



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Electrical Power & Machines Dept.

RELIABILITY EVALUATION OF DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATIONS USING ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

M.Sc. Thesis

By

Eng. Ahmed Hossam Abdel Hai Gad
B.Sc., Ain Shams University, 2007

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the M.Sc.
degree in electrical engineering

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. A. R. Abul'Wafa

Electrical Power and Machines Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. M. A. Mostafa

Electrical Power and Machines Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Cairo, 2009

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Name: Ahmed Hossam Abdel Hai Gad
Thesis title: Reliability Evaluation Of Distribution Substations Using Analytical Techniques
Degree: Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the M.Sc. degree in electrical engineering

Name, title and affiliation

Signature

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Salah El-Sobky

Electrical Power and Machines Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Ibrahim El-Desoky Helal

Electrical Power and Machines Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Rizk Abul'Wafa

Electrical Power and Machines Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdel Hamid Mostafa

Electrical Power and Machines Department,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

STATEMENT

This Thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University in partial fulfillment of the requirements of M.Sc. degree in Electrical Engineering.

The included work in this thesis has been carried out by the author at the department of electrical power and machines, Ain Shams University. No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institution.

Name: Ahmed Hossam Abdel Hai Gad

Signature:

Date: 5/12/2009

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research work would not have been possible without the great support from my daily supervisor for two years, *Prof. Dr. Ahmed R. Abul'Wafa*. He gave me a lot of time and effort. His perpetual energy and enthusiasm in research had motivated me a lot.

I would like also to thank my godfather, *Prof. Dr. Mahmoud A. Mostafa*, who gave many valuable suggestions and constructive advice.

I cannot end without thanking *my parents*, on their constant encouragement and love, not only in last two years, but for all my 24 years.

Ahmed H. Gad
Cairo, 2009

ABSTRACT

The focus of this research work is on the reliability evaluation of distribution substations using an analytical approach. Basic load point reliability indices are to be evaluated at various load points in a substation taking into account both passive and active failures, malfunction of normally closed circuit breakers, and scheduled maintenance. Then, additional system reliability indices for the whole substation are to be evaluated, which can be used in comparing substation designs based on their reliability. Besides, this research work allows developing a reliability model for distribution substations to be utilized in HL-III adequacy assessment.

This thesis recognizes the need for an appropriate modeling for various components used in substations. This permits the inclusion of all the realistic component failure modes in the reliability prediction, and appeared through two categories of minimal cutsets; basic and non-basic. The analytical approach utilized here for reliability evaluation is based on a Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) which employs the technique of minimum cutsets to compute the frequency and duration of a fault with respect to the criterion of continuity of electric service.

In addition, a computer program is described for generation of minimal paths, generation of minimal cutsets, and evaluation of reliability indices. The application of this program is illustrated by considering a practical substation example.

LIST OF PUBLISHED PAPERS

- [1] A. R. Abul'Wafa, M. A. Mostafa, A. H. Gad, "**A Heuristic Technique For Generating Minimal Paths Of Networks With Bidirectional Elements**", Ain Shams Journal of Electrical Engineering, Vol. 2, pp. 187-199, Dec. 2008.
- [2] A. R. Abul'Wafa, M. A. Mostafa, A. H. Gad, "**A Computer Program For Generating Minimal Cutsets Used In Substation Reliability Evaluation**", Ain Shams Journal of Electrical Engineering, Vol. 2, pp. 263-270, Dec. 2008.
- [3] A. R. Abul'Wafa, M. A. Mostafa, A. H. Gad, "**Reliability Evaluation Of Distribution Substations Using An Analytical Approach**", Ain Shams Journal of Electrical Engineering, Vol. 3, pp. 281-300, Jun. 2009.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER PAGE	i
EXAMINERS COMMITTEE	ii
STATEMENT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
LIST OF PUBLISHED PAPERS	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
1. INTRODUCTION	1-18
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Power System Reliability And Related Concepts	2
1.3. Reliability Evaluation Methodologies	6
1.4. Concepts Of Distribution Substations Analysis	9
1.5. Scope And Objectives Of The Thesis	16
2. GENERATION OF MINIMAL PATHS	19-48
2.1. Introduction	19
2.2. Network Definitions And Representation	21
2.3. Minimal Path Algorithm	22
2.4. Study Networks And Substations	26
2.4.1. Network #1	26
2.4.2. Network #2	27
2.4.3. Network #3	28
2.4.4. Substation #1	30
2.4.5. Substation #2	33
2.5. Results: Program Output	35
2.5.1. Network #1	36
2.5.2. Network #2	37
2.5.3. Network #3	38
2.5.4. Substation #1	39
2.5.5. Substation #2	43
2.6. Conclusions	48
3. GENERATION OF MINIMAL CUTSETS	49-67
3.1. Introduction	49
3.2. Load Point Failure Modes	50

3.3. Modeling Of Substation Components	52
3.4. System Studies	55
3.4.1. Basic Minimal Cutsets	56
3.4.2. Non-Basic Minimal Cutsets	58
3.5. Program Output	62
3.6. Conclusions	67
4. EVALUATION OF RELIABILITY INDICES	68-100
4.1. Introduction	68
4.2. Methods Of Assessment	69
4.3. Basic Analytical Evaluation Techniques	71
4.3.1. State Space Diagrams	71
4.3.2. Network Reduction Method	71
4.3.3. Failure Modes And Effects Analysis	73
4.4. System Studies	75
4.5. Program Output	76
4.6. Conclusions	100
5. ADDITIONAL INTERRUPTION INDICES	101-105
5.1. Introduction	101
5.2. Additional Interruption Indices	102
5.2.1. System Performance Indices	102
5.2.2. Energy-Orientated Indices	103
5.3. System Studies	103
5.4. Conclusions	105
6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	106-108
6.1. Conclusions	106
6.2. Expected Future Work	108
REFERENCES	109
A. PROCEDURE OF CONSTRUCTING OF THE INTERCONNECTION MATRIX <i>C</i> AND DETERMINING A MINIMAL PATH ON A SIMPLIFIED NETWORK	113
B. FORMULAE USED FOR EVALUATION OF LOAD POINT RELIABILITY INDICES	116
C. REPORTED VALUES OF HISTORICAL SYSTEM- ORIENTATED RELIABILITY INDICES	118

LIST OF TABLES

Table (2.1):	Interconnection matrix for network #1.	27
Table (2.2):	Interconnection matrix for network #2.	28
Table (2.3):	Interconnection matrix for network #3.	29
Table (2.4):	Interconnection matrix for substation #1.	32
Table (2.5):	Interconnection matrix for substation #2.	35
Table (2.6):	Key matrix of network #1.	36
Table (2.7):	Minimal paths of network #1.	36
Table (2.8):	Key matrix of network #2.	37
Table (2.9):	Minimal paths of network #2.	37
Table (2.10):	Key matrix of network #3.	38
Table (2.11):	Minimal paths of network #3.	38
Table (2.12):	Key matrix of substation #1 (load point 19).	39
Table (2.13):	Minimal paths of substation #1 (load point 19).	39
Table (2.14):	Key matrix of substation #1 (load point 20).	40
Table (2.15):	Minimal paths of substation #1 (load point 20).	40
Table (2.16):	Key matrix of substation #1 (load point 21).	41
Table (2.17):	Minimal paths of substation #1 (load point 21).	41
Table (2.18):	Key matrix of substation #1 (load point 22).	42
Table (2.19):	Minimal paths of substation #1 (load point 22).	42
Table (2.20):	Key matrix of substation #2 (load point 5).	43
Table (2.21):	Minimal paths of substation #2 (load point 5).	43
Table (2.22):	Key matrix of substation #2 (load point 6).	44
Table (2.23):	Minimal paths of substation #2 (load point 6).	44
Table (2.24):	Key matrix of substation #2 (load point 7).	45
Table (2.25):	Minimal paths of substation #2 (load point 7).	45
Table (2.26):	Key matrix of substation #2 (load point 8).	46
Table (2.27):	Minimal paths of substation #2 (load point 8).	46
Table (2.28):	Key matrix of substation #2 (load point 9).	47
Table (2.29):	Minimal paths of substation #2 (load point 9).	47
Table (3.1):	Load point failure modes	51
Table (3.2):	Key matrix of substation #2 (load point 5).	57
Table (3.3):	BMCS (load point 5).	58
Table (3.4):	S, SS groups for each bus (load point 5).	61
Table (3.5):	NBMCS type 2,5 (load point 5).	61

Table (3.6):	NBMCS type 4 (load point 5).	62
Table (3.7):	Program output (BMCS).	63
Table (3.8):	Program output (NBMCS).	65
Table (4.1):	Component reliability data of substation #2.	76
Table (4.2a):	Basic reliability indices of the cutsets (point 5).	77
Table (4.2b):	Basic reliability indices of the cutsets (point 5).	79
Table (4.3a):	Basic reliability indices of the cutsets (point 6).	81
Table (4.3b):	Basic reliability indices of the cutsets (point 6).	83
Table (4.4a):	Basic reliability indices of the cutsets (point 7).	86
Table (4.4b):	Basic reliability indices of the cutsets (point 7).	88
Table (4.5a):	Basic reliability indices of the cutsets (point 8).	90
Table (4.5b):	Basic reliability indices of the cutsets (point 8).	92
Table (4.6a):	Basic reliability indices of the cutsets (point 9).	94
Table (4.6b):	Basic reliability indices of the cutsets (point 9).	96
Table (4.7):	Summary of load point reliability indices.	99
Table (4.8):	Breakdown of reliability indices (load point 5).	99
Table (5.1):	Number of customers and average load connected.	104
Table (5.2):	Additional reliability indices.	105
Table (A.1):	Interconnection matrix $C(i,j)$.	114

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. (1.1):	Functional zones in a power system.	3
Fig. (2.1):	Flowchart of the minimal path algorithm.	25
Fig. (2.2):	Network model for network #1.	26
Fig. (2.3):	Network model for network #2.	27
Fig. (2.4):	Network model for network #3.	28
Fig. (2.5):	Single line diagram for substation #1.	30
Fig. (2.6):	Block diagram for substation #1.	31
Fig. (2.7):	Single line diagram for substation #2.	33
Fig. (2.8):	Block diagram for substation #2.	34
Fig. (3.1):	Component model 1.	52
Fig. (3.2):	Component model 2.	53
Fig. (3.3):	Component model 3.	54
Fig. (3.4):	Single line diagram for substation #2.	55
Fig. (3.5):	S and SS groups of a node k .	59
Fig. (4.1):	Single line diagram for substation #2.	75
Fig. (5.1):	Single line diagram for substation #2.	104
Fig. (A.1):	An Example of a sequentially numbered network.	113
Fig. (A.2):	Illustration of path search steps.	115

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

HL	Hierarchical Level.
FMEA	Failure Modes and Effects Analysis.
MCS	Monte Carlo Simulation.
SCE	Southern California Edison company.
LOLE	Loss Of Load Expectation.
MELL	Mean Evaluation of Lost Load.
LCC	Life Cycle Costs.
PRINDAT	Predecessor Input Data technique.
BPM	Backwards Predecessor Method.
TF	Total Failure.
AF	Active Failure.
M	Maintenance.
S	Stuck breaker.
BMCS	Basic Minimal Cutset.
NBMCS	Non-Basic Minimal Cutset.
u	Up state.
\mathcal{D}	Down state.
s	Switching state.
TLOC	Total Loss Of Continuity.
PLOC	Partial Loss Of Continuity.
SAIFI	System Average Interruption Frequency Index.
SAIDI	System Average Interruption Duration Index.
CAIDI	Customer Average Interruption Duration Index.
ASAI	Average Service Availability Index.
ASUI	Average Service Unavailability Index.
EENS	Expected Energy Not Supplied.
AENS	Average Energy Not Supplied.
ASCI	Average System Curtailment Index.
DG	Distributed Generation.
NSW	New South Wales.
MP	Minimal Paths matrix.

LIST OF SYMBOLS

N	A set of nodes in a general network
C	Interconnection matrix
N_c	Number of components
N_b	Maximum number of branches leading to a node
λ	Total failure rate
r	Repair time
U	Annual outage time
λ_a	Active failure rate
s	Switching time
P_c	Stuck probability
λ'	Maintenance rate
r'	Maintenance duration

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1. INTRODUCTION

The increasing dependence of modern society on electrical energy puts a heavy pressure on electric power utilities to provide an energy supply of acceptable quality with reasonable assurance of continuity [1]. It is not economical and technically feasible to attempt to design a power system with one hundred percent reliability. Power engineers, however, attempt to achieve an acceptable level of reliability within existing economic constraints.

Attempts have been made over the last four decades to resolve the dilemma between the economic, operating and reliability constraints, by developing a wide range of techniques. The criteria and techniques first used in practical application, and in use even today, are deterministically based. The basic drawback of deterministic criteria is their inability to consider the probabilistic or stochastic nature of system behavior, customer demands or of component failures.

The need for probabilistic evaluation was recognized many years ago but these techniques were not widely used in the past due to lack of data and computational resources, etc. A wide range of probabilistic techniques have now been developed which attempt to recognize the severity of an outage event, its impact on system behavior and operation, together with the likelihood (probability) of its occurrence. The data required to support these techniques are generally available and computational resources are not a problem.

1.2. POWER SYSTEM RELIABILITY AND RELATED CONCEPTS

The word reliability when used in the context of power networks is generally defined as the concern regarding the ability of the power system to provide adequate supply of electrical energy [2]. The term reliability has a wide range of meaning and cannot be associated with single specific definition. In general terms, it is related to the existence of sufficient facilities within a system so that the system is capable of supplying electric power to its customers under both static and dynamic conditions, with a mutually accepted assurance of continuity and quality [3]. It is necessary to recognize the extreme generality of the term and therefore to use it to indicate, in a general rather than a specific sense, the overall ability of the power system to perform its function.

A simple but reasonable subdivision of the concern designated as system reliability can be made by considering the two basic and functional aspects of the system, adequacy and security [3]. System adequacy relates to the existence of sufficient facilities within the system to satisfy the consumer load demand or system operational constraints. These include the facilities necessary to generate sufficient energy and the associated transmission and distribution facilities required to transport the energy to the consumer load points. Adequacy is therefore associated with static conditions which do not include system disturbances. System security relates to the ability of the system to respond to disturbances arising within it. These include the conditions associated with both local and widespread disturbances and the loss of major generation and transmission facilities etc. This thesis is restricted to the adequacy assessment of electrical power systems.