



A Comparison of the Sedative, Hemodynamic, and Respiratory Effects of Dexmedetomidine and Propofol in Children Undergoing Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of M.D in
Anesthesia

By

Amir Nagy Nagiub

M.B.,B.CH., M.Sc.

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Nahed Effat Youssef

Professor of Anesthesia and Intensive Care

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Gehan Fouad Kamel

Professor of Anesthesia and Intensive Care

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Amr Mohamed abd Elfattah

Assistant Professor of Anesthesia and Intensive Care

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Hend Youssef Mohamed

Lecturer of Anesthesia and Intensive Care

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2013

Acknowledgment

First, I wish to express my deep thanks, sincere gratitude to ALLAH, who always helps me, care for me and grunted me the ability to accomplish this thesis.

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude, thanks and gratefulness to **Prof. Dr. Nehad Effat Youssef**, Professor of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her enthusiastic support, continuous encouragement valuable scientific advices, and great help through out of the accomplishment of this work,*

*I am very grateful to **Prof. Dr. Gehan Fouad Kamel**, Professor of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her kind supervision, support, indispensable suggestion, and great help through out of course of my thesis.*

*My sincere thanks to **Dr. Amr Mohamed abd Elfattah**, Assistant Professor of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind and meticulous supervision, support, help, valuable supervision all through the work,*

*Many Many thanks, and sincere gratitude to **Dr. Hend Youssef Mohamed**, Professor of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her kind supervision encouragement and meticulous revision of this work,*

Words can never express my sincere thanks to my family for their generous support and continuous encouragement.

Amir Nagy Nagiul



مقارنة التأثير التسكينى والتأثير على التنفس والتأثير على العلامات الحيوية بين عقار الديكسمديتومدين و عقار البروبوفول في الأطفال خلال فحص الرنين المغناطيسي

رسالة

مقدمة توطئة للحصول على درجة الدكتوراه فى التخدير

مقدمة من الطبيب

أمير ناجى نجيب

بكالوريوس الطب والجراحة – ماجستير التخدير

تحت إشراف

الأستاذ الدكتور/ ناهد عفت يوسف

أستاذ التخدير والرعاية المركزة

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

الأستاذ الدكتور/ جيهان فؤاد كامل

أستاذ التخدير والرعاية المركزة

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

الدكتور/ عمرو محمد عبد الفتاح

أستاذ مساعد التخدير والرعاية المركزة

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

الدكتور/ هند يوسف محمد

مدرس التخدير والرعاية المركزة

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب

جامعة عين شمس
2013

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures.....	iii
Introduction & Aim of the work	1
Review of Literature	5
• Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)	5
• Pediatric Sedation	14
• Pharmacology of Propofol	30
• Pharmacology of Dexmedetomidine	47
Patients & Methods	67
Results	75
Discussion.....	94
Summary & Conclusion.....	103
References	107
Arabic summary.....	-

LIST OF TABLES

Table no.	Title	Page no.
1	The criterion of the discharge was done according to Modified Aldrete Score.....	73
2	Demographic and pre-anesthetic data	75
3	Sedation profile and quality of MRI examination	76
4	Ramsay sedation score during MRI examination	77
5	Blood pressure profile during MRI examination	78
6	Heart rate profile during MRI examination	79
7	Respiratory rate profile during MRI examination	80
8	Oxymetric arterial oxygen saturation profile during MRI examination.....	81
9	Profile of Ramsay sedation score during MRI examination	82

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. no.	Title	Page no.
1	Physiology of the $\alpha 2$ -adrenoceptor agonists' receptor.....	49
2	Time to onset of sedation	83
3	Time to Ramsy sedation score	84
4	Recovery time	85
5	Aldrete score.....	86
6	MRI examination time.....	87
7	MRI quality score.....	88
8	Area under MAP-time curve MAP	89
9	Area under HR-time curve	90
10	Area under RR-time curve.....	91
11	Area under HR-time curve.	92
12	Area under RSS-time curve.....	93

INTRODUCTION

General anesthesia or sedation is usually required for children who require radiological studies such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Although many healthy young children have been managed by radiologists and nursing staff with oral or IV sedation, the efficiency of this technique is poor and the failure rate, particularly in children who are cognitively challenged, is substantial(*Malviya et al.,2000*).

Sedation is frequently necessary for children 1 to 10 yr of age undergoing magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to ensure examinations that is of diagnostic quality. Because procedural sedation is unable to guarantee patient compliance in these cases, a deeper level of sedation is required (*Hasan et al., 2003*).

The success of sedation for MRI has typically been measured by two factors: the safety of the sedation procedure (lack of adverse events) and the effectiveness of the procedure (successful completion of the diagnostic examination) (*Bluemke and Breiter, 2000*).

Sedation of children for MRI is usually associated with inadequate or failed sedation because of difficulty in having patients motionless while maintaining hemodynamic and respiratory stability. Also, limited access to the patient may pose a safety risk during MRI examination. Therefore, appropriate drugs need to be selected, administered, and titrated to achieve these objectives (*Dial et al., 2001*).

The goals of pediatrics sedation are not only to ensure adequate sedation, but also to control anxiety, minimize psychological trauma, maximize the potential for amnesia, control unintentional movements, and provide short recovery. These goals can be best achieved by selecting appropriate drugs in the lowest possible, but just adequate dose for the procedure(*Cote and Wilson , 2008*).

Dexmedetomidine is a relatively selective alpha2-adrenergic agonist. It is chemically related to clonidine, but has a much greater affinity for alpha2-receptors over alpha1-receptors. It has a distribution half-life of approximately 8 min and a terminal half-life of 3.5h (*Bhana et al., 2000*).

Dexmedetomidine has an activity at a variety of locations throughout the central nervous system. The sedative and anxiolytic effects of dexmedetomidine result primarily from its activity in the locus ceruleus of the brain stem. Stimulation of alpha2-adrenergic receptors at this site reduces central sympathetic output, resulting in predominant firing of inhibitory neurons. The presence of dexmedetomidine at alpha2-adrenergic receptors in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord modulates release of substance P and produces its analgesic effects (*Munoz and Berry, 2005*).

At therapeutic doses, dexmedetomidine provides profound levels of sedation without affecting cardiovascular and respiratory stability (*Shelly, 2001*).

Propofol is widely used for infants and children requiring sedation for magnetic resonance imaging. However, increased doses of propofol may quickly lead to an unintended deep sedation and respiratory depression. Thus, an appropriate low dosage, which nevertheless ensures sufficient sleep for successful magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) completion, would probably minimize respiratory adverse events (*Dearlove and Corcoran, 2007*).

AIM OF THE WORK

The study will be planned to compare between dexmedetomidine and propofol in children undergoing magnetic resonance imaging procedures as regards, The sedative effect (Ramsy sedation scale) ,Hemodynamic effects (mean arterial blood pressure - heart rate), Respiratory effects(peripheral oxygen saturation - respiratory rate) and the Quality of the MRI (will be evaluated by a radiologist using a three-point scale)



Introduction & Aim of the Work





Review of Literature





Patients & Methods





Discussion

