



Cairo University

ASSESSMENT OF PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE CAPACITY OF DUAL STEEL CONCENTRICALLY BRACED FRAMES WITH SEMI-RIGID CONNECTION

By

Abdullah Mohammed Mahmoud AL-Saied AL-Falahgy

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Structural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY

GIZA, EGYPT

2019

**ASSESSMENT OF PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE
CAPACITY OF DUAL STEEL CONCENTRICALLY
BRACED FRAMES WITH SEMI-RIGID CONNECTION**

By

Abdullah Mohammed Mahmoud AL-Saied AL-Falahgy

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Structural Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Sherief Ahmed Mourad

Dr. Maha Moddather Hassan

.....

.....

Professor of Steel Structures
Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Assistant Professor
Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY

GIZA, EGYPT

2019

**ASSESSMENT OF PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE
CAPACITY OF DUAL STEEL CONCENTRICALLY
BRACED FRAMES WITH SEMI-RIGID CONNECTION**

By

Abdullah Mohammed Mahmoud AL-Saied AL-Falahgy

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Structural Engineering

Approved by the
Examiners committee:

Prof. Dr. Sherief Ahmed Mourad

Thesis Main Advisor

.....

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Hassanein Serror

Internal Examiner

.....

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abd-Alsalam Alserwi

External Examiner

.....

Professor of Steel Structures Faculty of Engineering, Ain-Shams University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY

GIZA, EGYPT

2019

Engineer's Name: Abdullah Mohammed Mahmoud AL-Saied AL-Falahgy
Date of Birth: 12/07/1990
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: Engabdallahmm@gmail.com
Phone: (+20)1060043032-(02)33852385
Address: 11 Khofo St.-Al-Haram St.
Registration Date: 1/10/2013
Awarding Date:/..../2019
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Structural Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Sherief Ahmed Mourad
Dr. Maha Moddather Hassan

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Sherief Ahmed Mourad (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abd-Alsalam Alserwi (External examiner)
Professor of Steel Structures Faculty of Engineering, Ain-Shams University
Prof. Dr. Mohammed Hassanein Serror (Internal examiner)

Title of Thesis:

Assessment Of Progressive Collapse Capacity Of Dual Steel Concentrically Braced Frames With Semi-Rigid Connection

Key Words:

Progressive Collapse; Steel Bracing Moment Resisting Frame; Spring Component Model; Rigid connection; Semi-Rigid Connection; Extended End-Plate; Linear Time-History Analysis.

Summary:

This research investigates progressive collapse phenomena of steel braced frames (dual system) with semi-rigid connections assigned at beam-column joints, due to abnormal loading events. This phenomena occurs when one or more of major structural members cannot resist over loads due to sudden removal of structural elements. Two dimensional (2-D) analytical models are created using a commercial computer program SAP2000 and designed according to American Society of civil engineers (ASCE, 7-05). And followed by progressive collapse procedures due to General Service Administration (GSA, 2003). Linear static analysis and linear time history analysis were carried out for progressive collapse evaluation using semi-rigid connection definition at beam to column joints. A variety of structural models are analyzed for progressive collapse conditions using variable definition of structural models. These parameters are defined variously for number of stories, story height, span length and number of bays with different locations of removed members. The results are investigated according to structural members demand capacity ratio (DCR), vertical displacement upon point of column removal and lateral story drift. Effectiveness of semi-rigid connection was investigated and compared with results using fully-rigid connections.

DISCLAIMER

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sourced used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Abdullah Mohammed Mahmoud AL-Saied AL-Falahgy

Date:/..../2019

Signature:

DEDICATION

I dedicate this research to my parents. I am forever indebted to them for their understanding, endless patience, encouragement, support, and sacrifice when it was most required. I largely owe all I have achieved and aspire to achieve in my life. It would have been impossible to accomplish this study without their guidance, loving support and constant motivational encouragement.

Abdullah Mohammed Mahmoud

2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am greatly indebted to my thesis supervisors **Professor Dr. Sherief Ahmed Mourad & Dr. Maha Moddather Hassan** for providing me with definite direction, professional guidance, and constant encouragement from the beginning of the work and moral support in many ways during study period. I would like to acknowledge the enthusiastic and meticulous supervision and their insightful discussions, comments and suggestions during my M.Sc. studies. Without their wisdom, guidance and patience, this thesis would have been impossible to complete.

I am also grateful to all the colleagues of the M.Sc. Structural Engineering. The cooperation and support of Eng. Safwat Hassan Hussein, Eng. Yahya Zahran & Eng. Abdelrahman AL-Deef is incomparable and unforgettable.

I have to emphasize the great support I have received from all my friends in Civil Engineers department. I fully appreciate them sharing and exchanging ideas which truly contributed to this study.

Secondly, a big thanks go to my brothers, who unceasingly supported me in the best possible way during the whole period of this study.

Finally, I dedicate this research to my parents. I am forever indebted to them for their understanding, endless patience, encouragement, support, and sacrifice when it was most required. I largely owe all I have achieved and aspire to achieve in my life. It would have been impossible to accomplish this study without their guidance, loving support and constant motivational encouragement.

Author

Abdullah Mohammed Mahmoud

Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER.....	I
DEDICATION.....	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	III
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VI
LIST OF CHARTS.....	VIII
LIST OF TABLES.....	IX
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	X
ABSTRACT.....	XII
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. GENERAL OVERVIEW AND BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2. PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE OVERVIEW AND SIGNIFICANCE.....	2
1.3. SCOPE AND RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	2
1.4. ORGANIZATION AND THESIS OUTLINE.....	3
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....	5
2.1. INTRODUCTION.....	5
2.2. DEFINITION OF PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE.....	5
2.3. SIGNIFICANCE OF PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE.....	7
2.4. MECHANISM OF PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE.....	7
2.5. EXAMPLES AND CASES OF PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE.....	8
2.6. PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE GUIDELINES AND REQUIREMENTS.....	11
2.7. PARAMETRIC STUDY OF PREVIOUS RESEARCHES.....	14
2.8. GAPS IN PREVIOUS RESEARCHES.....	17
2.9. ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE.....	18
CHAPTER 3. PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE MEHADODOLOGY OF DUAL STEEL CONCENTRICALLY BRACED FRAMES ANALYSIS.....	19
3.1. OVERVIEW.....	19
3.2. OUTLINE OF CHAPTER.....	19
3.3. MODELLING CRITERIA.....	20
3.4. MODELING ASSUMPTIONS.....	34

3.5. ANALYSIS AND DESIGN PROCEDURES	35
CHAPTER 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	60
4.1. OVERVIEW	60
4.2. OUTLINE OF CHAPTER	60
4.3. STRAINING ACTIONS OF STEEL BEAMS AND COLUMNS COMPARISON BETWEEN FULLY-RIGID & SEMI-RIGID CONNECTIONS.....	60
4.4. DYNAMIC LINEAR ANALYSIS (TIME HISTORY ANALYSIS)	75
4.5. STATIC LINEAR ANALYSIS	92
4.6. CATENARY ACTION	114
4.7. DIFFERENT COMPARING OF STRUCTURAL MODELS.....	117
CHAPTER 5. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	120
5.1. SUMMARY	120
5.2. CONCLUSIONS	121
5.3. FUTURE RESEARCHES RECOMMENDATIONS	124
REFERENCES	125

List of Figures

Figure 2.5.1. World Trade Center towers showing impact and local damage [9].	9
Figure 2.5.2. World Trade Center towers progressive collapse sequence [10].	9
Figure 2.5.3. Front and exterior view of Murrah Federal building collapse [11].	10
Figure 2.5.4. South-east corner of Ronan Point Tower progressive collapse [12].	11
Figure 2.6.1. Types of frame structure tie forces.	12
Figure 3.3.1. Analysis Models Description (Parametric study).	21
Figure 3.3.2. Different structural parameters description of structure models.	24
Figure 3.3.3. Dynamic increase factor for gravity load of structural models (1).	28
Figure 3.3.4. Dynamic increase factor for gravity load of structural models (2).	29
Figure 3.3.5. Equivalent reactions forces applied at points of column and brace elements.	30
Figure 3.3.6. Column removed and joint reaction forces applying in SAP2000 program.	30
Figure 3.3.7. Time history function of vertical and equivalent reaction loads upon points of elements removed.	31
Figure 3.3.8. Columns and bracing members removal scenarios.	33
Figure 3.5.1. Design sections and DCR of 10-stories typical steel frame.	37
Figure 3.5.2. Design sections and DCR of 15-stories typical steel frame.	38
Figure 3.5.3. Design sections of 20-stories typical steel frame.	39
Figure 3.5.4. Design sections of 25-stories typical steel frame.	40
Figure 3.5.5. Semi-Rigid connection components.	41
Figure 3.5.6. Bolts arrangements and bolts considered in tension design.	42
Figure 3.5.7. End plate stress and dimension details.	43
Figure 3.5.8. Stress distribution of tension bolts.	44
Figure 3.5.9. General layout of web and flange beam weld.	47
Figure 3.5.10. Types of component failure of end-plate moment connection.	48
Figure 3.5.11. Stresses zone of end plate moment connection.	49
Figure 3.5.12. Spring structure model of end-plate moment connection.	50
Figure 3.5.13. Spring SAP model of end plate connection and spring rotations.	50
Figure 3.5.14. SAP2000 Link/Support directional and stiffness property.	51
Figure 3.5.15. Simulation of connection springs structure model for edge and mid spans.	54
Figure 3.5.16. Rigid link locations at spring structure connection model.	54
Figure 3.5.17. Rigid link results for different Young's Modulus values in span = 6.0 m (1).	56
Figure 3.5.18. Rigid link results for different Young's Modulus values in span = 6.0 m (2).	57
Figure 3.5.19. Rigid link results for different Young's Modulus values in span = 8.0 m (1).	58
Figure 3.5.20. Rigid link results for different Young's Modulus values in span = 8.0 m (2).	59
Figure 4.3.1. SAP beam moment values before column removed with span length 6.0 m.	62

Figure 4.3.2. SAP beam moment values after column removed with span length 6.0 m.	65
Figure 4.3.3. SAP column axial force values before column removed.....	67
Figure 4.3.4. SAP Column Axial Force Values after Column Removed.	69
Figure 4.3.5. SAP beam moment values before column removed with span length 8.0 m.	71
Figure 4.3.6. SAP beam moment values after column removed with span length 8.0 m.	73
Figure 4.4.1. Ideal linear dynamic analysis deflection curve zones.	75
Figure 4.5.1. SAP loading condition for linear static analysis (column removed at first-level).....	92
Figure 4.5.2. SAP loading condition for linear static analysis (column removed at mid-level).	93
Figure 4.5.3. DCR values due to column removed at edge-bay (first-level).	95
Figure 4.5.4. DCR values of column at connection zone and increasing of compression force.....	96
Figure 4.5.5. DCR values due to column removed at mid-bay (first-level).....	97
Figure 4.5.6. DCR values due to column & brace removed at mid-bay (first-level).	98
Figure 4.5.7. Moment stresses of column at 8 th level.	99
Figure 4.5.8. DCR values due to column removed at edge-bay (8 th -level).....	100
Figure 4.5.9. DCR values due to column removed at mid-bay (8 th -level).	101
Figure 4.5.10. DCR values due to column & brace removed at mid-bay (8 th -level).	102
Figure 4.5.11. Numbering system considered in DCR values differences.	103
Figure 4.5.1. Lateral story drift curve & models naming system.	109
Figure 4.6.1. Mechanism of catenary action.....	114
Figure 4.6.2. Axial force diagrams of structural models in three case of column removed locations.	115
Figure 4.6.3. Catenary actions of mid column removed case and column with brace member removed case.	116
Figure 4.7.1. DCR values due to static linear analysis.	117
Figure 4.7.2. DCR values due to dynamic linear (time history) analysis.	118

List of Charts

Chart 4.4.1. Deflection curves with span length equal to 6.0 m at first level.	77
Chart 4.4.2. Deflection curves with span length equal to 6.0 m at 6 th level.....	78
Chart 4.4.3. Deflection curves with span length equal to 8.0 m at first level.	79
Chart 4.4.4. Deflection curves with span length equal to 8.0 m at 6 th level.....	80
Chart 4.4.5. Deflection Curves of Different Number of Stories and Edge-Column Removed.....	81
Chart 4.4.6. Deflection Curves of Different Number of Stories and Mid-Column Removed.	81
Chart 4.4.7. Deflection Curves of Different Number of Stories and Column Removed with Connected Bracing Member.....	82
Chart 4.4.8. Deflection curves comparing of models with different span lengths.....	84
Chart 4.4.9. Deflection curves comparing of models with different story heights.	86
Chart 4.4.10. Deflection curves comparing of models with different number of bays (1).	87
Chart 4.4.11. Deflection curves comparing of models with different number of bays (2).	88
Chart 4.4.12. Deflection curves of different locations of element removal at first level.	89
Chart 4.4.13. Deflection curves of different locations of element removal at 13 th level.	89
Chart 4.4.14. Deflection curves of removed elements at different level.....	91
Chart 4.5.1. Lateral story drift curve of different span length.	110
Chart 4.5.2. Lateral story drift curve of different number of bays.....	111
Chart 4.5.3. Lateral story drift curve of different story height.	111
Chart 4.5.4. Lateral story drift curve of different removal element locations.	112
Chart 4.5.5. Lateral story drift curve of different removal element locations & levels.	113

List of Tables

Table 2.8.1. Model parameters used in previous researches.....	17
Table 2.8.2. Model parameters used in this research.....	17
Table 2.9.1. Acceptance criteria for progressive collapse (GSA, 2003).....	18
Table 3.3.1. Models naming system with variable parameters definition of each models.	23
Table 3.5.1. High strength bolts table.....	45
Table 3.5.2. Rigid link results for different Young's Modulus values in span = 6.0 m.....	57
Table 3.5.3. Rigid link results for different Young's Modulus values in span = 8.0 m.....	59
Table 4.3.1. Moment values comparison of fully-rigid and semi-rigid connections before column removed and for span length = 6.0 m.	63
Table 4.3.2. Moment values comparison of fully-rigid and semi-rigid connections after column removed and for span length = 6.0 m.	66
Table 4.3.3. Column axial force values comparison of fully-rigid and semi-rigid connections before column removed.....	68
Table 4.3.4. Column axial force values comparison of fully-rigid and semi-rigid connections after column removed.....	70
Table 4.3.5. Moment values comparison of fully-rigid and semi-rigid connection before column removed and for span length = 8.0 m.	72
Table 4.3.6. Moment values comparison of fully-rigid and semi-rigid connections after column removed and for span length = 8.0 m.	74
Table 4.4.1. Deflection differences ratios of different number of stories.....	82
Table 4.4.2. Deflection differences ratios of different span length.....	83
Table 4.4.3. Deflection differences ratios of different story height.....	85
Table 4.4.4. Deflection differences ratios of different locations of removed elements.	90
Table 4.5.1. DCR difference ratios of semi-rigid and fully-rigid models.....	104
Table 4.5.2. DCR difference ratios of mid column removed at first & 8 th levels.....	105
Table 4.5.3. DCR difference ratios of different number of stories models.....	106
Table 4.5.4. DCR difference ratios of different span length models.....	107
Table 4.5.5. DCR difference ratios of different story height models.....	107
Table 4.5.5. DCR difference ratios of different number of bays models.....	108

List of Abbreviations

AISC	American Institute of Steel Construction
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
ASD	Allowable strength design
AU	Stress area of upper row bolts
AL	Stress area of lower row bolts
Aw	Weld area of flange and web
Ab	Cross-sectional area of the bolt
Ac	Cross-sectional area of the column
Bbf	Beam flange width
B _{plate}	End-plate width
CP	Pressure coefficient
Cd	Deflection amplification factor
Ct	Approximate period parameters
Db	Bolt diameter
d _b	Depth of beam web
DL	Dead loads
DCR	Demand Capacity Ratio
ECP	Egyptian Code of Practice
E	Young's modulus of steel
Er	Young's modulus of rigid link
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
Fy	Yield Stresses
Fu	Ultimate Tensile Stresses
Fye	Effective Yield Stresses
Fue	Effective Tensile Stresses
Fpw	Allowable stress of fillet weld
FtA	Stress at point (A)
FtB	Stress at point (B)
Fb	Allowable bending stress of end plate
fU	Upper row bolt stress
fL	Lower row bolt stress
G	Gust factor
GSA	General Service Administration
GN	Gravity loads for linear static analysis
GND	Gravity loads for dynamic linear time history analysis
HSS-sec	Box-Sections
htb	Total depth of beam
htc	Total depth of the column
h _b	Depth of the beam web
hc	Depth of the column web
I	Importance factor
IBC	International Building Code
I _{px}	End plate moment of inertia
I _{wx}	Weld moment of inertia about X-axis
I _c	Column moment of inertia
I _b	Beam moment of inertia
K _{ep}	Spring stiffness of end plate due to flexure stress
K _{cf}	Spring stiffness of column flange due to flexure stress
K _{cwc}	Spring stiffness of column web due to compression stress
K _{cwt}	Spring stiffness of column web due to tension stress
K _{cwv}	Spring stiffness of column web due to shear of panel zone stress
K _{bp}	Spring stiffness of bolts due to tension stress
K _d	Wind directional factor
K _z	Exposure coefficient

Kzt	Topographic factor
L	Distance between the two upper bolts rows
LL	Live Loads
Lfw	Length of flange weld
Lww	Length of web weld
L _{plate}	End-plate length
Lbo	Length of the bolt
LF	Load Factor
Lc	Column Height
Lb	Beam Length
M	Beam end moment
Mp	Binding moment in end plate
mc	Distance from the center of the bolt hole to the column web
mep	Distance from the center of the bolt hole in the upside to the upper surface of beam flange
N	Beam normal force
nep	Distance from the center of the bolt hole in the upside to the upper edge of end-plate
nc	Distance from the center of the bolt hole to the nearest edge of column flange
Q	End beam shear force
qz	Wind pressure
R	Response modification coefficient
r _c	Column root radius
r _b	Beam root radius
S	Weld thickness
Sbf	Weld thickness of beam flange
Sbw	Weld thickness of beam web
Tf	Tension in upper beam flange
Tb(M)	Bolt tension force due to beam end moment
Tb(N)	Bolt tension force due to beam normal force
tbw	Thickness of beam web
tbf	Thickness of beam flange
tep	End-plate thickness
tcf	Thickness of column flange
tcw	Thickness of column web
t _{eb}	End-plate thickness
UFC	Unified Facilities Criteria
USA	United States of America
V	Wind speed
W	Wind Loads
W _{D.L.}	Distributed Dead Loads
W _{L.L.}	Distributed Live Loads
W-sec	Wide flange-Sections
Ω	Over strength factor
ΩNS	Dynamic increase (amplification) factor for linear static analysis
p	Distance between the centers of the bolt hole at the same horizontal line
μ	Poisson's ratio for steel
θ	Chord rotation
ν	Poisson's ratio
2-D	Two-dimensional model
3-D	Three-dimensional model