



GREYWATER TREATMENT AND REUSE VIA DIFFERENT SIMPLE AND ADVANCED TECHNIQUES

By Ahmed Makki Jabbar Al-Sulaiman

A Thesis Submitted to the

Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University In Partial Fulfillment of The Requirements for the Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY In PUBLIC WORKS ENGINEERING

(SANITARY & ENVIROMENTAL ENGINEERING)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY

GIZA, EGYPT

2014

GREYWATER TREATMENT AND REUSE VIA DIFFERENT SIMPLE AND ADVANCED TECHNIQUES

By Ahmed Makki Jabbar Al-Sulaiman

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University In Partial Fulfillment of The Requirements for the Degree DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY In PUBLIC WORKS ENGINEERING (SANITARY & ENVIROMENTAL ENGINEERING)

Under the supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hesham S. Abdel-Halim Prof. Dr. Hussein I. Abdel-Shafy

.....

Professor of Sanitary Public Work Department Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Professor Water Research & Pollution Control, National Research Centre (NRC), Cairo

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY

GIZA, EGYPT

2014

GREYWATER TREATMENT AND REUSE VIA DIFFERENT SIMPLE AND ADVANCED TECHNIQUES

By Ahmed Makki Jabbar Al-Sulaiman

A Thesis Submitted to the

Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University In Partial Fulfillment of The Requirements for the Degree DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

PUBLIC WORKS ENGINEERING (SANITARY & ENVIROMENTAL ENGINEERING)

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Hesham S. Abdel-Halim, Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Hussein I. Abdel-Shafy, Thesis Advisor Professor Water Research & Pollution Control, National Research Center (NCR)

Prof. Dr. Ehab Mohammed Rashed, Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Saeed El-Khouly, External Examiner Professor of Environmental & Sanitary Engineering, Ain Shams University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2014

Engineer:	Ahmed Makki Jabbar Al-Sulaiman
Date of Birth:	4/1/1976
Nationality:	Iraqi
E-mail:	ahmed_makki2002@yahoo.com
Phone:	00201141014341
Address:	Egypt - Giza
Registration Date:	1/10/2010
Awarding Date:	/ /2014
Degree:	PhD. Engineering



Department: Public Works Engineering (Sanitary and Environmental)

Supervisors:	Prof. Dr. Hesham S. Abdel-Halim
	Prof. Dr. Hussein I. Abdel-Shafy
Examiners:	Prof. Dr. Mohamed Saeed El-Khouly
	Prof. Dr. Ehab Mohammed Rashed
	Prof. Dr. Hesham S. Abdel-Halim
	Prof. Dr. Hussein I. Abdel-Shafy

Title of Thesis:

GREYWATER TREATMENT AND REUSE VIA DIFFERENT SIMPLE AND ADVANCED TECHNIQUES

Key Words: Greywater, Reuse, Effective microorganisms, Membrane bioreactor, Sequencing bioreactor, Up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket, Constructed wetland

Summary:

The present study deals with real GW that was collected from five flats and connected to a pilot plant, which was setup in NCR, Egypt, included sump tank, ST, AE, and CW. Three treatment systems were installed in this pilot plant namely, MBR, SBR, and UASB. Raw Greywater was subjected to two successive settling tanks. The effluent was divided into four streams. The first one was directed to the AE, the second one was directed to the CW, the third was directed to the MBR, and while the fourth was directed to the SBR. A pilot-scale of UASB followed by MBR unit was installed and operated in the NRC. Real raw greywater was subjected to UASB and the effluent was further treated with MBR. The objective of this study was to investigate different hybrid treatment processes for handling the GW for unrestricted water reuse. The viability and efficiency of sedimentation process at different times was examined. The viability and efficiency of sedimentation process followed by AE system, and CW system were also examined. Raw GW treatment was further evaluated employing chemical and biological coagulation followed by sedimentation processes. The chemical coagulation includes lime (CaO₂) and lime aided with ferric chloride (FeCl₃) as well as advanced oxidation as Fenton's reaction (Fe₂ (SO₄)₃.H₂O₂), serves as possible pretreatment to ensure a successful sedimentation process. The experimental method involves monitoring of specific water quality constituents, under varying operating conditions, at different sedimentation periods and different chemicals doses to reach the sustainable approach. GW treatment was examined first in batch experiments to determine the optimum operating conditions. The obtained optimum conditions were implemented throughout the pilot plant investigation. Additionally, EM was added to the sedimentation process to enhance the efficiency of treatment was also investigated. The effluent was further subjected to CW. The final effluent could cope with the Egyptian code for water reuse. Further study was carried out to investigate the efficiency of MBR and SBR separately for the treatment of raw GWr. The treated effluent exhibited efficient quality for unrestricted water reuse.

حِرَاللَّهِ ٱلرَّحْمَرُ ٱلرَّح ﴿رَبِّ أَوْزِعْنِي أَنْ أَشْكُرَ نِعْمَتَكَ الَّتِي أَنْعَمْتَ عَلَيَّ وَعَلَىٰ وَالدَيَّ وَأَنْ أَعْمَلَ صَالِحًا تَرْضَاهُ وَأَدْخِلْنِي بِرَحْمَتِكَ فِي عِبَادِكَ الصَّالِحِينَ ﴾ سورة النمل(19)

<u>Acknowledgments</u>

First and foremost, I would like to owe my deepest gratitude, thankful and sincere acknowledgement goes to faculty of Engineering/ Qadisiyah University/Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research of Iraq, for the financial grantee, generous support, and the facilities provided for the present study

I would like to owe my deepest gratitude and thankful to **Dr.** Hussein I. Abdel-Shafy, Professor water pollution control, National Research Centre (NRC), Cairo, for his kind supervision, valuable advice, endless cooperation, helpful instruction, generous support, revising the thesis, interest in and concern about my progress.

My deepest thanks, heartfelt appreciation and endless gratitude to **Dr. Hesham S. Abdel-Halim**, Professor of sanitary Public work Department. Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, for her trust, belief, and continuous help in my capabilities. This thesis would be incomplete without her indispensible remarks, kindness, continuous encouragement and support throughout all stage of this thesis.

I cannot get over my deep sense of gratitude and thankful to **Dr**. **Mona M. Galal El-Din,** Associated Professor Sanitary *L* Environmental, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, for his excellent technical advices and insightful comments. His positive criticism strengthened and helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. Without his valuable support, I would have never able to produce such a work.

Finally, thanks must be extended to all staff members of the water pollution control in the National Research Center, and to all staff members of the Sanitary and Environmental Department in Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University for their endless support and ultimate help throughout the study.

<u>Ahmed Makki Al-Sulaiman</u>

<u>Dedication</u>

I dedicate this thesis to my wife **Rafel**, the exceptional people who have given me their unequivocal support throughout, as always, for which my mere expression of thanks likewise does not suffice.

I am heavily indebted to my loving **parents** for inundating me with their infinite love and care, raising me on the value of insistence and determination, educating me the sense of appreciation of learning, motivating me to chase my dreams, sacrificing their comfort to provide the perfect atmosphere for me to complete this work and praying for me to be a successful person.

To whom I am deeply grateful for their extreme support \mathcal{L} their indefinite patience.

I dedicate this work to my lovely kids (Taha & Aya)

Contents

Subject	Page
AKNOWLEGEMENT	I
DEDICATION	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
LIST OF FIGURES	XI
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVATIONS	XIV
ABSTRACT	XV
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 DOMESTIC WASTEWATER SEPARATION	1
1.3 WHY SHOULD GREYWATER BE REUSED?	2
1.4 ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF GREYWATER I	REUSE 2
1.5 CASE STUDY	3
1.6 THE AIM OF THIS WORK	6
1.7 THESIS STRUCTURE	7
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 INTRODUCTION	8
2.2 GREYWATER CHARACTERISTICS	8
2.2.1 PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS.	10
2.2.2CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS	10
2.2.3 MICROBIAL CHARACTERISTICS	11
2.2.4GREYWATER QUANTITY	
2.3 GREYWATER SYSTEMS	
2.4 COMPONENTS OF GREYWATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS	
2.4.1 PRIMARY TREATMENT	
2.4.2 SECONDARY TREATMENT	14

	2.4.3 DISINFECTION	14
2.55	STANDARD DESIGN OF GREYWATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS	14
	2.5.1 DIFFERENT CASE STUDIES OF GREYWATER TREATMENT AND REUSE SYSTEMS	15
	2.5.1.1 VERTICAL-FLOW FILTER	15
	2.5.1.2 MULTI-STORY BUILDING	17
	2.5.1.3 SEMI-URBAN AREAS	20
	2.5.1.4 SMALL BUILDING	22
	2.5.1.5 TREATMENT WITH MEMBRANE FILTRATION	24
	2.5.1.6 TREATMENT WITH CONSTRUCTED WETLAND	.27
	2.5.2 APPLICATION RANGE AND QUALITY REQUIREMENTS FOR GREYWATER REUSE	31
	2.5.2.1 TOILET FLUSHING	31
	2.5.2.2 LAUNDRY	31
	2.5.2.3 AGRICULTURAL REUSE	.32
2.6	EFFECTIVE MICROORGANISMS (EM)	33
	2.6.1 INTRODUCTION	33
	2.6.2 DEFINITION OF EM.	33
	2.6.3 EM DISCOVERY	34
	2.6.4 USING EM	34
	2.6.5 COMPONENTS OF EM.	35
	2.6.6 EM PRODUCTS	36
	2.6.7 ACTIVATION AND APPLICATION OF EM	37
	2.6.7.1 EM-1 MICROBIAL INOCULANT	37
	2.6.7.2 EM-1 WASTE TREATMENT	37
	2.6.7.3 EM-1 SEPTIC TREATMENT	.38
	2.6.8 APPLICATION OF EM IN WASTEWATER TREATMENT	.38
	2.6.8.1 SOLAR AQUATIC FACILITY APPLICATION	38
	2.6.8.2 SEPTIC TANK APPLICATIONS	39

CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL WORK	
3.1 SOURCE OF RAW GREYWATER	
3.2 EQUIPMENT OF PILOT PLANT	
3.2.1 SUMP TANK	
3.2.2 INLET AND OUTLET PIPE	
3.2.3 COLLECTION TANK	
3.2.4 SEDIMENTATION TANK	
3.2.5 HYBRID AERATION TANK	
3.2.6 SEQUENCING BATCH REACTOR (SBR)	
3.2.7 MEMBRANE BIOREACTOR (MBR)	
3.2.8 CONSTRUCTED WETLAND (CW)	
3.2.8.1 THE VASCULAR PLANTS FOR THE CON WETLANDS.	STRUCTED
3.2.8.2 SUBSTRATE FOR CW	
3.2.8.3 CONSTRUCTED WETLAND UNITS	
3.2.8.4 CALCULATIONS OF HYDRAULIC RETENTION T AND ORGANIC LOADING RATE (OLR).	'IME (HRT)
3.2.9 UP-FLOW ANAEROBIC SLUDGE BLANKET (UASB)	
3.3 SAMPLING COLLECTIONS AND LOCATIONS	
3.4 ANALYTICAL AND MEASURMENT	
3.4.1 TEMPERATURE	
3.4.2 PH VALUE	
3.4.3 ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY (EC)	
3.4.4 TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (TSS)	
3.4.5 CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND (COD)	
3.4.6 BIOLOGICAL OXYGEN DEMAND (BOD ₅)	59
3.4.7 OIL AND GREASE	60
3.4.8 TOTAL PHOSPHATE (TP)	60
3.4.9 NITRATE (NO ₃)	60

3.4.10 DISSOLVED OXYGEN METER (DO)
3.5 PROCEDURE OF THE WORK
3.6 MATERIALS AND OPERATION CONDITION
3.6.1 BENCH SCALE INVESTIGATION
3.6.1.1 PREPARATION AND LIMITATION OF EM DOSE
3.6.1.2 LIMITATION OF CHEMICAL COAGULATION DOSE FROM LIME AND FERRIC CHLORIDE
3.6.1.3 LIMITATION OF ADVANCED OXIDATION DOSE FROM FENTON'S
3.6.2 CONTINUOUS FLOW EXPERIMENT
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
4.1 INTRODUCTION
4.2 PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF RAW GREYWATER
4.3 EXPERIMENTAL WORK FOR BATCH REACTOR
4.3.1 DETERMINATION OF THE OPTIMUM DETENTION TIME FOR SEDIMENTATION TANK FOLLOWED BY AERTION TANK
4.3.2 DETERMINATION OF EM OPTIMUM DOSE
4.3.2.1 THE EFFECT OF ADDING NORMAL-EM DOSES BEFORE SEDIMENTATION TANK
4.3.2.2 THE EFFECT OF ADDING AERATED-EM AND NON-AERATED EM DOSES BEFORE/AFTER SEDIMENTATION TANK
4.3.3 DETERMINATION OF CHEMICAL OPTIMUM DOSE FROM LIME AND FERRIC CHLORIDE
4.3.4 DETERMINATION OF ADVANCED OXIDATION OPTIMUM DOSE FROM FENTON'S90
4.4 PILOT PLANT CONTINUOUS SYSTEM
4.4.1 TRAIN ONE: PHYSICAL PROCESS FOLLOWED BY BIOLOGICAL PROCESS
4.4.1.1 SETTLING OF RAW GREYWATER FOR 3.0 AND 4.5 HR WITHOUT ANY CHEMICAL ADDITION

4.4.1.2 SETTLING OF RAW GREYWATER FOR 3.0 AND 4.5 HR WITH A LIME ADDITION AS COAGULANT
4.4.1.3 EFFECT OF ADDING LIME IN COMBINATION WITH FERRIC CHLORIDE AS COAGULANTS TO SEDIMENTATION TANK FOR 3.0 AND 4.5 HR
4.4.1.4 EFFECT OF ADDING NORMAL-EM TO SEDIMENTATION TANK FOR 3.0 AND 4.5 HR 102
4.4.1.5 COMPARISON BETWEEN FOUR CASES TO REACH THE OPTIMUM TREATED GREYWATER PROCESS 105
4.4.2 TRAIN TWO: PHYSICAL PROCESS FOLLOWED BY MBR 110
4.4.3 TRAIN THREE: PHYSICAL PROCESS FOLLOWED SBR 112
4.4.4 TRAIN FOUR: PHYSICAL PROCESS FOLLOWED CW UNIT 114
4.4.4.1 SETTLING OF RAW GREYWATER FOR 3.0 AND 4.5 HR WITHOUT ANY CHEMICAL ADDITION114
4.4.4.2 SETTLING OF RAW GREYWATER FOR 3.0 AND 4.5 HR WITH A LIME ADDITION AS COAGULANT117
4.4.4.3 EFFECT OF ADDING LIME IN COMBINATION WITH FERRIC CHLORIDE AS COAGULANTS TO SEDIMENTATION TANK FOR 3.0 AND 4.5 HR
4.4.4.4 EFFECT OF ADDING NORMAL-EM TO SEDIMENTATION TANK FOR 3.0 AND 4.5 HR
4.4.4.5 COMPARISON BETWEEN FOUR CASES TO REACH THE OPTIMUM TREATED GREYWATER PROCESS
4.4.5 TRAIN FIVE: UP-FLOW ANAEROBIC SLUDGE BLANKET (UASB) REACTOR FOLLOWED BY MBR UNIT
4.5 COMPARISON BETWEEN FIVE TRAINS TO REACH THE OPTIMUM TREATED GREYWATER PROCESS
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION 135
5.1 INTRODUCTION
5.2 CONCLUSIONS
5.3 RECOMMENDATIONS
REFERENCES 141
APPENDICES

LIST OF APPENDICES TABLES	
APPENDIX A	
APPENDIX B	173
APPENDIX C	178
APPENDIX D	

List of Tables

Table No.	Page
Table 2.1: Components of the greywater untreated	9
Table 2.2: Characteristics of greywater	9
Table 2.3: Water quality requirements for toilet flushing and Laundry	32
Table 2.4: Summary of Water Quality Parameters of Concern for Water Re	euse 33
Table 2.5: products (EM)	37
Table 2.6: Testing methods used for analysis of septic tank samples	39
Table 3.1: the parameters used in sedimentation tank	44
Table 3.2: Specifications of SBR unit	48
Table 3.3: Specifications of MBR unit	49
Table 3.4: Specifications of small MBR unit	50
Table 3.5: Dimensiona and operating conditions of constructed wetland for	-
greywater	54
Table 3.6: Dimensions and operating conditions of the UASB for GW	55
Table 4.1: Characteristics of raw greywater	70
Table 4.2: Permissible limits of water reuse for irrigation according to the	
Egyptian Guideline	71
Table 4.3: Effect of different settling time on the characteristices of raw GW	V 72
Table 4.4: Effect of aeration at different times on the characteristic of grey (pre-treatment by settling for 1.5 h)	water 76
Table 4.5: Effect of aeration at different times on the characteristic of grev	water
(pre-treatment by settling for 3.0 h)	
Table 4.6: Effect of aeration at different times on the characteristic of grey	water
(pre-treatment by settling for 4.5 h)	
Table 4.7: Effect of Normal-EM doses at different doses before sedimentat tank on the treatment of GW	ion 82
Table 4.8: Effect of Aerated-EM doses at different doses before sedimentation	tion
tank on the treatment of GW	83
Table 4.9: Effect of Aerated-EM doses at different doses after sedimentation on the treatment of GW	on tank 84
Table 4.10: Effect of Non-aerated EM doses at different doses before sedimentation tank on the treatment of GW	85
Table 4.11: Effect of addition lime doses at different doses on the treatmen	05
efficiency of characteristics GW	87
Table 4.12: Effect of addition lime 160 mg/L with ferric chloride doses on	the
treatment efficiency of characteristics raw greywater	89
VIII	

Table 4.13: Bench-scale study on the effect of H2O2 dose on the treatment of rawGW at constant ferrous sulfate dose 130 mg/L91
Table 4.14: Bench-scale study on the effect of ferrous sulfate dose on thetreatment of raw GW at constant H2O2 dose 100 mg/L91
Table 4.15: the average characteristics of greywater after treatment with settlingfor 3 hour followed by aeration process without any chemical additional (firstcase)
Table 4.16: the average characteristics of greywater after treatment with settlingfor 4.5 hour followed by aeration process without any chemical additional (firstcase)
Table 4.17: the average characteristics of greywater after chemical coagulationtreatment with lime dose about 160 mg/L in settling for 3.0 hour followed byaeration process (second case)
Table 4.18: c the average characteristics of greywater after chemical coagulationtreatment with lime dose about 160 mg/L in settling for 4.5 hour followed byaeration process (second case)
Table 4.19: the average characteristics of greywater after chemical coagulation treatment with lime dose about 160 mg/L in combination with ferric chloride dose about 100 mg/L in settling time for 3.0 hour followed by aeration process (third case)
Table 4.20: the average characteristics of greywater after chemical coagulation treatment with lime dose about 160 mg/L in combination with ferric chloride dose about 100 mg/L in settling time for 4.5 hour (third case)
Table 4.21: the average characteristics of greywater after effective microorganisms (EM) treatment about 1.2 ml/L in settling time for 3.0 hour followed by aeration process (fourth case)
Table 4.22: the average characteristics of greywater after effective microorganisms (EM) treatment about 1.5 ml/L in settling time for 4.5 hour followed by aeration process (fourth case)
Table a (4.23): the average characteristics of influent and effluent greywater aftersettling time for 3.0 hour (four cases)106
Table b (4.23): the average characteristics of influent and effluent greywater aftersettling time for 4.5 hour (four cases)107
Table a (4.24): the average characteristics of influent and effluent greywater after settling time for 3.0 hour followed by aerating time 90 minutes (four cases) 108
Table b (4.24): the average characteristics of influent and effluent greywater after settling time for 4.5 hour followed by aerating time 90 minutes (four cases) 109
Table 4.25: the average characteristics of influent and effluent greywater after settling time for 3.0 hour followed by MBR for train two