



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Zoology Department

**ECOLOGICAL STUDIES ON MACROBENTHIC
INVERTEBRATES ASSOCIATED WITH MACROPHYTES IN
RIVER NILE, EGYPT**

A Thesis
Submitted for Ph.D. Degree in Aquatic Ecology

By
REDA EL HADY EL SAYED BENDARY

Ass. Researcher - Hydrobiology Lab., Inland Water Department
National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries (NIOF)

Supervisors

Prof. Magdy Tawfik Khalil
Professor of Ecology
Faculty of Science – Ain Shams.
Univ.

Prof. Mohammed Reda Ali Fishar
Professor of Hydrobiology Inland
water Department. NIOF

Prof. Setaita Hassan Sleem
Professor of Invertebrates
Faculty of Science – Ain Shams
Univ.

Dr. Nehad Khalifa Sayed Ahmed
Assistant Professor of Hydrobiology
Inland water department- NIOF

2013



جامعة عين شمس
كلية العلوم
قسم علم الحيوان

دراسات بيئية علي لافقاريات القاع الكبيرة الملتصقة بالنباتات المائية في نهر النيل، مصر.

رسالة مقدمة من

رضا الهادي السيد بنداري

مدرس مساعد - معمل الهيدروبيولوجي - فرع المياه الداخلية
المعهد القومي لعلوم البحار و المصايد

للحصول علي درجة الدكتوراه في فلسفة العلوم في علم الحيوان
بيئة مائية

تحت إشراف

أ.د./ محمد رضا علي فيشار
أستاذ الهيدروبيولوجي
و مدير فرع المياه الداخلية- المعهد
القومي لعلوم البحار و المصايد

د./ نهاد خليفة سيد احمد
أستاذ مساعد الهيدروبيولوجي
فرع المياه الداخلية - المعهد القومي
لعلوم البحار و المصايد

أ.د./ مجدي توفيق خليل
أستاذ علوم البيئة المائية
كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس

أ.د. ستيتة حسن سليم
أستاذ اللاقاريات - كلية العلوم جامعة
عين شمس

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly and Lastly, All praise is to **ALLAH, Al-Rahman, and Al-Raheem** without whose mercy and guidance this work have not been started nor completed.

Words cannot express my profound gratitude to **Prof. Magdy Tawfik Khalil**, Professor of Aquatic Ecology , Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, **Prof. Mohammed Reda Ali Fishar**, Professor of hydrobiology, Inland Water Department, NIOF; **Prof. Setaita Hassan sleem** Professor of Invertebrates, Faculty of Science , Ain Shams Univ. and **Dr. Nehad Khalifa Sayed Ahmed** Assistant Professor of hydrobiology – Inland Water Department, NIOF . For the supervision, valuable assistance and great efforts in bringing this work into this form. Also, I am grateful to them for their critical reading, commenting and for suggestions and improvement to ending this work. Also, I would like to express, my sincere thanks to **my entire laboratory staff, and Chemistry Lab.**, for their kind assistance.

Lastly, I wish to thank **my family** for their cheerful supports through this work.

Abstract

Macrobenthic invertebrate's species are differently sensitive to biotic and abiotic factors in their environment. Consequently, they were commonly used as bioindicators of the conditions and water quality of aquatic systems. During the period from spring 2007 to winter 2008, eleven stations along the River Nile; from Aswan to Cairo, five stations in Damietta branch and six stations in Rosetta branch were selected to study the effect of water quality conditions on the macrobenthic invertebrates associated with aquatic macrophytes. Some physico-chemical parameters were studied in the River Nile as temperature, electrical conductivity (EC), total dissolved solids (TDS), pH value, dissolved oxygen (DO), biological oxygen demand (BOD).

Thirty eight macrobenthic invertebrates' species were identified in the present study. The macrobenthic fauna in the investigated area were rich and diverse. This Community comprised three phyla namely Arthropoda, Mollusca and Annelida, in addition to some rare forms represented mainly by fish fry. Arthropoda ranked the highest percentage of population density of the community 52.7%, 79.3% and 94.7% by number of the total macrobenthic fauna at the River Nile, Damietta branch and Rosetta branch, respectively. Mollusca ranked the second group represented by 38.5%, 11.7% and 3.4% of the total macrobenthic fauna at the River Nile, Damietta branch and Rosetta branch, respectively. Annelida formed only 8.6%, 8.5% and 1.7% of the total population at the River Nile, Damietta branch and Rosetta branch, respectively. The average total density of the macrobenthos was 648 org.m⁻². The main Nile course harbored the highest density of macrobenthos at station 10 (1767 org.m⁻²). A sharp decline in the average of macrobenthos population was noticed at station 8, that counted 3 org.m⁻². *Chironomus* sp. was the most dominant species during the study and it is considered to be potential bioindicator for polluted ecosystem, indicating that the water quality of the River Nile is deteriorated. A regular program for biomonitoring is recommended which will allow future changes to be detected.

Key word: macrobenthic invertebrates, aquatic macrophytes, indicators, water quality, physico-chemical parameters, River Nile, Damietta branch and Rosetta branch.

Content

I- Introduction	1-4
II- Review	5-15
III- Material and methods	16-23
IV- Result and discussion	
1-Abiotic factors	
1.1. Temperature	24
1.2. Electrical conductivity	28
1.3. Total dissolved solids	32
1.4. pH Values	35
1.5. Dissolved oxygen	39
1.6. Biological oxygen demand	42
2. Macrobenthic invertebrates	
2.1. Total community composition	46
General distribution of total macrobenthic fauna	48
Seasonal variations of total macrobenthos invertebrates	49
2.2. Macrobenthic groups:	
2.2.1. Phylum: Arthropoda	
Distribution and seasonal variations of Arthropoda	52
Species composition and taxonomy of Arthropoda	56
Distribution and seasonal variations of Arthropoda species:	

Content

2.2.1.1. <i>Chironomus</i> sp.	60
2.2.1.2. <i>Pentaneura</i> sp.	64
2.2.1.3. <i>Spaniotoma</i> sp.	68
2.2.1.4. <i>Chironomidae</i> pupa	71
2.2.1.5. <i>Micronecta</i> sp.	75
2.2.1.6. <i>Hyphoporus</i> sp.	77
2.2.1.7. <i>Ischnura</i> sp.	81
2.2.1.8. <i>Enallagma</i> sp.	84
2.2.1.9. <i>Philopotamus</i> sp.	88
2.2.1.10. <i>Oxyethira</i> sp.	88
2.2.1.11. <i>Baetis</i> sp.	91
2.2.1.12. <i>Coenagrion</i> sp.	95
2.2.1.13. <i>Amphiops</i> sp.	98
2.2.1.14. <i>Trithems</i> sp.	98
2.2.1.15. <i>Sphaerodema</i> sp.	99
2.2.1.16. <i>Caenis</i> sp.	99
2.2.1.17. <i>Tabbanus</i> sp.	99
2.2.1.18. <i>Cardina nilotica</i>	99
2.2.1.19. <i>Gammarus</i> sp.	103
2.2.1.20. <i>Chorophium</i> sp.	104

Content

Arthropoda group diversity	104
-----------------------------------	-----

Principal component analysis (PCA) of Arthropoda species	107
--	-----

2.2.2. Phylum: Mollusca

Distribution of Mollusca	108
--------------------------	-----

Seasonal variations of Mollusca	109
---------------------------------	-----

Species composition and taxonomy of Mollusca	114
--	-----

Distribution and seasonal variations of Mollusca species:

2.2.2.1. <i>Valvata nilotica</i>	118
----------------------------------	-----

2.2.2.2. <i>Bulinus truncates</i>	121
-----------------------------------	-----

2.2.2.3. <i>Gyraulus ehrinbergi</i>	125
-------------------------------------	-----

2.2.2.4. <i>Biomphalaria alexandrina</i>	129
--	-----

2.2.2.5. <i>Theoduxus niloticus</i>	131
-------------------------------------	-----

2.2.2.6. <i>Cleopatra bulimoides</i>	134
--------------------------------------	-----

2.2.2.7. <i>Melanoides turbeculata</i>	138
--	-----

2.2.2.8. <i>Physa acuta</i>	141
-----------------------------	-----

2.2.2.9. <i>Helisoma duyri</i>	145
--------------------------------	-----

2.2.2.10. <i>Lymnaea natalensis</i>	145
-------------------------------------	-----

2.2.2.11. <i>Lanistes Carinatus</i>	145
-------------------------------------	-----

2.2.2.12. <i>Bellamya unicolor</i>	149
------------------------------------	-----

2.2.2.13. <i>Corbicula fluminalis</i>	149
---------------------------------------	-----

Content

Mollusca group diversity	150
Principal component analysis (PCA) of molluscs' species	152
2.2.3. Phylum: Annelida	
Distribution of Annelida	153
Seasonal variation of Annelida	154
Species composition and taxonomy of Annelida group	158
Distribution and seasonal variations of Annelida species:	
2.2.3.1. <i>Limnodrilus udekemianus</i>	159
2.2.3.2. <i>Helobdella conifer</i>	163
2.2.3.3. <i>Barbronia assiuti</i>	167
2.2.3.4. <i>Limnatis nilotica</i>	170
2.2.3.5. <i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	170
Annelida group diversity	171
Principal component analysis (PCA) of Annelida species	173
2.2.4. Other macrobenthos component	
Distribution and seasonal variations of fish fries	174
Conclusions and recommendations	177
Summary	181
References	186

I. INTRODUCTION

The River Nile is one of the world's longest rivers flowing a distance of over 6625 km from source to mouth (Zahran & Willis, 2003). Pollution in the River Nile System (main stem Nile, drains and canals) has increased in the past few decades due to increases in population, several new irrigated agricultural projects, and other activities along the Nile (APRP, 2002).

Both the Rosetta and Damietta branches extend north-wards from Cairo to the Mediterranean over distances exceeding 200 km, along their course, they provide for the needs of agriculture, industrial activities and supply drinking water for the most populated area of Egypt (Dumont, 2009).

Damietta branch of the River Nile has a great vital importance, since it serves as a source of water for municipal, industrial, agricultural, navigation and feeding fish farms dispersed between El-Serw to Faraskour region. The earthen Faraskour Dam divides this branch at Damietta city; 20Km south of Mediterranean Sea to cut off the flow of the Nile water to the Mediterranean Sea. The water characteristics after the Faraskour Dam is completely different compared with the water before the dam (Ali, 1998). Along Damietta branch, there are about six main industrial plants. These are Talkha fertilizer plant, Talkha Electric power station, Kafer Saad Electric Power Station, Delta Milk, discharge their effluents directly to the branch besides the sewage and domestic wastes discharging from the neighboring villages without any treatment (Ali, 1998).

Also, Rosetta branch of the River Nile has a great vital importance as an important source of water for municipal, industrial, agricultural, navigational and feeding fish farms. Rosetta branch subjects mainly to three sources of pollution which potentially affects

I. INTRODUCTION

and deteriorates the water quality of the branch; El-Rahway drain which disposes mixture of agricultural, domestic waste and sanitary drainage from large area of Great Cairo. The impact of this drain on the water quality of the branch is extended to a long distance from its source. Also Kafr El-Zayat industrial area which receives the industrial effluents from factories of super phosphate and sulfur compounds, oil and soap industries and pesticides factories. In addition to the polluted effect of several small agricultural drains that discharge directly into waters, besides sewage discharged from several cities and its neighboring villages that are distributed along the two banks of the Rosetta branch (Mancy and Hafez, 1979 a &b)

Rosetta branch is divided into two ecological sectors; freshwater sector extending from branching of the river at El-Qanater El-Khyria Barrage until behind Edfina Barrage (approximately 200 km north Cairo). The second sector represents mixed water (saline to fresh water) extending from below Edfina Barrage until the branch outlet in the Mediterranean Sea. Nature of the later community depends on time, space and efficiency of barrage operating system. The bottom topography of the estuary is irregular, representing a succession of depressions; the middle is reaching 18 m in depth. The silt depth at the outlet rises to about 6 m from the surface (Mancy and Hafez, 1979 b)

Biological criteria to develop a biotic pollution index can be used in conjunction with physicochemical data. Many biotic indices are based on the pollution tolerance of macroinvertebrates. Benthic invertebrates are useful in such indices because they are long- lived, sessile, and the diversity of species may indicates water quality conditions over a period of time, while chemical records are relevant only for the time of their measurement. Also, intermittent pollution can be easily missed by chemical sampling. Macroinvertebrates are fairly easy to identify and do

I. INTRODUCTION

not require the skills of highly specialized taxonomists (Hellawell, 1986).

The use of biotic indicators for pollution monitoring in rivers was developed in Europe and in United States (Rosenberg & Resh, 1993). Indices have been developed using Protozoa (Jian & Yun, 2003), Diatoms, macrophytes and fish (Planfkin *et al.*, 1989, Iliopoulou-Georgoudaki *et al.*, 2003).

Sampling large rivers such as River Nile is carried by Logistic difficulties. Fishar & Williams (2006) used three sampling methods [Ekman Grab, macrophytes sweep netting and Artificial substrate sampling (ASS)] for monitoring macroinvertebrate diversity. The study found that the average number of taxa collected per sample from banks by each method indicated that the ASS were by far the most efficient with 7.2, followed by macrophytes with 3.4 and only 2.4 for the grab samples.

The Nile was characterized by its large number of plant species that form a mosaic of communities (Zahran, 2009). The habitats created by the combination of emergent plants and open water are prolific areas for insect development (Magee *et al.*, 1999). Since apart from providing habitat, decaying plant material supplies food for aquatic detritivores (some midges and mayflies), and creates refuges, allowing successful avoidance of predation in vegetated areas (Evans *et al.*, 1999). Macroinvertebrate assemblages appear to be strongly influenced by vegetation (Battle *et al.*, 2001).

However, in Egypt, many studies were carried on the aquatic insect fauna and were mainly concerning with the study of macrobenthic invertebrates' taxonomy, while the knowledge about the ecology and role of aquatic macrobenthic invertebrates as indicators of water quality is still poor. The present investigation aimed to study certain ecological

I. INTRODUCTION

and taxonomic aspects of macrobenthic invertebrates in the River Nile that include:

1. Determination the ecological conditions of the selected stations including the study of physico-chemical parameters of the water.
2. Survey of aquatic macrobenthic invertebrates associated with macrophytes in the area of study.
3. Recording the distribution and seasonal variation of macrobenthic invertebrates associated with macrophytes.
4. Determination the relationship between the collected aquatic macrobenthic invertebrates associated with macrophytes and water quality parameters in the area of study.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Water quality analysis

The main factors which affect water quality characteristics of the River Nile include: (a) upstream changes south of Lake Nasser, (b) changes in Lake Nasser, and (c) localized changes in the river basin. Furthermore, the Nile receives increasing amounts of waste discharges, from point and non-point sources, as the river travels northward. The discharge of waste effluents is usually accompanied by localized effects of water quality deterioration immediately downstream from the waste outfall. The industrial sector is an important user of water and contributor in pollution. Industrial activities are concentrated around big cities such as Cairo and Alexandria, Sugar cane industries exert significant influence on water quality in the south of Upper Egypt, while hydrogenated oil and onion-drying factories affect water quality in the north of Upper Egypt. The river exhibits its worst conditions in the Delta due to reduction of the river velocity in certain locations to complete stagnation, industrial and domestic waste discharges and return flow of agricultural drainage water. At Kafr El Zayat there are three factories discharging their waste water directly into the Rosetta branch. These factories are: Salt and Soda Company, Pesticides Company and Superphosphate fertilizer plant. As the river reaches the Edfina barrage, it slows down and bottom septic conditions occur during the summer months. Similarly, the Damietta branch receives industrial waste from the Talkha fertilizer plant, and stagnant waters at the Faraskour earth Dam exhibit septic conditions in the summer months (Mancy and Hafez, 1979a). In order to evaluate the present situation and use it as a guide for future evaluation concerning the River Nile and drinking water at River Nile, the fundamental information from any source should be taken into account. For this purposes, it is very

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

important to evaluate the possible significance of environmental contamination by a substance, to determine its form and quantity.

Many studies concerning the physical and chemical characteristics of the River Nile water, with much information about the water quality of the River Nile were reported by several authors.

A- Physical and chemical characteristics of River Nile water and its branches:

Temperature is very important parameter, which influences all physical, chemical and biological transformations in aquatic environment; it affects the growth, survival, distribution and rate consumption by aquatic organisms (Hamza, 1985), and the change of temperature is necessary to induce the reproductive cycles of aquatic organisms and to regulate other life factors (Mount 1969). Talling (1976) mentioned that the water temperature of the River Nile is governed by the large range of latitude and altitude, and it is mostly within 15-30°C. Saad (1980) recorded that the thermal stratification was absent in the River Nile water and it might be related to the continuous mixing of the River Nile water. Abdo (1998) revealed the changes in the physicochemical characteristics of water due to the thermal pollution of the Electric Power Station at Shoubra El-Khema, the effluent's temperature of the station fluctuated between 35– 40 °C. Abdel-Satar (1998) found that the temperature of the River Nile at the Greater Cairo region fluctuated between 17.5- 31.5 °C. Ghallab (2000) found a slight variation in water temperature in the River Nile downstream of Delta Barrage at El-Rahawy drain. Sabae and Rabeh (2007) recorded that the highest temperature value (29.7°C) was recorded during summer, while the lowest one was (17°C) detected in winter in Damietta branch and the results showed a noticeable seasonal trend of temperature.