAH HASSANIEN.....
Assistant Professor of Fish Breeding, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Date: / /

SUPERVISION SHEET

**GENETIC AND PHENOTYPIC
DIFFERENTIATION OF NILE TILAPIA
REVEALED BY MOLECULAR MARKERS**

**M.Sc. Thesis
In
Agric. Sci. (Fish Production)
By**

AHMED SALEM ABDEL AZIZ DORGHAM
B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Fish Production), Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., 2006

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Dr. MOHAMED ALI IBRAHIM SALEM
Professor of Animal Production, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. HESHAM ABDALLAH HASSANIEN
Associate Professor of Fish Breeding, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. EBTEHAG ABDEL RAZEK KAMEL
Senior Researcher of Fish Breeding and Genetics, CLAR, ARC

Name of Candidate: Ahmed Salem Abdel Aziz Dorgham **Degree:** M.Sc.
Title of Thesis: Genetic and Phenotypic Differentiation of Nile tilapia
Revealed by Molecular Markers
Supervisors: Dr. Mohamed Ali Ibrahim Salem
Dr. Hesham Abdallah Hassanien
Dr. Ebtehag Abdel Razek Kamel
Department: Animal Production
Branch: Fish Production **Approval:** / /

ABSTRACT

Morphometric, growth, random amplified polymorphism DNA (RAPD) and microsatellites variation of one wild population and two cultured stocks of *Oreochromis niloticus* has been studied. Five characters were selected by step-wise discriminant function analysis (DFA) on morphometric data for separating the three Nile tilapia male populations. In the bivariate plot of the first two canonical functions, the first function separated Kafr-Elshekh male population from both Fayuom and wild male populations. The second discriminant function scores were used to separate Fayuom and wild male populations. Six characters were selected by step-wise discriminant function analysis (DFA) on morphometric data for the separated three Nile tilapia female populations. In the bivariate plot of the two canonical functions, the first function separated Kafr-Elshekh female population from Fayuom and wild female populations. The second discriminant function scores were used to separate Fayuom and wild female populations. Examination of discriminant function coefficients indicated that harvest weight, head length and body depth were important characters for separating males and females within Kafr-Elshekh population. Results revealed that Kafr-ELshekh strain had the highest mean final weight (50.82 g/fish) with a corresponding daily weight gain of 0.53 g/fish/day. Following Kafr-Elshekh strain, were Fayuom and wild strains with mean harvest weights of 42.28 and 42.26 grams/fish and daily growth rates of 0.44 and 0.43 g/fish/day, respectively. In RAPD, of twenty five primers, 11 primers produced monomorphic RAPD fragment patterns, while 14 primers produced polymorphic RAPD fragment patterns. A total of 134, 139 and 129 amplified bands were produced from Kafr-Elshekh, Fayuom and wild populations, of which 55, 48 and 58 bands were polymorphic (41.04%, 34.53% and 44.96%, respectively). Average heterozygosities were 0.113, 0.108 and 0.102 for wild, Kafr-Elshekh and Fayuom tilapia stocks, respectively. In microsatellites, All the five loci were found to be polymorphic among all populations. Microsatellite variation at five loci was more informative in characterizing stock differences than the RAPD (DNA) markers. All loci showed some heterozygote deficiencies, when tested for deviation from Hardy-Weinberg expectations. The Overall mean, within population observed heterozygosity was 0.689. Populations ranged in heterozygosity from a low in Fayuom sample ($H_0= 0.652$), to a high in the wild population ($H_0= 0.711$). According to the results, unselected wild population was more genetically diverse than Fayuom farmed population. The Kafr-ELshekh population was also more genetically diverse, suggesting that the founder stocks used in developing most of the genetically improved stocks were well managed. The heterozygosity levels of the farmed Kafr-ELshekh population and the wild population were moderately high ($H_0= 0.709$ and $H_0= 0.711$, respectively) based on microsatellite data.

Key words: Genetic, phenotypic, molecular marker, Nile tilapia.

DEDICATION

To my family

For their support

Encouragement

Patience

and unconditional love

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*At first, I would like to thank **ALLAH** that allowing me to achieve this work, without his blessing any great effort invaluable.*

*I wish to express my sincere thanks, deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Salem, Dr. Mohamed El-Nady and Dr. Hesham Abdalla**, Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for suggesting the problem, supervision, continued assistance and their guidance through the course of study and revision the manuscript of this thesis. Sincere thanks to **Dr. Ebtahag Abdel Razeq**, Senior Researcher of Fish breeding and genetics, CLAR, Abbassa.*

*Special deep appreciation is given to **Dr. Safwat Abdel Ghany** Head Research of Aquaculture, CLAR, Abbassa, ARC and my friend **Yousef Shehata Grana** Research Assistant of Fish breeding and Genetics, CLAR, Abbassa.*

Grateful appreciation is also extended to all staff members of CLAR, Abbassa, Agriculture Research Center.

*Special deep appreciation is given to my father **Dr. Salem Dorgham** Head Research of Poultry Nutrition, APRI, my late mother, my brother and sisters. Also I feel deeply grateful to my dear country Egypt.*

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
1. Tilapia history	5
2. Tilapia market	6
3. Nile tilapia taxonomy	6
4. Genetic diversity in populations	7
5. Morphometric characters	11
6. Nile tilapia strains	17
7. Growth performance of world-wide Nile tilapia strains	19
8. Inbreeding / outbreeding impacts on Nile tilapia	29
9. Genetic variation of tilapia by molecular markers	35
a. Random Amplified polymorphic (DNA)	35
b. Microsatellites	43
MATERIALS AND METHODS	49
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	63
1. Morphometric discriminant function analysis	63
a. Nile tilapia male discriminant function analysis among strains	63
b. Nile tilapia female discriminant function analysis among strains	74
c. Kafr-Elshekh males and Females discriminant functions analysis	84
d. Fayuom male and Female discriminant function analysis	88
e. Wild male and Female discriminant function analysis	94
2. Growth performance and water quality	100
a. Growth performance	100
b. Water quality parameters	104
3. Genetic diversity and differentiation	109
a. Random amplified polymorphism DNA (RAPD)	109
1. Banding polymorphism patterns	109

CONTENTS (continued)

2. Genetic variation.....	111
3. Genetic distance.....	112
b. Microsatellite analysis.....	114
1. Microsatellite locus variability.....	114
2. Microsatellite population variability.....	115
3. Co-efficient of genetic differentiation.....	118
a. Inbreeding and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.....	118
SUMMARY.....	121
REFERENCES.....	127
ARABIC SUMMARY.....	

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1.	Sequence and Operon codes of the random primers used to study the genetic variation among the investigated tilapia populations	57
2.	Sequences of five microsatellite primers for Nile tilapia and their annealing temperatures for PCR.....	59
3.	Eigen values and standardized canonical discriminant function coefficients for male Nile tilapia <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> collected from three regions in Egypt.....	64
4.	Standardized scores for the first standardized canonical discriminant function for male Nile tilapia specimens collected from three regions	67-68
5.	Standardized scores for the second standardized canonical discriminant function for Nile tilapia male specimens collected from three regions.....	68-69
6.	Univariate morphometric data for Nile tilapia males	70
7.	Jack-knife classification matrix based on discriminant function scores calculated from measurements of male Nile tilapia specimens.....	72
8.	Jack-knife classification matrix (number of specimens within population) based on the second discriminant function scores of male Nile tilapia specimens.....	73
9.	Eigen values and standardized canonical discriminant function coefficients for females Nile tilapia collected	75

from three regions in Egypt	
10. Standardized scores for the first Standardized canonical discriminant function for Nile tilapia specimens collected from three regions.....	77-78
11. Standardized scores for the Second standardized canonical discriminant function for Nile tilapia specimens collected from three regions.....	79
12. Univarite Morphometric data for Nile tilapia Females.....	80
13. Jack-knife classification matrix (number of specimens within population) based on discriminant function scores calculated from measurements of Females Nile tilapia specimens.....	82
14. Jack-knife classification matrix (number of specimens within population) based on discriminant function scores calculated from measurements of Females Nile tilapia specimens.....	83
15. Jack-knife classification matrix (number of specimens within population) based on discriminant function scores calculated from measurements of males and females Kafr-Elshekh Nile tilapia specimens.....	85
16. Discriminant function coefficient of male and female populations.....	87
17. Standardized scores for the canonical discriminant function for male and females Kfr-Elshekh specimens.....	89
18. Standardized scores for the canonical discriminant function for male and female Fayiuom specimens.....	90-91
19. Jack-knife classification matrix (number of specimens within population) based on discriminant function scores calculated from measurements of males and females	

	Fayiuom Nile tilapia specimens.....	94
20.	Jack-knife classification matrix (number of specimens within population) based on discriminant function scores of males and females Wild Nile tilapia specimens.....	96
21.	Standardized scores for the canonical discriminant function for male and female Wild specimens.....	99
22	Average body weights of three strains of Nile tilapia collected from different.....	102
23	Average Daily weight gains three Nile tilapia strain collected from different regions.....	102
24	Body weight gains of three of Nile Tilapia strains collected from different regions.....	103
25	Average of growth performance for three of Nile tilapia strains collected from different regions.....	103
26	Average water temperature (°C) in concrete tanks.....	105
27	Oxygen concentration at noon (g O ₂ /m ²) in concrete tanks.....	106
28	Secchi depth readings (cm) in concrete ponds.....	107
29	Average pH values at noon-time (units) in concrete tanks.....	108
30	Genetic variations among one wild and two cultured stocks of Nile tilapia <i>O. niloticus</i> with RAPD technique.....	111
31	Neis (1972) genetic distance between three Nile tilapia <i>O. niloticus</i> strains revealed by 14 RAPD (above diagonal) and 5 microsatellite loci (below diagonal).....	113

32	The genetic diversity of three stocks of Nile tilapia revealed by five microsatellites.....	117
33	Co-efficient of genetic differentiation (G_{ST}) and rate of gene flow (N_m) (migration) for overall populations in RAPD markers.....	119

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
1.	Illustration of parts and measuring of Nile tilapia parts.....	52
2.	DNA molecular weight markers used throughout this work	55
3.	Scatter plot of the first two discriminant functions from analysis of morphometric characters for Males Nile tilapia <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> collected from three regions in Egypt.....	65
4.	Histogram of <i>O.niloticus</i> male specimens according to the first function.....	71
5.	Scatter plot of the first two discriminant functions from analysis of morphometric characters for Females Nile tilapia <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> collected from three regions in Egypt.....	76
6.	Histogram of <i>O.niloticus</i> female specimens according to the first function.....	81
7.	Histogram plot of the first two canonical functions of Kafr-Elshekh males.....	86
8.	Histogram plot of the first two canonical functions of Kafr-Elshekh females.....	86
9.	Histogram plot of the first two canonical functions of Fayuom males.....	93
10.	Histogram plot of the first two canonical functions of Fayuom females.....	93
11.	Histogram plot of the first two canonical functions of Wild males.....	97
12.	Histogram plot of the first two canonical functions of Wild females.....	97

INTRODUCTION

Tilapia is the second most cultivated fish in the world, only surpassed by carp, with almost 100 countries as producers (FAO, 2002). The worldwide use of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* Linnaeus, 1758) in aquaculture represents a somewhat unique scenario. According to recent statistics of the Egyptian General Authority for Fish Resources Development (GAFRD, 2009), tilapia comprise 68.82% (477.458 tones) of the Egyptian production from fish culture sector (693.815 tones) in 2008. Also, Egypt produces 22.5% of the world farmed tilapia (2.121.009 tones) (FAO, 2007). Moreover, Egypt by far produces 92.2% of tilapia production in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region (Feidi, 2010). In Egypt, most of the aquaculture production of tilapia is derived from semi-intensive fish farms in earthen ponds, intensive systems, integrated intensive fish farms and cages (GAFRD, 2006).

Management of aquatic genetic resources should ideally involve a continuum of activities: documentation of genetic resources and the variety of ecosystems in which there are functional components, including the status of potential threats to these resources; characterization to determine the genetic structure and conservation value of the resource; and utilization in sustainable genetic improvement schemes, with due regard to the emerging codes of practices of access to and benefit sharing of the genetic resources.

Tilapia hatcheries use only few individuals as broodstock for natural or artificial propagation, which have been taken from other commercial farms or natural resources. Consequently, this may lead to