

سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

# بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ




سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم





سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

# جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم


## قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغييرات



## يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار




سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



# بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة






سامية محمد مصطفى



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



# بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



**A SIMULATION MODEL OF THE REACTOR  
HALL VENTILATION AND AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEMS  
OF ETRR-2**

**BY**

**Mohamed Fawzy Abd El-Rahman**

**A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
In  
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2004**

B

18713

**A SIMULATION MODEL OF THE REACTOR  
HALL VENTILATION AND AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEMS  
OF ETRR-2**

**BY**

**Mohamed Fawzy Abd El-Rahman**

**A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
In  
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**

**Under the Supervision of**

**Prof. A.S.EI. ASFOURI  
Cairo University**

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2004**

**A SIMULATION MODEL OF THE REACTOR  
HALL VENTILATION AND AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEMS  
OF ETRR-2**

**BY**


**Mohamed Fawzy Abd El-Rahman**

**A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
In  
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**

**Approved by the  
Examining committee**


**Prof. A.S.EL ASFOURI  
Cairo University**

**(Advisor)**



**Prof. A. Khalil  
Cairo University**

**(Member)**



**Prof. Karim El-Adham  
Atomic Energy Authority**

**(Member)**



**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT**

**2004**



# CONTENTS


|  | Page |
|--|------|
| <b>CONTENTS</b>                                  | i    |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>                            | iii  |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>                           | iv   |
| <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>                     | x    |
| <b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>                           | xii  |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>                            | xvi  |
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>                                  | xvii |
| <br>   |      |
| <b>1- INTRODUCTION</b>                           | 1    |
| <br>   |      |
| <b>2- LITERATURE REVIEW</b>                      | 9    |
| 2.1 HVAC system models of conventional buildings | 9    |
| 2.2 HVAC system models of nuclear buildings      | 37   |
| <br>   |      |
| <b>3- SYSTEM DESCRIPTION</b>                     | 49   |
| 3.1 Reactor hall ventilation system              | 49   |
| 3.1.1 Air supply system                          | 52   |
| 3.1.2 Air exhaust system                         | 52   |
| 3.1.3 Air re-circulation system                  | 56   |
| 3.1.4 Air conditioning system                    | 57   |
| 3.2 Hot and chilled water system                 | 59   |
| <br>   |      |
| <b>4- MATHEMATICAL MODELS</b>                    | 61   |
| 4.1 General                                      | 61   |
| 4.2 Model hypotheses                             | 61   |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| 4.3 Model analysis  | 64         |
| 4.3.1 Energy balance  | 65         |
| 4.3.2 Mass balance  | 66         |
| 4.4 Cases analysis  | 67         |
| <b>5- THE EXPERIMENTAL WORK</b>                               | <b>68</b>  |
| 5.1 Equipment   | 68         |
| 5.2 Experiment work procedure                                 | 71         |
| 5.3 Experimental results                                      | 75         |
| 5.4 Error analysis  | 79         |
| <b>6- SIMULATION MODEL</b>                                    | <b>81</b>  |
| 6.1 Introduction  | 81         |
| 6.2 Simulation tools  | 81         |
| 6.3 Simulation procedure                                      | 82         |
| <b>7- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>                              | <b>97</b>  |
| 7.1 Model verification  | 97         |
| 7.2 Cases analysis  | 102        |
| <b>8- CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR<br/>FUTURE WORK</b> | <b>125</b> |
| <b>REFERENCES</b>   | <b>129</b> |
| <b>APPENDIX A</b> Description of MOLLIER program              | 132        |
| <b>APPENDIX B</b> Calibration results of the devices          | 140        |
| <b>APPENDIX C</b> Experimental data registered                | 144        |
| <b>APPENDIX D</b> Simulation results                          | 158        |
| <b>APPENDIX E</b> Environmental data                          | 172        |
| <b>APPENDIX F</b> ETRR-2 operation data                       | 176        |

## LIST OF TABLES

|                     |  | Page |
|---------------------|--|------|
| <b>Table (2.1)</b>  | Comparison of pressures and percentage leaks between predicted and measured values in steady states tests                        | 21   |
| <b>Table (2.2)</b>  | Bias and precision uncertainties for each measurement in the heat pipe field test  | 34   |
| <b>Table (7-1)</b>  | The standard deviation (SD) between the modeling results and experimental measurements   | 102  |
| <b>Table (7.2)</b>  | The best situation of system components during winder and summer modes.  | 110  |
| <b>Table (D-1)</b>  | The main streams considered predicted and set in the analytical model and their comparison with the values measured at the plant | 159  |
| <b>Table (D-3)</b>  | Comparison between predicted values and infield measured values at 2.5 kW of air conditioning heater power                       | 161  |
| <b>Table (D-3)</b>  | Comparison between predicted values and infield measured values at 6, 9, 15, 20, and 24 kW of air conditioning heater power      | 161  |
| <b>Table (D-4)</b>  | Numerical values of principal mass and energy streams at 2.5 kW of air conditioning heater power.                                | 162  |
| <b>Table (D-5)</b>  | Numerical values of principal mass and energy streams at 6 kW of air conditioning heater power.                                  | 163  |
| <b>Table (D-6)</b>  | Numerical values of principal mass and energy streams at 9 kW of air conditioning heater power.                                  | 164  |
| <b>Table (D-7)</b>  | Numerical values of principal mass and energy streams at 15 kW of air conditioning heater power.                                 | 165  |
| <b>Table (D-8)</b>  | Numerical values of principal mass and energy streams at 20 kW of air conditioning heater power.                                 | 166  |
| <b>Table (D-9)</b>  | Numerical values of principal mass and energy streams at 24 kW of air conditioning heater power.                                 | 167  |
| <b>Table (D-10)</b> | The range of value for the independent variable  | 168  |





## LIST OF FIGURES

|                      |   | Page |
|----------------------|---|------|
| <b>Figure (1.1)</b>  | Schematic diagram for the first type of conceptual design   | 2    |
| <b>Figure (1.2)</b>  | Schematic diagram for the second type of conceptual design  | 3    |
| <b>Figure (1.3)</b>  | The schematic diagram of the core cooling system of ETRR-2  | 5    |
| <b>Figure (1.4)</b>  | The schematic diagram of the reactor pool cooling system of ETRR-2.   | 6    |
| <b>Figure (1.5)</b>  | The schematic diagram of the secondary cooling system of ETRR-2.  | 7    |
| <b>Figure (2.1)</b>  | Nodes for thermal model   | 10   |
| <b>Figure (2.2)</b>  | Comparison of measured and predicted attic air temperature  | 10   |
| <b>Figure (2.3)</b>  | Comparison of measured and predicted supply air temperature   | 11   |
| <b>Figure (2.4)</b>  | Floor plan of multifamily house   | 12   |
| <b>Figure (2.5)</b>  | Floor plan of single family house   | 12   |
| <b>Figure (2.6)</b>  | Four kinds of ventilation systems   | 13   |
| <b>Figure (2.7)</b>  | Airflow distribution of an example  | 13   |
| <b>Figure (2.8)</b>  | Average air change rate of each room  | 14   |
| <b>Figure (2.9)</b>  | Layout of the prototypical building   | 15   |
| <b>Figure (2.10)</b> | Layout of exhaust ducts for E and Es systems  | 16   |
| <b>Figure (2.11)</b> | Pressure differences across envelope of apartment 01 for normal and enhanced range hood exhaust                                 | 17   |
| <b>Figure (2.12)</b> | Effect of air device pressure drop on device flow rate in apartment 02 when exhaust in enhanced in apartment 01                 | 17   |
| <b>Figure (2.13)</b> | Effect of closed ventilation slots on air exchange rate for E- ventilation apartments   | 18   |
| <b>Figure (2.14)</b> | Schematic of the large scale climate simulator with the residential attic test module inside module inside the climate chamber  | 19   |
| <b>Figure (2.15)</b> | Location of hot wire anemometer, pitot probes, and thermocouples in the duct system added to the residential attic test module. | 20   |

|                       |  |    |
|-----------------------|--|----|
| <b>Figure (2.16)</b>  | Comparison of ducted air temperature in case 1r (no leak, un-insulated duct, and winter condition)   | 22 |
| <b>Figure (2.17)</b>  | Comparison of ducted air temperature in case 4r (all three, holes open, un-insulated duct, and winter condition)   | 22 |
| <b>Figure (2.18)</b>  | Comparison of ducted air temperature in case 5 (no leak, un-insulated duct, and summer condition)  | 23 |
| <b>Figure (2.19)</b>  | Comparison of ducted air temperature in case 8e (all three, holes open, un-insulated duct, and summer condition)   | 23 |
| <b>Figure (2.20)</b>  | Typical rooms studied: (A) a small office, (B) a large office with partitions, (C) a classroom, (D) a workshop   | 24 |
| <b>Figure (2.21)</b>  | The airflow patterns in the classroom  | 25 |
| <b>Figure (2.22)</b>  | The PD distribution in the classroom   | 25 |
| <b>Figure (2.23)</b>  | The PPD distribution in the classroom  | 26 |
| <b>Figure (2.24)</b>  | System effect on HVAC energy use in a large office in Washington   | 27 |
| <b>Figure (2.25)</b>  | Useful work depleted by VAV system during one hour   | 27 |
| <b>Figure (2.26)</b>  | VAV system performance tracking  | 28 |
| <b>Figure (2.27)</b>  | VAV system operation optimization  | 28 |
| <b>Figure (2.28)</b>  | Prototype apartment building: two story, four apartments. the apartment building has two identical floors with a full basement below the first floor. Each floor and the basement is 2.5m high | 29 |
| <b>Figure (2.29a)</b> | Flow in through envelope with forced air system not operating. All flows in kg/s.  | 30 |
| <b>Figure (2.29b)</b> | Envelope, register, and duct leakage flows, pre-retrofit. all flows in kg/s.   | 30 |
| <b>Figure (2.29c)</b> | Envelope, register, and duct leakage flows, post-retrofit. all flows in kg/s.  | 31 |
| <b>Figure (2.30)</b>  | Schematic of a counter flow air to air heat/energy recovery device.  | 33 |
| <b>Figure (2.31)</b>  | Schematic layout of the heat pipe exchanger and ducting system   | 33 |
| <b>Figure (2.32)</b>  | Bias uncertainty due to non-uniform temperature distributions  | 34 |



|                      |   |    |
|----------------------|---|----|
|                      | for the heat pipe field experiment  |    |
| <b>Figure (2.33)</b> | Average measured temperature during the heat pipe test  | 35 |
| <b>Figure (2.34)</b> | Supply and exhaust airflow rates and uncertainties for the heat pipe test.  | 36 |
| <b>Figure (2.35)</b> | Calculated average effectiveness of the heat pipe heat exchanger and the 95% certainty range                              | 36 |
| <b>Figure (2.36)</b> | Concept of duct distribution patterns for refueling/spent fuel area   | 37 |
| <b>Figure (2.37)</b> | Experimental apparatus  | 39 |
| <b>Figure (2.38)</b> | Velocity distributions of Fundamental model experimental apparatus  | 39 |
| <b>Figure (2.39)</b> | Flow patterns of fundamental model experimental apparatus   | 40 |
| <b>Figure (2.40)</b> | Schematic of conventional HVAC  | 41 |
| <b>Figure (2.41)</b> | Schematic of 1/20 scale conventional HVAC model   | 41 |
| <b>Figure (2.42)</b> | Flow patterns of 1/20 scale conventional HVAC model   | 42 |
| <b>Figure (2.43)</b> | Comparison of measured and analyzed values above the center of the reactor refueling pool of 1/20 scale conventional HVAC | 43 |
| <b>Figure (2.44)</b> | Consideration of new HVAC   | 43 |
| <b>Figure (2.45)</b> | Flow patterns of 1/20 scale new HVAC model  | 44 |
| <b>Figure (2.46)</b> | Comparison of measured values above the center of the reactor refueling pool of 1/20 scale conventional HVAC and new HVAC | 45 |
| <b>Figure (2.47)</b> | Three dimensional thermal hydraulic analysis of conventional HVAC (cross-sectional view 1.5m from the floor)              | 46 |
| <b>Figure (2.48)</b> | Three dimensional thermal hydraulic analysis of new HVAC (cross-sectional view 1.5m from the floor)                       | 47 |
| <b>Figure (3.1)</b>  | Schematic diagram of ETRR-2 reactor hall ventilation and air conditioning systems   | 50 |
| <b>Figure (3.2a)</b> | Duct layout of the reactor hall ventilation and air   | 51 |