



The sensitivity of sonography in detecting rotator cuff muscles pathologies in comparison to shoulder MRI

Thesis

**Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Radio Diagnosis**

Presented by

Cherine Mamdouh Fayez Labib

(M.B.B.CH)

Supervised By

**Ass. Prof. Dr. Waleed Mohamed Abd El Hamid
Hetta**

Assistant Professor of Radiology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Rasha Tolba Khattab

Lecturer of Radiology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2019**

Table of Contents

Acknowledgment	iii
List of Abbreviations.....	iv
List of Tables.....	v
List of Figures	vi
Introduction.....	1
Aim of the Work	3
Anatomy of the Shoulder Joint.....	4
A. Gross Anatomy of the Shoulder Joint	4
B. Ultrasound Anatomy of the Shoulder Joint	16
C. MRI Anatomy of the Shoulder Joint.....	27
D. Anatomical Variants of the Shoulder Joint on MRI	34
Imaging Technique of the Shoulder Joint	38
A. MRI Technique of Shoulder Joint	38
B. Ultrasound Technique of Shoulder Joint.....	42
Rotator Cuff Pathologies.....	59
Materials and Methods.....	74
Results.....	82
Illustrative Case.....	91
Discussion	114
Summary	122
Conclusion.....	124
References	125
Arabic Summary	-

Acknowledgment

*First, all gratitude is to **God** for blessing this work, until it has reached its end, as a part of his generous help, throughout my life.*

*I can hardly find the words to express my gratitude to **Ass. Prof. Dr. Waleed Mohamed Abd El Hamid Hetta**, Assistant Professor of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, continuous help, encouragement throughout this work and tremendous effort he has done in the meticulous revision of the whole work. It is a great honor to work under his guidance and supervision.*

*Also, I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to **Dr. Rasha Tolba Khattab**, Lecturer of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her continuous directions and support throughout the whole work.*

Last but not least, I dedicate this work to my family, whom without their sincere emotional support, pushing me forward this work would not have ever been completed.

List of Abbreviations

AC	: Acromioclavicular
ACJ	: Acromioclavicular joint
CHL	: Coracohumeral ligament
DM	: Diabetes mellitus
GT	: Greater tuberosity
IGHL	: Inferior gleno humeral ligament
LHB	: Long head of biceps
LT	: Lesser tuberosity
MGHL	: Middle gleno-humeral ligament
MRI	: Magnetic Resonance Imaging
OA	: Os acromiale
Sc	: Subscapularis
SGHL	: Superior gleno-humeral ligament
SS	: Supraspinatus
SST	: Supraspinatus tendon
Sub	: Subscapularis
TE	: Time to echo
Tr	: Trapezius muscle
TR	: Time to repetition
US	: Ultrasonography
USG	: Ultrasonography

List of Tables

Table (1): Origin, Insertion, Action, and Nerve Supply of the Rotator Cuff Muscles (Quoted from Kishner and Gest, 2017).....	12
Table (2): Protocol for USG assessment of the shoulder joint (Singh et al., 2017).	76
Table (3): Illustrates the parameters used for the MRI shoulder examination.	80
Table (4): Reveals that the mean age of the patients ranged from 19 to 78 with +/- 10 SD.	82
Table (5): Demonstrates the side of the affected shoulder & dominant shoulder joint distribution between the selected patients.	83
Table (6): Demonstrates the risk factors for painful shoulder namely heavy use and trauma.....	83
Table (7): Demonstrates the incidence of night pain complaint as well as accompanying other joint pain in-between the selected patients.	83
Table (8): Demonstrates incidence (a) and diagnostic validity (b) of supraspinatus tendinopathy and calcific tendinitis by U/S in reference to MRI.	84
Table (9): Demonstrates prevalence (a) and diagnostic validity (b) of supraspinatus (SS) articular surface partial tear, bursal surface partial tear, interstitial partial tear and total cases with partial supraspinatus tears by U/S in reference to MRI.	85
Table (10): Demonstrates prevalence (a) and diagnostic validity tests (b) of supraspinatus (SS) complete width complete full thickness tears, incomplete width full thickness tear and all cases with tears by U/S in reference to MRI.	87
Table (11): Demonstrates prevalence (a) and diagnostic validity (b) of Acromioclavicular joint osteoarthritis and Sub acromial impingement by U/S in reference to MRI.	88
Table (12): Demonstrates prevalence (a) and diagnostic validity test (b) of Sub acromial Subdeltoid bursitis, Shoulder joint effusion and long head of biceps (LHB) tenosynovitis by U/S in reference to MRI.	89
Table (13): Demonstrates prevalence (a) and diagnostic validity (b) of Subscapularis tear, Sub-scapularis tendinopathy and infraspinatus tendinopathy by U/S in reference to MRI.	90

List of Figures

Figure (1): Anatomy of the shoulder (Quoted from Kishner and Gest, 2017).	4
Figure (2): Anatomy of the shoulder anatomy, lateral view (Quoted from Stephen et al., 2017).....	5
Figure (3): Shoulder muscle anatomy “anterior view” (Quoted from Kishner et al., 2017).....	6
Figure (4): Shoulder anatomy, posterior view (Quoted from Kishner et al., 2017).	6
Figure (5): Anatomical variations of the acromial morphology (Quoted from Bigliani et al., 1997).....	8
Figure (6): Different shapes of the acromion. Sagittal T1-weighted MRI images demonstrate [A] type I acromion (arrow), [B] type II acromion, and [C] type III acromion (Cook et al., 2011)	9
Figure (7): The AC joint ligaments (Quoted from Kishner and Gest,2017) .	10
Figure (8): The glenohumeral ligaments (Kishner and Gest, 2017).....	11
Figure (9): Rotator cuff muscles (Quoted from Kishner and Gest, 2017). ...	12
Figure (10): Facets of the greater tuberosity showing location of supraspinatus (SST) and infraspinatus (IST) tendon attachments relative to the greater tuberosity facets. LHBT = long head of biceps brachii tendon.(Quoted from Jacobson, 2011).	13
Figure (11): Shoulder joint capsule anatomy (Jones, 2018).....	14
Figure (12): (A) and (B) Shoulder joint major bursae (Cook et al., 2011)....	15
Figure (13): Rotator interval (Frank et al.,2015).....	16
Figure (14): Normal ultrasound anatomy (Quoted from Hirahara and Panero, 2016).	17
Figure (15): Supraspinatus muscle by ultrasound (Bianchi et al., 2007).	18
Figure (16): Infraspinatus muscle ultrasound (Bianchi et al., 2007).....	18
Figure (17): Teres minor (Bianchi et al., 2007).	19
Figure (18): Subscapularis muscle by US (Precerutti et al., 2010).	20
Figure (19): biceps tendon within the bicipital groove (Precerutti et al., 2010).	21
Figure (20): Ultrasound of the deltoid muscle (Precerutti M et al., 2010)...	21
Figure (21): A transverse long-axis US image of the rotator interval and its contents: the biceps tendon at the centre, anterior to it the SGHL while superior to it the CHL lies. Laterally the supraspinatus muscle (SSp) is noticed while medially the subscapularis muscle (SSc) (Frank et al., 2015).	22
Figure (22): US image showing the RI. The long head of biceps tendon (*) is hyperechoic at this plane and is present between the supraspinatus tendon laterally and subscapularis tendon medially. The CHL ligament (arrow heads) forms the roof of the interval. The needle (represented by the dashed arrow) is inserted from lateral to medial (Ogul et al., 2014).	23

Figure (23): Subacromial subdeltoid bursa (arrows) (Precerutti et al., 2010).	24
Figure (24): Subcoracoid bursa (arrows) (Precerutti et al., 2010).	24
Figure (25): Coracoacromial ligament (Precerutti et al., 2010).	25
Figure (26): Acromioclavicular joint. The acromioclavicular ligament reinforces the joint capsule (Precerutti et al., 2010).	25
Figure (27): The suprascapular and spinoglenoid notches (Habermeyer et al.,2006).	27
Figure (28): Axial MRI sections of the shoulder joint (Iyengar et al., 2010).	29
Figure (29): Sagittal oblique MRI cuts of the shoulder joint (Iyengar et al., 2010).	31
Figure (30): Coronal oblique MRI sections of the shoulder joint (Iyengar et al., 2010).	33
Figure (31): Axial T2-weighted MR image showing an os acromial (Wang et al., 2013).	34
Figure (32): Cystic lesions in the posterolateral aspect of the humeral head (Wang et al., 2013).	35
Figure (33): Axial section PD-weighted MRI arthrogram illustrating a smooth, full-thickness cartilaginous defect seen at the glenoid cavity center (arrow)(Wang et al., 2013).	36
Figure (34): Sub labral recess variant versus SLAP tear (Zlatkin et al., 2003).	36
Figure (35): Buford Complex tears (Zlatkin et al., 2003).	37
Figure (36): Axial cut fat-suppressed T1-weighted MRI arthrogram displaying cordlike thickened MGHL in front of the glenoid rim superiorly with absent labrum, picture of Buford complex (arrow) (Motamedi et al., 2014).	37
Figure (37): MR planes for an adequate MRI shoulder (Llopis et al., 2015).	39
Figure (38): ABER scout view. Look at the orientation which should be parallel to the shaft of the humerus and perpendicular to the glenohumeral articulation.	40
Figure (39): Traditional ABER view of a normal shoulder. Where the arm is externally rotated and the supraspinatus footprint inserts posteriorly (white arrow) (Jaideep J. Iyengar et al., 2010).	40
Figure (40): Short axis view of the long head of biceps. (a) Transducer is placed transversely over the anterior aspect of the shoulder. (b) Corresponding image by ultrasound shows the LHB tendon (white arrow) as a hyperechoic round structure within the bicipital groove. D, deltoid muscle; LT, Lesser tuberosity; GT, greater tuberosity, Sub, subscapularis tendon inserting on the lesser tuberosity. A few fibers of the subscapularis pass over the bicipital groove are called the transverse humeral ligament (short arrow). (c) The (white arrow) represents the LHB tendon (Gupta and Robinson, 2015).	44
Figure (41): Long axis view of the long head of biceps tendon. (a) Transducer is placed longitudinally over the anterior aspect of the shoulder. (b) Corresponding image by ultrasound shows the LHB tendon (white arrows).	

Normally a sliver of fluid (star) is seen in dependent part of distal tendon sheath. H, humerus; D, deltoid muscle (Gupta and Robinson, 2015). 45

Figure (42): Long axis of the subscapularis tendon A- Transducer is placed while the shoulder is externally rotated (Gupta and Robinson, 2015). B-Long axis of the subscapularis tendon. Ultrasound image shows the subscapularis tendon being fibrillar and hyperechoic (arrows) (Jacobson,2011). 46

Figure (43): (a): Short axis of the subscapularis tendon. Transducer is placed while the shoulder is externally rotated. (b): US image showing the pattern of the subscapularis tendon (arrows).H = humeral head. (Jacobson, 2011). 47

Figure (44): Subscapularis tendon by ultrasound with its multipennate appearance showing areas of hyper (white arrows) and hypo echogenicity (asterisk) due to anisotropy as described in the article (Gupta and Robinson, 2015). 47

Figure (45): (a): Short axis of the supraspinatus tendon. Transducer is placed while the shoulder is in modified Crass position (Jacobson, 2011). (b) Corresponding US image shows hyperechoic supraspinatus (SS) tendon in the short axis. Superficial to the tendon is the hypoechoic subacromial bursa (white arrow) and deep to the tendon on the humeral head (H) is the hypoechoic articular cartilage (white arrowheads). Anterior to the SS tendon is the rotator interval with the long head biceps tendon (B) within it. (Gupta and Robinson, 2015). 50

Figure (46): Rotator interval and anterior supraspinatus (short axis). (a) Transducer position with elbow flexed at 90 degrees and pushed posteriorly as far as possible keeping it in the sagittal plane without attempting to put it over the lower back/hip. (b) Corresponding US image shows the rotator interval between the supraspinatus (SS) and subscapularis (Sub) tendons, consisting of the long head of biceps (LHB) tendon (B) and coracohumeral ligament (white arrow) in the roof of the interval and the anterior glenohumeral ligament in its anterior aspect (white star) (Gupta and Robinson, 2015). 50

Figure (47): (a) Long axis of the supraspinatus tendon probe position (Jacobson, 2011). b) Corresponding ultrasound (US) image shows fibrillar hyperechoic supraspinatus tendon (SS). Hypoechoic area at tendon footprint due to anisotropy is seen (star). D, deltoid muscle. H, humerus. (Gupta and Robinson, 2015). 51

Figure (48): Supraspinatus tendon (short axis). US image distal to articular surface over greater tuberosity facets shows supraspinatus tendon adjacent to superior facet (arrows), and infraspinatus tendon adjacent to middle facet (arrowheads). B, biceps brachii tendon. Right side of image is anterior (Jacobson, 2011). 51

Figure (49): (a) Acromio-clavicular joint. Transducer is placed over the superior aspect of the shoulder (b). US image shows AC joint (arrow) with hyperechoic bony contours; the acromion (a) and the distal end of the clavicle (c). The fibroelastic disc (arrowhead) is echogenic (Jacobson, 2011). 53

Figure (50): a) Dynamic assessment by ultrasound for subacromial

impingement. (a) Probe is placed over superolateral border of the shoulder in neutral position. (b) after abduction of the shoulder (Jacobson, 2011). 54

Figure (51): Dynamic assessment for subacromial impingement
Corresponding ultrasound image shows greater tuberosity (GT) and acromion (A) with the supraspinatus tendon (S) and its related collapsed subacromial bursa (arrow). (Bureau et al., 2006). 54

Figure (52): Shoulder ultrasound showing correct measurement of subacromial subdeltoid bursa (1 is a wrong measurement including the peribursal fat), (2 is the correct measurement including only the intrabursal fluid) (Daghir et al, 2012). 54

Figure (53): (a) Transducer is placed over posterior surface of the shoulder while in neutral position (Jacobson, 2011). b) Probe position with ipsilateral hand is placed on contralateral shoulder (Gupta and Robinson, 2015). 56

Figure (54): Corresponding ultrasound image shows the posterior glenohumeral joint recess (long white arrow) between the humerus (H) and glenoid (G). Long axis view of the infraspinatus muscle (IS) with central hyperechoic myotendinous region and the spinoglenoid notch (short white arrows). L, posterior labrum (Gupta and Robinson, 2015). 56

Figure (55): Short axis of the infraspinatus muscle and teres minor. Transducer is placed over the posterior surface of the shoulder in the neutral position (Jacobson, 2011) b) Corresponding ultrasound image represents infraspinatus (straight arrows) with its central tendon (curved arrow) and the teres minor (arrowheads) with its more superficial tendon (squiggly arrow). Left side of image is cephalad (Khoury et al., 2008). 57

Figure (56): (a) Lateral and inferior view of a right axilla. CB indicates coracobrachialis muscle; LD, latissimus dorsi muscle; PM, pectoralis major muscle; TB, triceps brachii muscle; black lines, positions of the transducer for transverse and longitudinal views of the inferior inferior side of the shoulder joint; and white line, position of the transducer for a transverse view of the subscapularis muscle. (b) Transverse view: fluid effusion in the inferior recess of the shoulder joint. Hum indicates humerus; SSc, subscapularis muscle; LD, latissimus dorsi muscle; TM, teres major muscle; and asterisks, fluid effusion (Michelin P. et al.,2013). 58

Figure (57): Moderate ACJ arthritis (Grade III), abnormalities include joint space narrowing, irregularities, and subacromial fat effacement (Stein et al., 2001). 61

Figure (58): Longitudinal view of the ACJ. Degenerative changes with synovial thickening, bone prominences and erosions are seen (Papatheodorou et al., 2006) 61

Figure (59): Axial T1-WI showing os acromiale (Tawfik et al., 2014). 62

Figure (60): Transverse view of the acromion, (OA = os acromiale) joins a proximal acromion (A) (Papatheodorou et al., 2006) 62

Figure (61): Sagittal oblique T2WI, (A) flat acromion (type I), (B) concave under surface (type II) and (C) hooked concave under surface (Tawfik et al., 2014). 62

Figure (62): Coronal fat-suppressed MRI T2-WI showing distention of subacromial subdeltoid bursa with no evidence of rotator cuff tear (arrow) (Motamedi et al., 2014). 63

Figure (63): Ultrasound coronal view of the left shoulder showing shoulder impingement when the patient is asked to actively elevate the arm, it shows pooling of fluid laterally (arrow) and mild impingement of the supraspinatus tendon (arrowhead) as greater tuberosity (T) of the head of the humerus approximates anterior one third of the acromion (A). The head of the humerus remains below the acromion in anatomic position (Bureau et al., 2006). 64

Figure (64): Ultrasound coronal view of the left shoulder showing shoulder impingement when the patient is asked to actively elevate the arm, it shows abnormal upward elevation of the head of the humerus (H) as regards to the acromion (A), preventing its passage below the acromion. There is also mild distention of the subacromial bursa (arrowhead). (S) = supraspinatus tendon (Bureau et al., 2006). 64

Figure (65): Supraspinatus tendon (SST) long axis shows thickening of the subacromial-subdeltoid bursa (arrows) GT = greater tuberosity (Girish et al., 2011). 65

Figure (66): Axial (a) and oblique sagittal (b) T1-weighted MR images show coracohumeral interval (arrows) is measured as shortest distance between outer cortices of coracoid process and adjacent lesser tuberosity of humerus (Friedman et al., 1998). 66

Figure (67): (a) Coronal T2 weighted MRI image show grade II supraspinatus tendinopathy (extent of signal changes less than half of the thickness of the supraspinatus tendon. (b) Ultrasonography of grade II supraspinatus tendinopathy shows mild heterogenous echopatter of the supraspinatus tendon without fiber dehiscence (Cullen et al., 2007). 67

Figure (68): Coronal oblique MRI fat-sat PD WI showing focal areas of signal void (calcifications) within the supraspinatus distal tendon fibers (arrows). Diagnosis was confirmed by ultrasonography (Tawfik et al., 2014) 68

Figure (69): US scans. (a) Supraspinatus footprint showing type III calcification (arrow), (b) and (c) infraspinatus muscle, respectively short and long axis. Intramuscular calcification is present (arrow) (Becciolini et al., 2016). 68

Figure (70): Supraspinatus full thickness tear. Coronal PD weighted MRI image (a) and transverse ultrasound image (b). The arrows point out the hyperintense defect in the MRI and the hypoechoic defect in the US. A=Acromion; H=Humerus; SSP=Supraspinatus tendon (Fischer et al., 2015). 70

Figure (71): Subscapularis partial thickness tear. Axial PD weighted MRI image (a) and transverse ultrasound image (b). The arrows point the focal defect associated with thinned-out tendon. H=Humerus, Co=Coracoid, SSC=Subscapularis tendon (Fischer et al., 2015). 70

Figure (73): Transverse view of the LHBT. The biceps tendon is seen

enlarged, with an inhomogeneous echopattern and surrounded by fluid representing tendinosis (Papatheodorou et al., 2006).....	71
Figure (72): Sagittal oblique MR image showing high signal in LHBT and thickening of the tendon (blue arrow), denoting tendinopathy (Dewachter, 2014).	71
Figure (74): An oblique sagittal MRI image. Partial rupture in the LHBT with high signal reaching to the edge of the tendon inferiorly (arrow) (Dewachter, 2014)	73
Figure (75): LHBT, transverse view. Chronic rupture resulting in partial non-visualization of the tendon within its groove, instead echogenic scar tissue is noted simulating normal tendon; the tendon characteristic fibrillar pattern is not seen (Papatheodorou et al., 2006)	73
Figure (76): Demonstrates the sex distribution between the study group.....	82
Figure (77): Demonstrates the incidence of DM among the selected cases..	84
Figure (78): Case 1.....	92
Figure (79, 80): Case 2.....	94
Figure (81): Case 3.....	96
Figure (82, 83): Case 4.....	98
Figure (84, 85): Case 5.....	100
Figure (86, 87): Case 6.....	1022
Figure (88, 89): Case 7.....	104
Figure (90, 91): Case 8.....	107
Figure (92, 93): Case 9.....	110
Figure (94, 95): Case 10.....	112

ABSTRACT

Background: Shoulder pain is a common and disabling complaint. Shoulder pain is responsible for approximately 16 % of all musculoskeletal disorders.

Objective: To compare the sensitivity of shoulder ultrasound in detecting rotator cuff muscles pathologies with unenhanced shoulder MRI.

Methods: A prospective comparative study, conducted in a private center in the period between june and november 2018

Results: Out of 52 patients undergoing ultrasound, 29 had supraspinatous tendinopathy, 9 had supraspinatous tendon partial thickness tear, 7 had supraspinatous complete thickness tear. When compared to MRI ultrasound had sensitivity and specificity of 90.6% and 75% for tendinopathy, 64.3% and 81.6% for partial thickness tear and 83.3% and 95.5% for full thickness tear respectively.

Conclusion: High resolution ultrasound is a good alternative to other radiological methods as MRI, for diagnosis of tendon and joints pathology

Keywords: MRI, rotator cuff muscles pathologies, ultrasound

Introduction

Shoulder pain is considered one of the very frequent and common complaints, it accounts for about 16% of musculoskeletal disorders (*Stabler, 2006*). 20% of the general population experience some sort of shoulder problems during their lifetime, of which 85% are rotator cuff related injuries. (*Martinoli et al., 2003, Ostor et al., 2005, Naredo et al., 2002*). Injuries in the rotator cuff muscles could be as simple as inflammations or up to complete tears.

Patient's age is an important factor in suspecting the shoulder's pathology. Impingement and tendinopathy, mild rotator cuff diseases, are common in patients of 40 years and younger. While adhesive capsulitis and glenohumeral osteoarthritis, advanced and chronic rotator cuff diseases, are seen more among patients of 40 years and older (*Burbank et al., 2008*).

The diagnosis and treatment plans of rotator cuff injuries are substantially improved through the use of medical imaging (*Post et al., 1983*). It can be the deciding factor of whether a patient would undergo surgery or would undergo conservative treatment. (*Ruotolo and Nottage, 2002, Mantone et al., 2000*). If the surgical option is decided, medical imaging can guide in choosing between arthroscopic or open approaches (*Mantone et al., 2000, Gartsman et al., 1998*).

Now a days MRI is widely used as it has the advantages of giving excellent soft tissue details, tendon retraction and the extension of the tear. However, MRI has disadvantages; expensive, not easily available, its images show artifacts when adjacent metallic implant is existing and has contraindications such as claustrophobia (*Dill, 2008*).

On the other hand, sonography is a less expensive alternative and much faster, offering a more robust dynamic examining capabilities such as; various arm positions and scanning planes. However, it has a steep learning curve, less sensitive for obese patients and difficult to perform for patients with restricted shoulder movement (*Papatheodorou et al., 2006*).

Accordingly, Ultrasound is a more suitable primary diagnostic method when screening shoulder pain as it is much more affordable and faster. (*Stabler, 2006*). The accuracy of ultrasound in identifying rotator cuff tears has been studied several times. High accuracy was proven in the detecting of full thickness tears, less accuracy was noted in partial thickness tears (*Dinnes et al., 2003*).

Aim of the Work

The aim of this study is to compare the sensitivity of shoulder ultrasound in detecting rotator cuff muscles pathologies with unenhanced shoulder MRI. Other benefits include identifying, retrospectively, the risk factors responsible for non articular causes of shoulder pain, thus the clinician can suggest ultrasound as a primary investigation to limit the use of the relatively more expensive MRI shoulder.