

**Extracoronar Resilient Attachment Versus Ball
Attachment for Mini Dental Implants Retained
Mandibular Overdenture
(In Vitro Study)**

Thesis

Submitted to Faculty of Dentistry at Ain Shams University in partial
fulfillment of the requirements for the Master Degree in Oral and
Maxillofacial Prosthodontics

By

Dalia Aly Mohamed Aly Ragab
B.D.S (Ain Shams University) 2007

Ain Shams University

2014

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ



صدق الله العظيم

سورة طه؛ آية 114

Supervisors

Dr. Marwa Ezzat Sabet

Professor and Chairman of Prosthodontics Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Osama Ahmed Shawky

Lecturer of Prosthodontics Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Dedication

Deepest thanks to my beloved family and true friends who do their best to always help me and support me.

Acknowledgment

Above all, I need to thank **God** for his gracious support throughout my study course and until now.

I would like to express my gratitude and genuine appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Marwa Ezzat Sabet**, *Professor of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University*, for her kind support. It was a great honor working under her supervision, and getting inspired by her sparkling enthusiasm and valuable guidance. Her sympathy, support and encouragement are always sincerely remembered.

My deepest thanks and appreciation to **Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Osama Ahmed Shawky**, *lecturer of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University*, for his great support and continued encouragement along the research. He sacrificed great deal of his time in this research and has always provided me with advice.

Thanks to all my professors and colleagues who helped me and supported me throughout the course of my study.

List of Contents

Page

Introduction	1
Review of Literature	3
Edentulism	3
Complete denture retention	14
Implant overdenture	22
Mini implants	31
Attachments for mini implants	40
Methods of evaluation	55
Aim of the Study	62
Materials and Methods	63
Results	80
Discussion	88
Summary	96
Conclusion	98
Recommendations	99
References	100

List of Tables

Table	Page
Table (I): Mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Paired t test for comparison between stress induced on loaded versus unloaded sides using ball and socket attachment.	77
Table (II): Mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Paired t test for comparison between stress induced on loaded versus unloaded sides using ERA attachment	79
Table (III): Mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Paired t test for comparison between stress induced on vertical versus oblique loading using ball and socket attachment	80
Table (IV): Mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Paired t test for comparison between stress induced on vertical versus oblique loading using ERA attachment	81
Table (V): Mean, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Independent t test for comparison between stress induced on loaded and unloaded sides using ball versus ERA attachment during both vertical and oblique loading	82
Table (VI): Mean values and standard deviation values and results of independent t test for comparison between retention produced by the two attachments	84

List of Figures

Figure	Page
Fig. (1): The original acrylic resin edentulous mandibular test model and its duplicate	61
Fig. (2): The original acrylic resin denture and its duplicate	63
Fig. (3): Mini implant, with ERA attachment (left), with ball attachment (right)	65
Fig. (4): Schematic diagram for Mini implant, with ERA attachment (left), with ball attachment (right)	65
Fig. (5): Dental surveyor with straight handpiece	66
Fig. (6): Model prepared to receive mini implants	66
Fig. (7): Test model with mini implants and ball attachments	67
Fig. (8): Test model with mini implants and ERA attachments	67
Fig. (9): The female parts of the overdentures. ball (left), ERA white (right)	69
Fig. (10): The fitting surface of the overdentures with the denture parts of the attachments picked up; ball (left), ERA white (right)	69
Fig. (11): Strain gauges installed in the test models; Ball (left), ERA white (right)	72
Fig. (12): Test models with mucosal simulation; ERA white (left), Ball (right)	72
Fig. (13): The test model in the universal testing machine, during vertical loading test	74

Fig. (14): The test model in the universal testing machine, during oblique loading test	74
Fig. (15): Three hooks attached to the overdenture	76
Fig. (16): The test model in the universal testing machine, during dislodging test	76
Fig. (17): Bar chart representing the mean stress induced in the loaded versus unloaded sides with ball and socket attachment during vertical and oblique loading	78
Fig. (18): Bar chart representing the mean stress induced in the loaded versus unloaded sides with ERA attachment during vertical and oblique loading	79
Fig. (19): Bar chart representing the mean stress induced on vertical versus oblique loading with ball and socket attachment	81
Fig. (20): Bar chart representing the mean stress induced on vertical versus oblique loading with ERA attachment	82
Fig. (21): Bar chart representing the mean stress induced on vertical and oblique loading with ball versus ERA attachment	83
Fig. (22): Bar chart representing mean retention produced with the two attachments	84

Introduction

Introduction

Loosing teeth leads to lack of stimulation to the residual bone causes a decrease in the trabeculae and bone density, thus causing residual ridge resorption.

The loss of bone first causes decreased bone width followed by bone height; leaving a remaining narrow residual ridge. Thus over 50% of mandibular complete dentures have problems with stability and retention.⁽¹⁾

Problems arise from inadequate supporting tissue volume for mandibular denture treatment, denture adhesives can sometimes prove inadequate. In the past, treatment solutions have generally focused on providing increased supporting tissue volume. Alveolar ridge augmentation and alveoloplasty have been used for this purpose. These treatments have provided mixed long-term success and have occasionally introduced significant complications and morbidity.

The restoration of the atrophic edentulous mandible with an overdenture supported or retained by implants placed in the interforaminal region has thus become regarded as the first prosthodontic treatment option.⁽²⁾

Mandibular implant overdenture treatment can show significantly improved retention and stability characteristics as compared with conventional mandibular complete dentures.⁽³⁾

The use of Narrow diameter implants (approximately 1.8mm to 2.4mm in diameter) has been suggested in order to reduce trauma for elderly patients when the use of standard-sized implant would require bone reshaping or grafting.

The mini implants available on the market have utilized a Ball and Bar attachments, which, due to the height needed, may create restorative issues or allow lateral loads to be placed on the head during insertion or removal of the prosthesis.

Sterngold introduced a mini implant using a smaller version of their ERA attachment. As less of the fixture is supercrestal, the manufacturer claims that less lateral load can be placed on the fixtures during function or insertion/removal of the prosthesis. An added benefit is with a lower attachment head, less acrylic needs to be removed from the denture to accommodate the attachment's male than when a ball is used. The manufacturer also claimed that the Micro ERA saves 0.5mm in height and almost 1 mm in width, with no loss of retention or longevity.⁽⁴⁾

Whether or not the newly introduces ERA attachment is really beneficial in reducing stresses, while providing as good retention as the ball attachment; is a question that needs to be answered.

Review of literature

Review of Literature

Edentulism

Edentulism is defined as the loss of all permanent teeth⁽⁵⁾, which is the terminal outcome of a multifactorial process involving biological processes (caries, periodontal disease, pulpal pathology, trauma, oral cancer) as well as non biologic factors related to dental procedures.⁽¹⁾

It would be inaccurate to state that disease factors such as caries or periodontal disease are the sole causes of patients' edentulism, research has demonstrated that several nondisease factors such as attitude, behavior, dental attendance, and characteristics of health care system play an important role in the decision to become edentulous, in addition, a significant relationship exists between the edentulous state and financial concerns usually associated with low occupational levels. It is therefore reasonable to conclude that edentulism is due to various combinations of cultural, financial, and dental disease attitudinal determinants, as well as to treatment received in the past.⁽³⁾

The truth about edentulism is that it has not disappeared nor is it disappearing. Many reports claim that while the prevalence of tooth loss is diminishing with each generation, the longevity of populations worldwide and the potential accommodation to sugar-rich diets and Western lifestyles contribute to sustaining the actual number of edentulous individuals throughout the world.⁽⁴⁾

It is conservatively assumed that 10% of the world's population of 6 billion is partially or totally edentulous. Which means that there are millions of edentulous people worldwide who need treatment for a condition that can represent considerable disability.⁽⁶⁾

Consequences of edentulism

I. Bony consequences

The alveolar ridge is the bony structure of the maxilla and the mandible that contains the dental sockets and supports the teeth. The structure left after extraction of teeth is called “residual alveolar ridge” and this term ridge encompasses all the changes that accompany bone loss after tooth extraction.⁽⁶⁾

The close relationship between the tooth and alveolar process continues throughout life. It was stated that bone remodels in relationship to the forces applied whereas disuse and a loss of mechanical stimulation is followed by the reduction of bone mass. Bone needs stimulation to maintain its form and density. Teeth transmit compressive and tensile forces to the surrounding bone. When a tooth is lost, the lack of stimulation to the residual bone causes a decrease in trabeculae and bone density in the area, with loss in external width then height of the bone volume.⁽⁷⁾

Continuous reduction in alveolar ridges is regarded as a ‘major oral disease’ including anatomical, metabolic, prosthetic and functional factors.⁽⁸⁾