

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرونيله



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY

Assessment of tensile bond strength of two ceramic restoration materials comparing two immediate dentin sealing protocols versus delayed dentin sealing -An in vitro study-

A Thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of requirements of the Master's degree of science in fixed prosthodontics, crown and bridge department Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University.

By Rami Mohamed Nabil Mohamed Rafat

B.D.S Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University (2015)

Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University 2021

Supervisors

Dr. Maged Mohamed Zohdy

Associate Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics,
Fixed Prosthodontics Department
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Doaa Taha Sayed Taha

Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics,
Fixed Prosthodontics Department
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University 2021

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to ALLAH, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Dr. Maged Mohamed Zohdy**, Associate Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to Dr. Doaa Taha Sayed Taha, Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics, Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

Rami Mohamed

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	
List of Figures	ii
List of Abbreviations	iv
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	4
Statement of Problem	34
Aim of the Study	35
Materials and Methods	36
Results	70
Discussion	79
SUMMARY	89
Conclusion	91
References	92
Arabic Summary	—

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	Materials used in the study	36
Table (2):	Chemical Composition of IPS e.max CAD blowship weight (wt. %)	
Table (3):	Physical properties of IPS e.max CAD block	38
Table (4):	Physical and mechanical properties of vita ena	mic 39
Table (5):	Chemical composition	40
Table (6):	Experimental factorial design	47
Table (7):	Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of tensile strength (MPa) for different materials and se protocols.	ealing
Table (8):	Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of tensile strength (MPa) for different sealing protoco Emax	ls for
Table (9):	Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of tensile strength (MPa) for different surface treatment IDS samples for Emax	nts in
Table (10):	Frequencies (n) and Percentages (%) of mo failure in subgroups for Emax	
Table (11):	Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of tensile strength (MPa) for different sealing protoco Vita Enamic	ls for
Table (12):	Mean ± standard deviation (SD) of tensile strength (MPa) for different surface treatment IDS samples for Vita Enamic	nts in
Table (13):	Frequencies (n) and Percentages (%) of mo failure in subgroups for Vita Enamic	de of

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title Page	No.
Figure (1):	IPS e.max CAD block	37
Figure (2):	Vita enamic CAD block	
Figure (3):	Single bond universal adhesive 3M ESPE	
Figure (4):	Adhesive resin cement RelyXUnicem Clicker temporary filling material.	
Figure (5):	Orafil-G	41
Figure (6):	Co-jet Air abrasion	41
Figure (7):	Pumice powder	42
Figure (8):	SILAN-IT silane coupling agent	42
Figure (9):	CERAM-ETCH 9% hydrofluoric acid gel	42
Figure (10):	Surveyor	44
Figure (11):	Mounted molar in acrylic resin mold	44
Figure (12):	Low speed diamond saw	45
Figure (13):	Exposing occlusal dentin	46
Figure (14):	a) exposed dentin, b) occlusal view	46
Figure (15):	Schematic presentation of the study	48
Figure (16):	Temporization of DDS group	49
Figure (17):	Sealing dentin with adhesive	51
Figure (18):	Temporization of IDS group	51
Figure (19):	Milling machine forming ceramic cylinder	52
Figure (20):	6mm Ceramic cylinders: a) Vita enamic, b) e-max cylinders	53
Figure (21):	Low speed diamond saw cutting 3mm ceramic discs: a) vita enamic, b) emax	53
Figure (22):	Caliper confirming the discs measurements	54
Figure (23):	Crystallization cycle of e.max in programat p 310	55
Figure (24):	emax discs inside the furnace	56
Figure (25):	Crystallized emax discs	56
Figure (26):	e-max finishing and polishing kit	57
Figure (27) :	e-max glaze	57
Figure (28):	Vita enamic polishing set	57

Figure (29):	Airborne-particle abrasion (CoJet, 3M ESPE)	58
Figure (30):	Steps of application of pumice powder	58
Figure (31):	a. Ceramic etching, b. ceramic silanization	59
Figure (32):	Pre curing of the adhesive layer	60
Figure (33):	Ceramic disc cementation	61
Figure (34):	Thermal cycling simulation process	62
Figure (35):	Custom made stainless steel mold	63
Figure (36):	Universal testing machine tensile test	64
Figure (37):	Diagrammatic illustration of specimen-mold complex	65
Figure (38):	Diagrammatic illustration of specimen-mold complex mounted on the universal testing machine. D: lower fixture / E: upper fixture/ F: Tensile force	66
Figure (39):	Diagrammatic illustration of failure modes	67
Figure (40):	Adhesive failure between dentin and resin cement, a) bare dentin, b) Ceramic disc and resin layer	68
Figure (41):	Mixed failure	68
Figure (42):	Adhesive failure between ceramic and resin cement	68
Figure (43):	Bar chart showing average tensile bond strength (MPa) for different materials and sealing protocols	70
Figure (44):	Bar chart showing average tensile bond strength (MPa) for different sealing protocols for Emax	72
Figure (45):	Bar chart showing average tensile bond strength (MPa) for different surface treatments in immediate sealing samples for Emax	73
Figure (46):	Stacked bar chart showing percentage of mode of failure in different sealing protocols for Emax	74
Figure (47):	Bar chart showing average tensile bond strength (MPa) for different sealing protocols for Vita Enamic	76
Figure (48):	Bar chart showing average tensile bond strength (MPa) for different surface treatments in immediate sealing samples for Vita Enamic	77
Figure (49):		

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
10-MDP	10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate
	4-methacryloxyethyl trimellitate anhydrate
CAD/CAM	Computer aided manufacturing/ computer aided design
DBA	Dentin bonding agent
DDS	Delayed dentin sealing
FPD	Fixed-partial-dentures
HF	. Hydrofluoric
IDS	Immediate dentin sealing
IRC	Indirect resin composites
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
MOD	Mesio-occlusodistal
MRS	Micro-Raman Spectroscopy
PICN	Polymer-infiltrated-ceramic-network material
UV	Ultraviolet

INTRODUCTION

The use of resin luting cements has increased in recent years. Resin cements provide better retention, esthetics, and greater resistance to dissolution over conventional cements. They are essential for the cementation of indirect adhesive restorations such as inlays, onlays, veneers and all-ceramic crowns in providing strength to the bonded assembly. Resin luting cements are, however, technique sensitive and their use demands a careful implementation of a series of steps including the application of enamel and dentin adhesives.¹

Cementation is a process dependent on several factors, such as the type of substrate, type of adhesive substance(s), humidity of the environment, and operator's ability in performing the bonding procedure. Regarding the dental substrates, adhesive procedures are usually performed to achieve bond to dental enamel and dentin. Since the advent of adhesive dentistry, the composition of the materials and the clinical methods used for adhesion has changed.²

Most prosthodontics restorations require a provisionalization phase. A considerable decrease in bond strength after cementation has been identified with eugenol-free formulations. This has been related to the obliteration of dentinal tubules with provisional material residues which avoid resin tag formation. Therefore, elimination of provisional luting agent (PLA) from the tooth surface is crucial. There have been different attempts to accomplish complete removal of PLA. Residual PLA was evident on dentin surfaces after cleaning with pumice and water.³

Introduction

Applying dental adhesive before impression making, called immediate dentin sealing (IDS) technique. It provides adhesion to a freshly cut dentin, which is suggested to be ideal for bonding. When the adhesive is applied only at the moment of definitive cementation, the approach is known as delayed dentin sealing (DDS)⁴.

The primary advantage of immediate dentin sealing technique is to protect the tooth from the consequences of micro leakage by sealing the dentinal tubules that are vulnerable to bacterial invasion immediately after completion of the preparation. Sealing of the dentinal tubules also reduces sensitivity by preventing hydraulic fluid flow within the dentinal tubules, which is responsible for post-operative sensitivity, thus improving patient comfort. It has been shown that cements can penetrate the dentinal tubules before the final setting and microorganisms and their by-products can also penetrate into the patent dental tubules post- operatively⁴.

Therefore, the early sealing of dentinal tubules also may prevent collapsing of collagen fibrils and occlusion of dentinal tubules by provisional luting agent remnants. Moreover, by using adhesive containing fillers in IDS, more stable and homogeneous dentin-resin hybrid layer was acquired⁴.

Resin luting agent should provide bond strengths need to be sufficient to resist stress generated by its polymerization shrinkage. Bond strengths also depend on the adhesive capacity to various dental substrates. Adhesive capacity is normally evaluated *in vitro* by shear and tensile tests.⁵

Different variables have been studied to evaluate their influence on the outcome of this step including different ceramic materials, various

Introduction

ways of sealed dentin refreshment, type of bonding agent, dentin depth, interaction with provisional restoration, type of impression material and many other variables 6

Different conditioning methods were found to influence the bond strength of ceramic restorations regardless of the sealing method used.

This study was performed to evaluate the interfacial quality of the indirect ceramic restorations and dentin surface sealed with different dentin sealing protocols.

Review of Literature

1. IDS and DDS:

Successful adhesion to enamel has been achieved with relative ease. On the contrary, the development of predictable bonding to dentin has been more problematic. Only in the past decade have dentin bonding agents produced results that approach those of enamel bonding and have achieved a predictable level of clinical success with direct resin composite restorations⁷.

As earlier bonding agents achieved lower bond strengths when applied to dentin compared to enamel, the presence of dentin in a significant percentage of the luting interface was one of the main issues that made dentists skeptical about placement of indirect bonded restorations in the posterior region. The dentin-adhesive resin interface of indirect restorations has also been thoroughly investigated, and different luting procedures have been proposed.⁸

Management of the dental tissues between the preparation and provisionalization phase of restorative treatment plays a pivotal role in the success of indirect bonded restorations. In the development of these restorations, the exposed vital dentin immediately after tooth preparation is susceptible to insult from bacterial infiltration and micro-leakage during the provisionalization phase. Bacterial and fluid penetration through the exposed dentinal tubules can result in colonization of microorganisms, post-operative sensitivity, and the potential for subsequent irritation of the pulp.⁹