



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



**HANAA ALY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



**HANAA ALY**



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية  
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

# جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

## قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغييرات



## يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



**HANAA ALY**



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

**Evaluation of Response Modification Factor for R.C. Elevated Water Tanks  
Subjected to Seismic Loads**

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
**Master of Science** in structural engineering

By

**Mohamed Ehab Mohamed El-Far**

B.Sc., 2017

**Supervised By**

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour El-Den Saad Fayed**

Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics  
Structural Engineering Department  
Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Gamal Hussein Mahmoud**

Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics  
Structural Engineering Department  
Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Nasr Eid Nasr**

Associate professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics  
Structural Engineering Department  
Ain Shams University

**2021**



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

**Evaluation of Response Modification Factor for R.C. Elevated Water Tanks Subjected to Seismic Loads**

Degree of Master of Science in structural engineering

(Structural Department)

By

**MOHAMED EHAB MOHAMED EL-FAR**

B.Sc., 2017

**Examiners' Committee**

<b>Name and Affiliation</b>	<b>Signature</b>
<b>Prof. Dr. Eman Anwer El-Shamy</b> Professor and Head of Structural Engineering Department, Zagazig university	.....
<b>Prof. Dr. Mohamed Hussein Attaby</b> Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics, Structural Engineering Department, Ain shams university	.....
<b>Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour El-Den Saad Fayed</b> Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics, Structural Engineering Department, Ain shams university	.....
<b>Prof. Dr. Gamal Hussein Mahmoud</b> Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics, Structural Engineering Department, Ain shams university	.....

**Date: December 2021**





AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Department of Structural Department

Name: MOHAMED EHAB MOHAMED EL-FAR

Thesis: Evaluation of Response Modification Factor for R.C. Elevated Water Tanks Subjected to Seismic Loads Tanks

Degree: Master of Science in Civil engineering (Structural)

**Supervisors Committee**

**Name and Affiliation**

**Signature**

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour El-Den Saad Fayed**

Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics, Structural Engineering Department, Ain shams university

.....

**Prof. Dr. Gamal Hussein Mahmoud**

Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics, Structural Engineering Department, Ain shams university

.....

**Dr. Nasr Eid Nasr**

Assistant Professor of Structural Analysis and Mechanics, Structural Engineering Department, Ain shams university

.....

**Postgraduate studies**

Authorization stamp: The thesis is authorized at ...../...../.....

College Board approval

University Board approval

...../...../.....

...../...../.....

# STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering “Ain Shams University”.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a requirement at any other scientific entity.

## **Student Name**

Mohamed Ehab Mohamed El-Far

Signature

.....

Date: December 2021

# Researcher Data

Name: Mohamed Ehab El-Far

Date of birth: 2-2-1995

Place of birth: El Nozha - Cairo

Last academic degree: Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering

Field of specialization: Management Engineering

University issued the degree: Ain Shams university

Date of issued degree: 2017

Current job:

Civil Engineer at The Arab Contractors (Osman Ahmed Osman & Co.)



# Acknowledgment

I want to thank my beloved family, my father, Head of the engineering authority of the armed forces, General Ehab El. Far, my mother Supervisor teacher Abeer El-Far, my sister Nourhan and malak my brother amr; without your support, I wouldn't be able to do it. My wife, Eng. Yasmin, who gave me all love, time, support, care, confidence, and my little daughter...Lein El-Far, my supportive Father in law, Yasser Sayed, thank you is not enough, and all thanks to my mother; I honestly love you. Eman Mohamed.

my supervisors: Prof. Dr. Gamal Hussein and Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour el-dein Fayed and Prof Dr. Nasr Eid Nasr. They carefully have reviewed my thesis and contributed much to its improvement. Additionally, I would like to thank General prof. Dr. Ehab Mohamed Ebad, Head of the Armed Forces Licensing Unit for helping me with My Work and Giving support to me. and engineer hossam el-dein el refy Board Member of Arab Contractors Company for supporting me and giving me a lot of time to end my research.

# Table of Content

Evaluation of Response Modification Factor for R.C. Elevated Water Tanks Subjected to Seismic Loads .....	i
Supervisors Committee.....	iii
STATEMENT.....	iv
Researcher Data .....	v
Acknowledgment .....	vi
Table of Content .....	vii
List of figures.....	x
List of tables.....	xxiv
List of Abbreviations .....	xxvi
List of Symbols .....	xxvii
Abstract .....	xxviii
Chapter 1 : Introduction .....	0
1.1 Motivation.....	1
1.2 Research objectives.....	1
1.3 Framework of thesis.....	2
Chapter 2: literature Review .....	4
2.1 literature Review .....	5
2.2 Summary .....	40
Chapter 3: Response Modification Factor Definition, Method of Calculation, Analysis Method and Current Code Values.....	41
3.1 Introduction.....	42
3.2 Definition of Response modification factor (R) and its components.....	42
3.2.1 Ductility Factor ( $R\mu$ ) .....	45
3.2.2 Over strength factor ( $\Omega$ ).....	45
3.2.3 Redundancy Factor .....	47
3.2.4 Damping Factor ( $R\xi$ ) .....	48
3.3 Mathematical Models for (R) Factor Calculations .....	48
3.4 Nonlinear Analysis Methods for the calculation of Response Modification Factor .....	59
3.4.1 Nonlinear Static Analysis (Pushover analysis) .....	59
3.4.2 Incremental Dynamic Analysis (Nonlinear Time Historey Analysis) .....	60
3.5 Response reduction factor in different codes.....	62

Chapter 4: Finite Element Modelling and Verification Examples for Pushover Analysis of Elevated Tanks .....	65
4.1. Introduction.....	66
4.2. Non-Linear Modelling of Elevated R.C. Tanks.....	66
4.2.1 Performance Based Design Method.....	66
4.2.2 Three-Dimensional Beam and Columns Modelling .....	72
4.2.3 NONLINEAR STATIC PUSHOVER ANALYSIS .....	72
4.3. Comparative Example (1) Elevated R.C. Tanks with Vertical Columns Alignment.....	73
4.3.1. Studied Tank Details.....	73
4.3.2. Model Description and Mechanical Properties and Methodology.....	75
4.3.3. Results and Discussion .....	76
4.4. Comparative Example (2) Elevated R.C. Tanks with Inclined Columns Alignment. ....	78
4.4.1. Studied Tank Details.....	78
4.4.2. Model Description and Mechanical Properties and Methodology.....	80
4.4.3. Results and Discussion .....	81
4.5. Conclusions.....	83
Chapter 5: Numerical Study of (R) Factor for Elevated R.C. Water Tanks .....	84
5.1. Introduction.....	85
5.2. Tanks Models and Geometrical Description.....	85
5.3. Method of Analysis.....	90
5.3.1 Latera Loads .....	90
5.3.2 Nonlinear static gravity loads .....	90
5.3.3 Nonlinear static Pushover loads.....	90
5.3.4 Definition of Plastic Hinges.....	90
5.4. Studied Models Description.....	91
5.5. Method of Calculation (R) Factor.....	92
5.6. ANALYSIS RESULTS .....	94
5.6.1. The effect of Tank height (m).....	104
5.6.2. The effect of Tank Capacity .....	122
5.6.3. The effect of Seismic Zone .....	140
5.6.4. The effect of Spectrum Type .....	158
5.6.5. The effect of shape of tank columns .....	185
5.6.7. factors affecting period time for spectrum type (1) .....	218
5.7. Conclusion .....	227
Chapter 6: Conclusion, Discussion and Recommendation .....	228

6-1 Introduction .....	229
6-2 Conclusions and Discussion .....	229
6-3 Recommendations for Future Research.....	231
References.....	232

# List of figures

Figure 3-1 Force displacement response of elastic and inelastic systems ( <b>Lee, Cho and Ko 2005</b> ).	43
Figure 3-2 Response reduction factor concept ( <b>Kruti Tamboli, J. A. Amin2015</b> ).	44
Figure 3-3 Force Displacement relationship for overstrength	47
Figure 3-4 Acceleration elastic and inelastic response of ground ( <b>Stefano Caprinuzzi, Matjaž Dolšek 2021</b> )	48
Figure 3-5 Ductility reduction factor Proposed by ( <b>Newmark and Hall 1973,1982</b> )	49
The design force is expressed by ( $P_d$ ), while the elastic yield force is expressed by ( $P_e$ ). The ductility factor is ( $\mu$ ), and the time period is ( $T$ ). Equal acceleration is required in the first region, equal energy is required in the second zone, and equal displacement is needed in the third region. The relationship between the ductility factor and the time period shown in Figure 3-6	49
Figure 3-7 $R\mu - \mu - T$ Relationship ( <b>Newmark and Hall 1973,1982</b> ).	50
Figure 3-8 Ductility reduction factor Proposed by ( <b>Lai &amp; Biggs 1980</b> ).	51
Figure 3-9 Alternative Definition for Yield Displacement ( <b>Park, 1989</b> )	52
Figure 3-10 Alternative Definition for Maximum Available (Ultimate) Displacement ( <b>Park, 1989</b> ).	53
Figure 3-11 Ductility reduction factor Proposed by ( <b>Riddell, R., et al. 1989</b> ).	54
Figure 3-12 Ductility reduction factor Proposed by ( <b>Krawinkler and Nassar</b> ).	55
Figure 3-13 Ductility reduction factor Proposed by ( <b>Miranda and Bertero</b> ).	56
Figure 3-14 force displacement tri-linear curve for ( <b>Borzi and Elnashai</b> )	59
Figure 4-1 The typical load–deformation relationship, as well as the desired performance levels ( <b>FEMMA 440</b> ).	67
Figure 4-2 A typical flexural hinge property, showing IO (immediate occupancy), LS (life safety) and CP (collapse prevention) ( <b>Arum, Kiran Kamath 2019</b> ).	68
Figure 4-3 Configuration of 140 m <sup>3</sup> R.C. Elevated Tank ( <b>Kashyap P and Amin J A 2018</b> )	74
Figure 4-4 Configuration of 140 m <sup>3</sup> tank with height 18m	76
Figure 4-5 Push over curve for this comparative case study obtained by application of SAP 2000 shows displacement of the tank and base shear.	76
Figure 4-6 Comparison between push over curves for the reference ( <b>Kashyap P and Amin J A 2018</b> ) and obtained curve by SAP2000 application.	77
Figure 4-7 Studied model ultimate and yield deformation and loads from push over curve	77
Figure 4-8 Configuration of 390 m <sup>3</sup> R.C. Elevated Tank ( <b>Mohamed Mounir et al 2021</b> )	79
Figure 4-9 Configuration of 390 m <sup>3</sup> tank with height 20 m	81
Figure 4-10 Push over curve for this comparative case study (Example 2) obtained by application of SAP 2000 shows displacement of the tank and base shear.	82
Figure 4-11 Comparison between push over curves for the reference ( <b>Mohamed Mounir et al 2021</b> ) and obtained curve by SAP2000 application.	82
Figure 4-12 Studied model ultimate and yield deformation and loads from push over curve	83

Figure 5-1 Configuration of Vertical shape Tank (V) .....	86
Figure 5-2 Configuration of inclined shape Tank (I).....	87
Figure 5-3 Horizontal Plan of Rectangular Tank.....	88
Figure 5-4 Constitutive Law for Concrete and steel ( <b>Sap 2000</b> ).....	89
Figure 5-5 Elastic and inelastic systems' force displacement responses ( <b>WONG, K K 2005</b> ). ..	93
Figure 5-6 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 3 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 50.....	104
Figure 5-7 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 3 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 100.....	104
Figure 5-8 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 3 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 150.....	105
Figure 5-9 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 3 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 50.....	105
Figure 5-10 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 3 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 100.....	106
Figure 5-11 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 3 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 150.....	106
Figure 5-12 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 4 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 50.....	107
Figure 5-13 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 4 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 100.....	107
Figure 5-14 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 4 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 150.....	108
Figure 5-15 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 4 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 50.....	108
Figure 5-16 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 4 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 100.....	109
Figure 5-17 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 4 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 150.....	109
Figure 5-18 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 5 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 50.....	110
Figure 5-19 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 5 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 100.....	110
Figure 5-20 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 5 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 150.....	111
Figure 5-21 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 5 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 50.....	111
Figure 5-22 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 5 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 100.....	112
Figure 5-23 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 1 - Zone 5 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 150.....	112

Figure 5-24 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 3 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 50.....	113
Figure 5-25 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 3 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 100.....	113
Figure 5-26 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 3 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 150.....	114
Figure 5-27 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 3 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 50.....	114
Figure 5-28 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 3 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 100.....	115
Figure 5-29 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 3 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 150.....	115
Figure 5-30 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 4 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 50.....	116
Figure 5-31 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 4 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 100.....	116
Figure 5-32 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 4 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 150.....	117
Figure 5-33 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 4 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 50.....	117
Figure 5-34 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 4 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 100.....	118
Figure 5-35 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 4 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 150.....	118
Figure 5-36 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 5 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 50.....	119
Figure 5-37 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 5 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 100.....	119
Figure 5-38 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 5 - Inclined Shape - Capacity 150.....	120
Figure 5-39 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 5 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 50.....	120
Figure 5-40 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 5 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 100.....	121
Figure 5-41 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type 2 - Zone 5 - Vertical Shape - Capacity 150.....	121
Figure 5-42 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type1 - Inclined shape – Zone 3 - height (m)10.....	122
Figure 5-43 The Relation between base shear (ton) and displacement (m) Spectrum type1 - Inclined shape – Zone 3 - height (m)15.....	122