

## AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING Mechanical Design and Production Department

## 360 Degree Sound Source Localization in Free Field

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the

## Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

Ву

## Mohamed Ahmed Gaber Khalil

B.Sc., Mechanical Engineering, Design and Production Section Ain Shams University, 2013

Supervised by

## Prof. Dr. Tamer Elnady Prof. Dr. Adel Elsabbagh

Cairo - (2018)



### AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

## 360 Degree Sound Source Localization in Free Field

Ву

## Mohamed Ahmed Gaber Khalil

B.Sc., Mechanical Engineering, Design and Production Section Ain Shams University, 2013

#### **EXAMINERS COMMITTEE**

Name	Signature
Dr. Mohamed Ali Mehana	
Prof. Dr. Wael Nabil Akl	
Prof. Dr. Tamer Mohamed Elnady	
Prof. Dr. Adel Moneeb Elsabbagh	

Date: 31/7/2018



### AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

## 360 Degree Sound Source Localization in Free Field

Ву

## Mohamed Ahmed Gaber Khalil

B.Sc., Mechanical Engineering, Design and Production Section Ain Shams University, 2013

#### SUPERVISING COMMITTEE

Name	Signature
Prof. Dr. Tamer Mohamed Elnady	
Prof. Dr. Adel Moneeb Elsabbagh	

Date: 31/7/2018

# STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfillment of M.Sc. degree in Mechanical engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other scientific entity.

Signature

.....

Mohamed Ahmed Gaber

31/7/2018

## **Researcher Data**

Name	: Mohamed Ahmed Gaber
Date of birth	: 27/5/1991
Place of birth	: Cairo, Egypt
Academic Degree	: B.Sc., Mechanical Engineering
Field of specialization	: Mechanical Design and Production
University issued the degree	: Ain Shams University
Date of issued degree	: 2013
Current Job	: Demonstrator, Mechanical Design and Production, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University
	Research Assistant, Group for Advanced Research in Dynamic Systems (ASU-GARDS), Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

# Abstract

Sound can be used to determine the location of sound sources. This can be achieved using microphones which can pick up sound signals and by processing these signals we know the position of the sound source in space.

Time Difference Of Arrival (TDOA) technique was used to determine the location of a broad band sound source. It was critical to make the calculations as fast as possible to make a real time system.

The environment at which the source and the microphones are located is assumed to be free field.

LabVIEW was used to create a Virtual Instrument (VI) that could run heedlessly on a RIO system which would acquire the data through Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA), send the data to real time processor, make the necessary calculations, and take an action to point at the location of the sound source and show the location on a small LCD screen.

#### Keywords:

Field programmable gate array (FPGA), Signal processing, Sound source localization, Labview, Comapct DAQ (cDAQ), Compact RIO (cRIO), Cross correlation, microphone array, Data acquisition, Free field sound source, stand-alone system, trilateration.

# Acknowledgment

I would like to thank my supervisors Dr.Tamer Elnady and Dr.Adel Elsabbagh for their constant support throughout the course of my masters. Without their knowledge, resources, and time this work wouldn't have been possible. I would like to thank the whole team at ASUGARDS for providing a supportive environment and I would like to specially thank Eng. Mohamed Talaat Harb for his dedicated help, I would like also to thank Eng. Ahmed Allam, Eng. Mina and Eng. Ahmed Aboserea for their informative discussions and their helpful ideas that helped in the development of the project. Mr. Mostafa Ali and Mr.Mohamed Mostafa helped me a lot throughout the project.

I would like to thank my parents and sisters to whom I owe everything, they gave me support and encouragement and tolerated being busy all the time. Finally, I would like to thank my wife for her constant support and encouragement and listening passionately to all my ideas.

## Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction 1
1.1. Sound Source Localization techniques 2
1.1.1. Time Domain Techniques 2
1.1.2. Factors affecting TDOA estimation
1.1.3. Frequency domain techniques 5
1.1.4. Combined techniques 6
1.2. Sound Source Localization Applications7
1.2.1. Shooter localization7
1.3. Objective
1.4. Scope of Work
1.5. Problem Definition
1.5.1. Assumptions
1.5.2. Accuracy criteria 10
1.5.2. Accuracy criteria 10
1.5.2. Accuracy criteria 10 Chapter 2: Theory 11
1.5.2. Accuracy criteria10Chapter 2: Theory112.1. Linearization of the system of equations12
1.5.2. Accuracy criteria10Chapter 2: Theory112.1. Linearization of the system of equations122.2. Determining the Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA)14
1.5.2. Accuracy criteria10Chapter 2: Theory112.1. Linearization of the system of equations122.2. Determining the Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA)142.2.1. Simulation of cross-correlation function15
1.5.2. Accuracy criteria10Chapter 2: Theory112.1. Linearization of the system of equations122.2. Determining the Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA)142.2.1. Simulation of cross-correlation function152.2.2. Cross-correlation limitation17
1.5.2. Accuracy criteria10Chapter 2: Theory112.1. Linearization of the system of equations122.2. Determining the Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA)142.2.1. Simulation of cross-correlation function152.2.2. Cross-correlation limitation172.3. Simulating a free field17
1.5.2. Accuracy criteria10Chapter 2: Theory112.1. Linearization of the system of equations122.2. Determining the Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA)142.2.1. Simulation of cross-correlation function152.2.2. Cross-correlation limitation172.3. Simulating a free field17Chapter 3: Practical work19
1.5.2. Accuracy criteria10Chapter 2: Theory112.1. Linearization of the system of equations122.2. Determining the Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA)142.2.1. Simulation of cross-correlation function152.2.2. Cross-correlation limitation172.3. Simulating a free field17Chapter 3: Practical work193.1. First prototype19

3.1.4. Results	23
3.2. Second prototype	25
3.2.1. Array design and microphone arrangement	25
3.2.2. Microphones	29
3.2.3. Data acquisition system	30
3.2.4. Program	31
3.3. Third Prototype	36
3.3.1. Reducing the cost of the system	36
3.3.2. Results for the revised system (Iteration F)	39
3.4. Fourth Prototype	39
3.4.1. Hardware	40
3.4.2. Data acquisition system	40
3.4.3. Software	42
3.4.4. Building the cRIO model (Iteration G)	44
3.4.5. Results	44
3.5. cRIO model comparison	45
3.6. Final model using sbRIO (Iteration H)	46
3.6.1. Hardware used	47
3.6.2. Data acquisition system	47
3.6.3. Software	47
3.6.4. Additions made to the system (Iteration I)	47
3.6.5. Final system steps	49
3.6.6. Power management	51
3.6.7. Results	52
3.7. Final product packaging	54
3.8. Calibration of microphones	56

3.9. Design summary:
3.10. Cost of the final prototype: 57
Chapter 4: Results and Discussion 59
4.1. Readings in the open field59
4.1.1. Test setting
4.1.2. Challenges faced during testing in open field
4.2. Results
4.2.1. Pink noise 64
4.2.2. Gun-shot playback
4.2.3. Blank gun 68
4.3. Discussion
4.3.1. Pink noise 69
4.3.2. Gun-shot playback 69
4.3.3. Blank gun 70
4.3.4. Understanding the distance accuracy of the array
4.3.5. Auxiliary systems performance74
Chapter 5: Conclusion and recommendations for future work
5.1. Conclusion
5.2. Recommendations for future work77
Refrences1
Appendix "A"

# **Chapter 1: Introduction**

Humans are constantly seeking ways to copy nature; copying the senses of humans such as vision or hearing are one of the most sought-after goals. Human hearing is very complicated such that using our two ears we not only detect the location of a sound source, but also, we can extract sounds coming from a certain source in noisy conditions (such as focusing on one speaker in a room with multiple speakers), we can even recognize the origin of different sources such as a phone ringing or different voices of people. That means that human hearing system can localize, extract and recognize different sound sources. In this thesis the work will be focused on just one aspect which is localization.

Accurate determination of different sound sources in a free field could prove beneficial in many situations such as determining the source of sound in a desert where there are no buildings, only open spaces of land. This has many uses in surveillance and monitoring; such that a camera could be directed at the sound source and film it. The range of such an array could detect a sound source from a large distance, provided that the sound signal is loud enough.

This project will focus on locating a sound source in free field using a microphone array arranged such that they can detect a sound source in plane in 360 degrees around the array.

Two previous projects were completed at Ain Shams university:

- The first had an acoustic array of microphones that listens to a machine and record what it listens to, post processing is then made to determine the location of different abnormal noise sources and identify their cause such as a bad gear or a faulty bearing [1].
- 2. The second, used 3 microphones installed on a moving car that can track a sound source moving in line, the car moved automatically to follow the moving source [2].

Both projects used frequency analysis to identify the sound source; in the first project the recorded microphone readings were analyzed in the frequency domain to find the peak frequencies and by linking each frequency to the different elements, the faulty element could be determined.

The second project had a buzzer as the moving source which emits a single frequency, such that all the calculations were made in the frequency domain.

#### **1.1. Sound Source Localization techniques**

Sound source localization is done using many techniques that can be divided into two main categories [3].

- Time domain techniques
- Frequency domain techniques

There are also some research papers on how to combine both time and frequency domain techniques in order to achieve better performance [4].

#### **1.1.1. Time Domain Techniques**

Time domain techniques work on the acquired signal in the time domain. Calculations can be divided into two steps: 1) Estimating the Time Difference Of Arrival (TDOA). 2) Calculations based on this estimation to pinpoint the source location.[3] The estimation of TDOA is based on using the Cross-Correlation function to determine the shift between signals at different microphones. Microphones are usually spatially organized in an array with known locations (such as circle, a line or a sphere). The accuracy of determining the microphone locations is very important as it is considered a factor in the calculations to determine the source location.

The calculations for localization are well established and are based on simple geometry, the main problem occurs with the estimation of the TDOA which depends on many different factors such as the signal to noise ratio (SNR) and reverberation [3]. Cross correlation is the method used to determine the TDOA, but due to its nature it can only calculate the delay in integer number of samples. This means that the delay is always a multiple of the inverse of the sampling frequency. Increasing the sampling frequency would increase the

accuracy of the estimation but this would come on the cost of computation complexity and eventually making the application not suitable for real time applications due to the long computation time. A number of papers focused on improving the TDOA estimation such as in [5], where T. May etal. used different interpolation schemes in order to minimize the errors occurring due to crosscorrelation function to determine the delay in a fraction of a sample instead of integer samples. Another factor that affects TDOA estimation is the SNR, such that the noisy background affects the accuracy of the detection. In [6] L. Chen etal. used different weighting techniques in order to decrease the effect of noise. This proposed weighting technique also suppresses the echoes which makes it more difficult to differentiate between the actual sound source and the direction of its echoes.

Simultaneous acquisition of signals is of great importance when estimating TDOA because all the calculations are based in the time domain, so all microphones need to share 1 common clock if possible.

Another TDOA estimation technique is presented in [7] where each microphone is connected to a rising edge trigger, when an impulse sound is detected, the absolute time stamp for each microphone is recorded and sent to the processing unit which measures the time delay between each of the microphones and from this data, the position is calculated.

#### 1.1.2. Factors affecting TDOA estimation

The main factors that affect the TDOA estimation are: [3,8]

- 1. Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR)
- 2. Reverberation and echoes
- Calculation window (number of samples on which cross-correlation is calculated)
- 4. Sampling rate (determines the least time difference calculated)

#### 1.1.2.1 Generalized cross correlation

Generalized cross correlation (GCC) is the most basic technique used in sound source localization, it uses the cross-correlation function to estimate TDOA