### Radial Percutaneous Pinning of Type III Supracondylar Humeral Fractures in Children, Risks and Complications

Thesis

Submitted by

#### Ahmed Mohamed Abd Elhalim Kaddah

#### M.B.B.Ch. 2009

For partial fulfillment of the Master Degree

in Orthopaedic Surgery

Supervised by

#### **Professor Talaat Taher El Hadidi**

Professor of Orthopedic Surgery,

Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

#### **Professor Wesam Gaber AI Anani**

Professor of Orthopedic Surgery,

Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

#### Dr. Ayman Shaheen

Lecturer of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

2014

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

#### Abstract

This study included 20 children who had type III, extension type supra condylar humeral fractures and treated by lateral cross-wire fixation technique. According to Flynn's criteria, the results were 16 patients (80%) had excellent results, 3 patients (15%) had good results, and only one child (5%) had a fair result. Type III extension fractures are treated by closed reduction and percutaneous pinning. Open reduction is usually required when closed reduction cannot be achieved. Medial pin introduction is more difficult in the presence of small distal fragment and marked elbow swelling.

#### Keyword:

K-wire- F.D.S- Percutaneous- Supracondylar

# Acknowledgment

Thanks to Allah for giving me the power and strength to carry out this work.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation to Professor Talaat Taher El Hadidi, Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his valuable supervision, instructions, and encouragement throughout the whole work.

I am deeply indebted to Professor Wesam Gaber Al Anani, Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his great patience, keen supervision, generous help, and continuous encouragement throughout this study.

I am grateful and thankful to Dr. Ayman Shaheen, Lecturer of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his sincere supervision, constant support, and valuable advice.

I am really grateful and thankful to my patients and their parents for their cooperation.

I would like to extend my thanks to all staff members of the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Cairo University and all staff members of the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Students Hospital, Cairo University, and everyone who supported and encouraged me.

I am so grateful to my family who helped me a lot throughout this work by their kindness, support, and time.

#### **Contents**

Title	
List of Figures.	
List of Tables.	
Abbreviations.	
Aim of the Thesis.	
Introduction.	
Chapter I : Review of Literature: • Anatomical Considerations. • Mechanisms of Injury. • Classification. • Diagnosis. • Treatment. • Complications. Chapter II : Patients and Method.	5 13 18 24 35 41 51 63
Chapter IV : Case Presentation.	72
Chapter V : Discussion.	103
Chapter VI : Conclusion.	119
Chapter VII : Summary.	123
Chapter VIII : References.	
Arabic Summary.	

# List of Figures

Fig.	Title	Page
1	Anatomy of the elbow joint.	5
2	Supracondylar humeral fractures in children occur through the thinnest portion of the distal humerus.	7
3	Hyperextension occurs during a fall on outstretched hand with the elbow in extension.	
4	Different ossification centers around the elbow joint provide landmarks to describe anatomy on radiographs and guide treatment.	
5	Normal ossification centers at capitellum, radial head, medial epicondyle, trochlea, olecranon and lateral epicondyle approximately appear at 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 years of age.	10
6	Anatomical relationship to the neurovascular structures.	11
7	The supratrochlear branch that arises from the anterior ulnar recurrent artery may bind the main trunk of the brachial artery against the sharp end of the proximal fragment.	12
8	A fall onto an outstretched hand with the elbow in full extension in extension type fractures.	14

Fig.	Title	Page
9	Type-III supracondylar fracture of the humerus, extension type.	15
10	Laterally torn periosteum in a posteromedial displaced supracondylar humerus fracture.	
11	A fall on the posterior aspect of a flexed elbow in flexion type fractures.	17
12	Flexion type fractures; fall on the posterior aspect of a flexed elbow. Lateral and AP view show anterior displacement of the capitellum.	
13	Anterior humeral line.	19
14	The Baumann angle.	19
15	Types of supracondylar humeral fractures according to Gartland classification.	21
16	The brachial artery and the median nerve are commonly entrapped in the fracture site.	23
17	The anterior and posterior fat pads of the elbow joint.	
18	Clinical appearance of a Type III supracondylar humeral fracture.	27
19	Type III supracondylar fracture with ipsilateral distal radial and ulnar fractures.	30
20	A radiograph positioning for taking a lateral view is with the upper extremity directed anteriorly rather than externally rotated.	31
21	Radiographs showing the Baumann angle and the anterior humeral line.	32
22	A radiograph showing displacement of both fat pads (arrows) from an intra-articular effusion.	33

Fig.	Title	Page
23	Methods of percutaneous pinning of supracondylar fractures of the humerus in children.	37
24	Type III supracondylar humeral fracture, extension type after closed reduction and cross pinning.	38
25	Percutaneous lateral cross pinning.	39
26	Type III supracondylar humeral fracture, flexion type.	
27	A chart illustrating gender distribution.	52
28	A chart illustrating the mechanisms of injury.	53
29	A chart illustrating extension and flexion types.	54
30	A chart illustrating direction of displacement of the extension type fractures.	54
31	A Chart illustrating the results according to Flynn's criteria.	63
32	A diagram illustrating gender distribution.	64
33	AP and lateral radiographs showing backing out of the proximal pin.	
34	Case presentation 1.	74- 77
35	Case presentation 2.	80- 84
36	Case presentation 3.	87- 90
37	Case presentation 4.	93- 96
38	Case presentation 5.	99- 102

# List of Tables

Table	Title			
1	Normal ossification centers at capitellum, radial head, medial epicondyle, trochlea, olecranon and lateral epicondyle.	10		
2	Gartland classification of supracondylar humeral fractures. 2			
3	Durations of stages of treatment. 5			
4	Flynn's criteria to evaluate the results of treatment.			
5	Loss of carrying angle, gender distribution and rating.			
6	Loss of elbow flexion, gender distribution, and rating.			
7	Loss of elbow extension, gender distribution, and rating.	68		
8	The patients' master records (1st part).			
9	The patients' master records (2nd part).			

### **Abbreviations**

Abbreviations	Words
AP	Antero-posterior.
F.D.S.	Fall down Stair.
F.F.H.	Fall from Height.
F.T.G.	Fall to Ground.
Fr.	Fractures.
K-wire	Kirschner wire.
No.	Number.

### Aim of the Thesis

The aim of this thesis is to study the results of treatment of type III supracondylar humeral fractures in children by closed reduction and radial or lateral percutaneous cross pinning. Fixation will be achieved with crossed K-wires inserted from the lateral condyle and lateral humerus towards the medial humerus and the medial epicondyle respectively. Also the risk factors, complications incidence, and preventive measures will be illuminated and the results will be compared to those of data-related literature.

# Introduction

# **INTRODUCTION**

Supracondylar humeral fractures in children are the most common injuries about the elbow joint and account for up to 70% of elbow fractures in children.<sup>(1)</sup> They occur most frequently at age between 5 and 10 years<sup>(2)</sup> and result from a fall on an outstretched hand in up to 90% of patients. The non-dominant upper extremity is the most commonly affected.<sup>(3)</sup>

Children under three years of age usually sustain supracondylar humeral fractures after a fall from a height of less than one meter (e.g. fall from a bed or couch). Most fractures in older children result from higher falls from playground equipment (e.g. swings) or other high energy mechanism.<sup>(4)</sup>

These fractures carry the highest risk for long standing complications because of the young age of the children, the marked displacement, and the risks of neurovascular compromise from the injury or iatrogenic from the treatment. So, these fractures require accurate diagnosis, urgent and correct treatment, and avoidance of complications with proper care.  $^{(1)(5)(6)(7)(8)}$ 

Many factors add to the mechanisms of injury involved in supracondylar humeral fractures as the thickened anterior capsule, remodeling of the metaphysis with thinned cortices and metaphyseal flaring, normal ligaments of childhood, and also presence of ligamentous laxity.<sup>(6)(9)</sup>

Gartland classified supracondylar humeral fractures in children into three types: Type I undisplaced fracture, type II displaced fracture with intact posterior cortex, and type III displaced fracture with no cortical contact.<sup>(10)</sup>

This classification was modified by Wilkins to allow for rotational deformity: Type I undisplaced fracture, type IIA angulated fracture with intact posterior cortex and no rotation, type IIB angulated fracture with intact posterior cortex and rotational deformity, and type III displaced fracture with no cortical contact.<sup>(11)</sup>

These fractures are divided into extension and flexion types depending on the mechanism of injury and the direction of displacement of the distal fragment of the humerus. Extension-type injuries occur in > 95% of cases, while flexion-type injuries occur in < 5%.<sup>(12)(13)(14)(15)(16)</sup>

Both extension and flexion types, particularly the extension injuries, are associated with complications such as neurovascular injuries in 5-30% of cases, compartment syndrome, and malunion that result in functionally and cosmetically lesser outcomes.<sup>(3)(14)(17) (18)(19)(20)(21)(22)(23)</sup>

History of elbow pain and inability to use the upper extremity of a child after a fall onto an outstretched hand should suspect an elbow or forearm fracture.<sup>(24)(25)</sup>

A thorough physical examination of the extremity is essential in any significant elbow joint injury. The initial assessment should rule out any associated trauma particularly distal forearm fractures. The integrity of the neurovascular structures should be determined.<sup>(25)</sup>

Initial x-rays should always be obtained before elbow manipulation. Comparison views sometimes may be required to evaluate centers of ossification.<sup>(25)</sup>

Treatment of types I and IIA are mainly in an above elbow cast.<sup>(26)(27)(28)</sup> While type IIB and type III have many options such as closed reduction and above elbow cast or back slab, skin traction, olecranon traction, open reduction and internal fixation, or closed reduction and percutaneous pinning. However, all of these methods have many complications.<sup>(11)(29)(30)(31)(32)(33)(34)(35)(36)(37)(38)</sup>

Closed reduction and percutaneous pinning are considered the optimal method of treatment.<sup>(26)(27)(28)</sup> Open reduction is indicated for irreducible fractures, neurovascular compromise, and open injuries.<sup>(13)(39)</sup>

The best methods of pin fixation have a continuous debate in the literature. They include lateral parallel, lateral divergent, or crossed Kirschner wires.<sup>(36)(40)(41)</sup> <sup>(42)</sup> Current textbooks and studies describe the crossed-pin procedure as the ideal treatment, except when the medial epicondyle or the ulnar nerve cannot be palpated. However, several studies report that percutaneous lateral cross pinning, performed correctly, is effective in maintaining reduction and stability.<sup>(6)(36)(43)(44)(45)(46)</sup>

The frequency of ulnar nerve injuries in many series