

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

 $\infty\infty\infty$

تم رفع هذه الرسالة بواسطة / سامية زكى يوسف

بقسم التوثيق الإلكتروني بمركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات دون أدنى مسئولية عن محتوى هذه الرسالة.

ملاحظات: لا يوجد

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Since 1992

Propries 1992





3D Finite Element Analysis of Piled-Raft Foundation in Deep Clayey soils

By Mohamed Talaat Saber Mahmoud Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Civil Engineering – Public works

3D Finite Element Analysis of Piled-Raft Foundation in Deep Clayey soils

By Mohamed Talaat Saber Mahmoud Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Civil Engineering – Public works

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hussein H. El Mamlouk

Professor of Soil Mechanics and
Foundations
Public Works Engineering Department

Prof. Dr. Asmaa Moddather Hassan

Associate Professor of Geotechnical
Engineering and Foundations
Public Works Engineering Department

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2022

3D Finite Element Analysis of Piled-Raft Foundation in Deep Clayey soils

By Mohamed Talaat Saber Mahmoud Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Civil Engineering – Public works

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Hussein H. El Mamlouk, Thesis Main Advisor

Dr. Asmaa Moddather Hassan, Advisor

Prof. Dr. Hany Abd El-latif Lotfy, Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Abdel-Motaal, External Examiner (Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundations, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2022 Engineer's Name: Mohamed Talaat Saber Mahmoud Mohamed

Date of Birth: 06/11/1991 **Nationality:** Egyptian

E-mail: Eng mohamed6226@yahoo.com

Phone: 01004521873

Address: Mit Ghamr City, Dakahlia Governorate

Registration Date: 01/10/2014
Awarding Date:/.........

Degree: Master of Science

Department: Civil Engineering – Public works

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Hussein H. El Mamlouk Dr. Asmaa Moddather Hassan

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Hussein H. El Mamlouk (Thesis main advisor)

Dr. Asmaa Moddather Hassan

(advisor)

Prof. Dr. Hany Abd El-latif Lotfy

(Internal examiner)

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Abdel-Motaal

(External examiner)

(Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundations, Faculty of

Engineering, Ain Shams University)

Title of Thesis:

3D Finite Element Analysis of Piled-Raft Foundation in Deep Clayey soils

Key Words:

piled-raft foundation; 3D FEM; piles arrangement; clay properties; clayey soil

Summary:

The concept of piled-raft foundation has received considerable attention in recent years. This concept provides an economical foundation alternative when the performance of the raft foundation does not satisfy the design requirements, especially in deep clayey soils. 3D FEM Technique is adopted to evaluate the performance of piled-raft foundation in deep clayey soil. Afterwards, a comprehensive study is conducted to investigate the effect of related parameters including clay properties, raft thickness, pile parameters, and piled-raft configuration. The performance of each component of the piled-raft foundation is assessed.



Disclaimer

I, at this moment, declare that this thesis is my original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute. I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the reference section.

Name: Mohamed Talaat Saber Mahmoud Date: / / 2022

Signature:

Dedication

I would like to extend my deepest gratitude to **my Parents** for their love and encouragement. Words, however, would never be sufficient to express my gratitude to **my Parents** for their continuous sacrifice and fruitful care.

I would like to extend my greatest appreciation for **my wife** for her continued encouragement and sacrifices, great thanks to her.

Acknowledgments

First and Foremost, I want to express my sincere thanks to **ALLAH**. His innumerable blessing through my life, many thanks to **ALLAH** for giving me will to finish this work.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my thesis supervisors, for their guidance and support. I would like to thank my advisor **Prof. Dr. Hussein H. El Mamlouk**, who has guided me from the beginning of this research. Many thanks for his kind supervision, contribution, and helpful suggestions during all stages of this work.

Special thanks also to my thesis supervisor **Dr. Asmaa Moddather Hassan**, for the continuous support in my master's study, for her patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. Her guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

Taple of Contents

Table of Contents	IV
List of Tables	
List of Figures	
Nomenclature	
Abstract	
Chapter 1: Introduction	
1.1 Introduction	
1.2 Scope of Work	
1.3 Methodology	
1.4 Thesis Organization	
Chapter 2: Literature Review	
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Method of Analysis	
2.2.1 Simplified Analysis Method	
2.2.2 Approximate Numerical Analysis Method	9
2.2.3 Numerical Analysis Method	12
2.3 Results of Pervious Studies	17
2.3.1 Effect of Raft Thickness (t _r) on Piled-Raft Foundation System	17
2.3.2 Effect of Pile Spacing (Sp) on Piled-Raft Foundation System.	20
2.3.3 Effect of Pile Length (Lp) on Piled-Raft Foundation System	22
2.3.4 Effect of Pile Diameter (dp) on Piled-Raft Foundation System	25
2.3.5 Effect of Time Dependent Behavior of Piled-Raft Foundation System	28
2.3.6 Effect of Clay Stiffness on Piled-Raft Foundation System.	31
2.3.7 Behavior of Piles in Piled-Raft	33
Chapter 3: Case Study and Model Verification	36
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Messeturm Building	36
3.2.1 Soil Properties for Frankfurt City	36
3.2.2 Construction Stages, Geomertic Modeling, Parameters and Boundary	38
3.2.3 Constitutive Model	39
3.2.3 Results and Output Verification	40
3.3 Torhaus Building	
3.3.1 Results and Output Verification	

3.4 Westend 1 Building	49
3.4.1 Results and Output Verification	49
Chapter 4: Model Development and Validation	53
4.1 Introduction	53
4.2 Scope of Work	53
4.3 Proposed Raft Configuration	53
4.4 PLAXIS 3D vs. SAFE	54
4.5 Effect of Model Boundary	58
4.5.1 Unpiled-Raft Case	58
4.5.2 Piled-Raft Case	60
4.6 Proposed Parametric Study Plan	64
4.6.1 Proposed Piled-Raft configuration	64
4.6.2 Parametric Study Plan	66
Chapter 5: Results and Analyses	
5.1 Introduction	70
5.2 Typical Results for Reference Case	70
5.2.1 Unpiled-Raft Case	70
5.2.2 Piled-Raft Case	72
5.3 The Construction Period Effect	81
5.3.1 Unpiled-Raft Case	81
5.3.2 Piled-Raft Case	86
5.4 Effect of Permeability	91
5.4.1 Unpiled-Raft Case	91
5.4.2 Piled-Raft Case	94
5.5 Effect of Raft Thickness (t _r)	96
5.6 Effect of Piled Group to Raft Width Ratio (Bg/Br)	103
5.7 Effect of Pile Spacing (S _p)	112
5.8 Effect of Pile Length (L _p)	118
5.9 Effect of Pile Diameter (d _p)	124
5.10 Effect of Number of pile (N _p)	130
5.11 Effect of Clay Stiffness	136
5.12 Behavior of Piles in Piled-raft	139
5.12.1 Pile Spacing Effect (S _p)	139
5.12.2 Pile Length Effect (L _p)	147
5.12.3 Piled Group to Raft Width Ratio Effect (Bg/Br)	154

Chapter 6: Summary, Conclusion and Recommendation	161
6.1 Introduction	161
6.2 Summary	161
6.3 Conclusions	161
6.4 Recommendations for Future Study	166
References	167
Appendix (A)	A

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Properties of Frankfurt Clay	1
Table 3.2: Values of Elastic Modulus Used by Small and Liu (2007) for Frankfurt Clay 37	,
Table 3.3: Number of Elements, Nodes and Value of Settlement	
Table 3.4: Evaluation of Raft Thickness and Number of Piles on Performance of Piled-Raft52	
Table 4.1: Soil Properties Used in the Parametric Study	,
Table 4.2: Piled-raft Properties Used in the Parametric Study	,
Table 4.3: Geometric Configuration of Piled-Raft Model for Parametric Study	,
Table 6.1: Summary of the Effect of Different Parameters on the Performance of the Raft of Piled-Raft Foundation System	
Table 6.2: Summary of the Effect of Different Parameters on the Performance of the Piles	
of Piled-Raft Foundation System	,

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Interaction in Piled-Raft Foundation (Katzenbach et al. 2000)3
Figure 2.2: Load Settlement Curve in Piled-Raft According to Design Approaches,
Randolph (1994) and Impe (2001)4
Figure 2.3: Analysis Consideration Based on Davis and Poulos (1972)5
Figure 2.4: General Arrangement (Butterfield and Banerjee 1971)
Figure 2.5: Representation of Piled Strip Problem by GASP Analysis (Poulos, 1994)9
Figure 2.6: Numerical Representation of Piled-Raft (Clancy and Randolph, 1993)10
Figure 2.7: Basic Features of the Model for Piled-Raft (Russo, 1998)
Figure 2.8: Plate-Beam-Spring Modeling of Piled-Raft Foundation (Kitiyodem, 2002)12
Figure 2.9: Settlement Calculated by (Kuwabara, 1989) and (Butterfield and
Banerjee, 1971)
Figure 2.10: Load Sharing Calculated by (Kuwabara, 1989) and (Butterfield and
Banerjee, 1971)
Figure 2.11: Load Sharing Calculated by (Kuwabara, 1989) and (NAPRA Russo, 1998) 14
Figure 2.12: Effect of Raft Thickness (t _r) on Foundation Performance. Raft with 9 Piles,
10 m Length, Load = 12MN (Poulos, 2001)
Figure 2.13: Effect of Raft Thickness (t _r) on Differential Settlement
(Prakoso and Kulhawy, 2001)
Figure 2.14: Effect of Pile Spacing (S _p /d _p) on Avg. Settlement Ratio (R _{avg})
(Mali and Singh, 2018)20
Figure 2.15: Effect of Pile Spacing (S _p /d _p) on Diff. Settlement Ratio (R _{diff})
(Mali and Singh, 2018)21
Figure 2.16: Effect of Pile Spacing (Sp/dp) on Load Sharing Ratio (GPR)
(Mali and Singh, 2018)21
Figure 2.17: Effect of Pile Spacing (S_p/d_p) on Bending Moment Ratio (R_{BM})
(Mali and Singh, 2018)22
Figure 2.18: Effect of Pile Spacing (S _p /d _p) on Shear Force Ratio (R _{SF})
(Mali and Singh, 2018)22
Figure 2.19: Effect of Pile Length (L _p /d _p) on Avg. Settlement Ratio (R _{avg})
(Mali and Singh, 2018)
Figure 2.20: Effect of Pile Length (L_p/d_p) on Diff. Settlement Ratio (R_{diff})
(Mali and Singh, 2018)
Figure 2.21: Effect of Pile Length (L _p /d _p) on Load Sharing Ratio (G _{PR})
(Mali and Singh, 2018)
Figure 2.22: Effect of Pile Length (L _p /d _p) on Bending Moment Ratio (R _{BM}) (Mali and Singh, 2018)24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Figure 2.23: Effect of Pile Length (L _p /d _p) on Shear Force Ratio (R _{SF}) (Mali and Singh, 2018)25
Figure 2.24: Effect of Pile Diameter (dp) on Ave. Settlement Ratio (Ravg)
(Mali and Singh, 2018)25
Figure 2.25: Effect of Pile Diameter (d _p) on Differential Settlement Ratio (R _{diff})
(Mali and Singh, 2018)

Figure 2.26: Effect of Pile Diameter (dp) on Load Sharing Ratio (Gp (Mali and Singh, 2018)	
Figure 2.27: Effect of Pile Diameter (dp) on Bending Moment Ratio (RBI	м)
(Mali and Singh, 2018)	sF)
Figure 2.29: Fattah, et.al (2013) Piled-Raft Configurations	
Figure 2.30: Variation of Pore Water Pressure Beneath Unpiled Raft of (15×15) m Siz (Fattah, et.al 2013)	ze,
Figure 2.31: Variation of Pore Water Pressure Beneath Unpiled Raft of (6×10) m Siz (Fattah, et.al 2013)	
Figure 2.32: Variation of Pore Water Pressure Beneath Piled-Raft of (2×1) Grou (Raft Size 6×10), (Fattah, et.al 2013)	•
Figure 2.33: Variation of Pore Water Pressure Beneath Piled-Raft of (3×3) Grou (Raft Size 15×15), (Fattah, et.al 2013)	•
Figure 2.34: Effect of Loading Types on Load-Avg Settlement Curves for Soft Cla (a) 3×3, S=3d, (b) 3×3, S=9d, (Cho, et.al 2012)	•
Figure 2.35: Effect of Loading Types on Load-Avg Settlement Curves for Stiff Cla (a) 3×3, S=3d, (b) 3×3, S=9d, (Cho, et.al 2012)	•
Figure 2.36: Effect of Loading Types on Load-Differential Settlement Curves for Soft Cla (a) 3×3, S=3d, (b) 3×3, S=9d, (Cho, et.al 2012)	•
Figure 2.37: Effect of Loading Types on Load-Differential Settlement Curves for Sti Clay (a) 3×3, S=3d, (b) 3×3, S=9d, (Cho, et.al 2012)	
Figure 2.38: Variation of Vertical Settlement Along the Depth of Pil (Mali and Singh, 2018)	
Figure 2.39: Variation of Lateral Displacement Along the Depth of Pile (Mali and Singh, 2018)	
Figure 2.40: Variation of Axial Force Along the Depth of Piles, (Mali and Singh, 2018)	35
Figure 2.41: Variation of Bending Moment Along the Depth of Pil (Mali and Singh, 2018)	
Figure 2.42: Variation Shear Force Along the Depth of Piles (Mali and Singh, 2018)	35
Figure 3.1: Messturm Piled-Raft Details, (Sales, et al. 2010)	36
Figure 3.2: 3D Model Geometry for the Soil Layers Under the Messeturm building	38
Figure 3.3: Hyperbolic Stress-Strain Relation for HSM, (Abdel-Azim, et al. 2020)	39
Figure 3.4: Compared Mesh Type for 3D Model Geometry (a) Coarse Mesh, (b) Mediu Mesh, (C) Fine Mesh, and (d) Very Fine Mesh	
Figure 3.5: Compared Settlement Between Mesh Types With Measured Settlement	42
Figure 3.6: Compared Settlement With Time Between Field Measuremer Sales et al. (2010) and Current Study	
Figure 3.7: Compared Settlement With Time for Unpiled-Raft Between FE (Reul and Randolph (2003)) and Current Study	
Figure 3.8: Compared Settlement With Time Between Piled-Raft Case and Assume Unpiled-Raft Case	ed

Figure 3.9: Compared Raft-Pile Load Share With Time Between Field Measurement and Current Study
Figure 3.10: Excess Pore Water Pressure With Normalized Depth at Raft Center
Figure 3.11: Excess Pore Water Pressure With Normalized Depth at Raft Edge
Figure 3.12: Torhaus Piled-Raft Details (Reul and Randolf, 2003)
Figure 3.13: Torhaus Piled-Raft Settlement
Figure 3.14: Compared Settlement With Time Between Field Measurement, Reul and
Randolph (2003), Small and Liu (2007) and Current Study
Figure 3.15: Compared Settlement With Time for Unpiled-Raft Between FEM (Reul and Randolph (2003)) and Current Study
Figure 3.16: Compared Settlement With Time Between Piled-Raft Case and Assumed Unpiled-Raft Case
Figure 3.17: Compared Raft-Pile Load Share With Time Between Field Measurement and Current Study
Figure 3.18: Westend 1 Piled-Raft details (Reul and Randolf, 2003)50
Figure 3.19: Westend 1 Piled-Raft Settlement
Figure 3.20: Compared Settlement With Time Between Field Measurement, Reul and Randolph (2003) and Current Study
Figure 3.21: Compared Settlement With Time for Unpiled-Raft Between FEM (Reul and Randolph 2003) and Current Study
Figure 3.22: Compared Settlement With Time Between Piled-Raft Case and Assumed Unpiled-Raft Case
Figure 3.23: Compared Raft-Pile Load Share With Time Between Field Measurement and Current Study
Figure 4.1: Raft Geometrical Configuration and Column Loads
Figure 4.2: Induced Vertical Stresses and Vertical Displacement From PLAXIS55
Figure 4.3: Induced Vertical Displacement of the Raft from PLAXIS and SAFE55
Figure 4.4: Straining Action M11 and Q13 a) M11-PLAXIS, b) M11-SAFE,
c) Q13-PLAXIS and d) Q13-SAFE
Figure 4.5: Straining Action M11 Across Section (A-A)
Figure 4.6: Straining Action Q13 Across Section (B-B)
Figure 4.7: Model Configuration for Investigating the Effect of the Boundary Conditions58 Figure 4.8: Effect of Changing the Model Boundary on the Settlement (Unpiled-Raft, Stiff Clay)
Figure 4.9: Effect of Changing the Model Boundary on the Bending Moment (Unpiled-Raft, Stiff Clay)
Figure 4.10: Effect of Changing the Model Boundary on the Shear Force (Unpiled-Raft, Stiff Clay)
Figure 4.11: Model Configuration for Investigating the Effect of the Boundary Conditions
Figure 4.12: Effect of Changing the Model Boundary on the Settlement (Piled-Raft, Stiff Clay)60