# Three-dimensional sonographic calculation of the volume of intracranial structures in growth-restricted and appropriate-for-gestational age fetuses

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of The MD Degree in Obstetrics and Gynecology

#### Presented by

## **Eman AbdAllah Aly Zahran**

M. B.B.ch Faculty of Medicine Cairo University 1998

MSc in Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine Cairo University 2011

# **Under Supervision of**

### Prof. Dr. Gamal Gamal Eldin Youssef

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine – Cairo University

## Assis, Prof. Dr. Hala AbdElwhab AbdElatif

Assis.Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine – Cairo University

## Dr. Hassan Mostafa Gaafar

Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Faculty of Medicine – Cairo University

**Faculty of Medicine** 

Cairo University

2014

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to begin by thanking GOD for granting me the ability to complete this work.

I wish to extend my deepest appreciation and thanks to Prof. Dr. Gamal Gamal Eldin Youssef, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine – Cairo University, for granting me the privilege of working under his supervision for his diligence, encouragement, helpful suggestions and invaluable help he rendered during the course of this work.

I am very greatful to Ass.Prof.Dr. Hala AbdElwhab AbdElltef, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine – Cairo University, for her kindess, contionuous help and encouregment to complete this work

I feel truly indebted to Dr. Hassann Mustafa Gaafar, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine – Cairo University, for his point research suggestion, his active skillful participation and great cooperation through his work, without which this work would have never been accomplished.

#### **Abstract**

Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) has well-recognized perinatal and long term consequences. Because not all fetuses that are found to be small in utero have true growth restriction, the distinction of placental insufficiency from constitutional smallness has been one of the goals of fetal medicine over the last 20 years. Although intrauterine growth-restricted (IUGR) fetuses develop protective mechanisms, such as increased blood flow and oxygen uptake, they have an increased risk of developing signs of brain damage at birth.

Our study was a prospective observational study that aimed to analyze the possible existence of differences in the volume of fetal intracranial structures between IUGR (symmetric and asymmetric) and appropriate-for-gestational age fetuses in relation to MCA Doppler to determine cases with brain sparing effect and whether there is corresponding change in brain volume in relation to MCA Doppler changes.

In our study, 160 patients were included from those attending the Obstetrics and Gynecology department, fetal medicine unit at Kasr AL Aini hospital, Cairo University. We used VOCAL, which provides a semiautomatic delineation that frequently requires manual adjustments by the operator. VOCAL was selected instead of a multiplanar technique because this method requires less time to calculate volumes and has acceptable reproducibility. A technically successful measurement was obtained in all cases. Fetal brain volume data demonstrate a statistically significant linear increase of fetal brain volume relative to gestational age. Weekly increase in fetal brain volume is 20.51cm³ for IUGR and 22.53 cm³ for AGA. The fetal BV in IUGR is 296.366 cm³. In AGA group the fetal BV is 335.284 cm³.

Key words: IUGR; Doppler; Three-dimensional ultrasound; VOCAL

# **List of contents**

List of Figures	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Abbreviations	· v
1-Introduction	1
2-Aim of work	3
3-Review of literature	4
Chapter (1): Fetal growth	4
Normal Fetal Growth	4
Fetal-Growth Restriction	5
Normal Birth weight	7
Birth weight versus Growth	7
Metabolic Abnormalities	7
Morbidity and Mortality	8
Symmetrical versus asymmetrical Growth restriction	9
Risk Factors	10
Identification of Fetal-Growth Restriction	16
Chapter (2): Doppler Ultrasound	26
Doppler Ultrasound in the Diagnosis and Management of	
Intrauterine Growth Restriction	24
Doppler Ultrasound	28

Umbilical Artery Doppler	32
Cerebral Blood Flow Velocity Waveforms: Clinical Application-	35
Cerebral Ambiliant Doppler in the Prediction of Fetal Outco	
Cerebral-Umbilical Ratio Change in Case of IUGR  Chapter (3): Three Dimensional Ultrasound	
Volume Measurements	
Fetal Brain Volume	59
VOCAL"Virtual organ computer aided analysis"	54
4-Patients & methods	56
5-Results	67
6-Discussion	79
7- Summary	91
8- Conclusion & recommendations	95
9- References	96
10-Arabic Summary	

Figure Title page		
Chapter 1		
Figure 1: Relationship between birth weight percentile and perinatal mortality and morbility rates in 1560 small-for-gestational age fetuses.  6		
Chapter 2		
Figure 2. Comparison of the 10th percentile curves of the birth weight (open		
symbols) to the fetal weight (closed symbols) for gestational age. 26  Figure 3: Normal umbilical artery Doppler wave form at 34 weeks' gestation 29		
Figure 4: Abnormal umbilical artery Doppler wave form with absent diastolic flow in a growth-restricted fetus at 34 weeks' gestation 30		
Figure 5. Hashilian artems and lighting to light (O/D) and in a sum of Manage (and a		
Figure 5: Umbilical artery systolic/diastolic (S/D) ratio curve. Mean (open symbols) and 5 <sup>th</sup> and 95th percentile values (closed symbols)  30		
<b>Figure 6</b> . Reference range (mean and predicted values) of the fetal middle cerebral artery pulsatility index with advancing gestation.		
cerebral artery pulsatility index with advancing gestation. 36		
Figure 7.Reference range of the fetal middle cerebral artery (MCA) peak systolic velocity during gestation.		
Figure 8 Evolution of URI and CRI in a pregnancy complicated by hypertension.		
46		
Chapter 3		
Figure 9: Measurement of fetal brain volume with 3D ultrasound 51		
Figure 10: Stepwise measurements of the fetal brain volume by 3DUS. 52		
Patients and Methods		
Figure 1: Images obtained with a rotation step of 30° using Virtual Organ Computer-aided AnaLysis (VOCAL) to delineate the total intrauterine structures for volume calculation and reconstruction.		

Figure 2: Images obtained with a rotation step of 30° using Virtual Organ		
Computer-aided AnaLysis (VOCAL) to delineate the total intrauterine structures		
for volume calculation and reconstruction. 61		
Figure 3: Images obtained with a rotation step of 30° using Virtual Organ Computer-aided AnaLysis (VOCAL) to delineate the total intrauterine structures for volume calculation and reconstruction.		
Figure 4: Middle cerebral artery waveform in a fetus at 33 weeks with normal flow.		
Figure 5: Middle cerebral artery waveform in a fetus at 33 weeks with normal flow.		
<b>Figure 6:</b> Middle cerebral artery waveform in a fetus at 33 weeks with up normal flow.		
Figure 7: Umbilical artery waveform in a fetus with normal umbilical artery flow		
64		
Figure 8: Umbilical artery waveform in a fetus with normal umbilical artery flow		
65		
Figure 9: Umbilical artery waveform in a fetus with up normal umbilical artery flow 65		
Results		
Figure 1: Sensitivity-specificity curve 78		

Table Title page

Chapter 1	
<b>Table 1:</b> Ultrasound parameters and the diagnosis of intrauterine grown restriction.	wth <b>18</b>
Chapter 2	
Table 2.Fetal weight for gestational age (weight in grams)	27
Table 3 Neonatal outcome based on weight and Doppler studies.	31
<b>Table 4</b> Central nervous system (CNS) complications: infants delivered at < weeks gestation.SGAsmall for gestational age	37 <b>40</b>
Results	
<b>Table 1:</b> Comparison between the study groups (IUGR) & control groups (AC regarding to maternal age; Parity; body mass index.	GA) <b>68</b>
Table 2: Comparison between IUGR and AGA regarding to gestational age         the fetus at ultrasound examination; gestational age at birth	e of <b>69</b>
Table 4: The values of umbilical artery pulsatility index of the study group (IUG	<b>′0</b> ⊖R) <b>71</b>
Table5: The values of middle cerebral artery pulsatility index of the study gro (IUGR)  Table 6: 2DUS measures for assessment in AGA; IUGR regarding to fe	71
biometry 7	'2
Table 7: Comparison between IUGR and AGA regarding to birth weight         7	<b>'</b> 3
Tabel 8: Comparison between IUGR and AGA regarding to IC Volume.	74
Table 9: Comparison between IUGR and AGA regarding to MCA-RI.	75

**Tabel 10:** Comparison between IUGR and AGA regarding to MCA-PI.

76

Table 11: Comparison between IUGR and AGA regarding to UA-RI; UA-PI.	76
Table 12: Comparison between IUGR and AGA regarding to CPR.	77
Tabel 13: Conventional sonographic criteria assessment of IUGR	78

#### **List of Abbreviations**

2D: Two Dimensional

2DUS: Two Dimensional Ultrasonography

3D: Three Dimensional

3DUS: Three Dimensional Ultrasonography

AC/FL: Abdominal Circumference/Femur Length Ratio,

AC: Abdominal Circumference,

AFI: Amniotic Fluid Index

AGA: Average-for-Gestational-Age

APS: Anti phosphor Lipid syndrome

AREDF: Absent-Reversed end diastolic flow

BPD: Biparietal Diameter

BV: Brain Volume

CK: Creatine kinase

CNS: Central nervous system

CPR: Cerebro-placental ratio

CRI: cerebral resistance index

C/U: Cerbroumblical ratio

DV: Ducts Venuses

EFW: Estimated Fetal Weight

FGR: Fetal Growth Retardation

FHR: Fetal Heart Rate

GA: Gestational Age

**GRIT: Growth Restriction Intervention Trial** 

HC/AC: Head Circumference/Abdominal Circumference Ratio,

HWL: Height width length

ICV: Intracranial volume

IGF-1: Insulin-Like Growth Factor-1

IGF-2: Insulin-Like Growth Factor-2

IL-6: Interlukin-6

**IUGR:** Intrauterine Growth Restriction

MCA: Middle Cerebral Artery

MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging

NBAS: Neonatal behavioral assessment scale

NICU: Neonatal Intensive Care,

NPV: Negative Predictive Value,

NS: No Significant Difference Between Groups,

NST: Non Stress Testing

PI: Pulsatility Index

PIH: Pregnancy Induced Hypertension

PPV: Positive Predictive Value,

RI: Resistance Index

sFlt-1: Soluble Fms-like tyrosine kinase1

S/D: Systolic/Diastolic

SGA: Small-for-Gestational-Age Fetuses

TNF-alpha: Tumor Necrosis Factor-Alpha

**UA:** Umbilical Artery

URI: Umbilical resistance index

UTI:Urinary tract infection

VLBW: Very Low Birth Weight

VOCAL: Virtual Organ Computer-aided Analysis

#### Introduction

Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) has well-recognized perinatal and long term consequences. Because not all fetuses that are found to be small in utero have true growth restriction, the distinction of placental insufficiency from constitutional smallness has been one of the goals of fetal medicine over the last 20 years (Cruz-Martinez et al., 2009). The most widely used sign to identify placental insufficiency and consequently to diagnose IUGR is an elevated pulsatility index (PI) in the umbilical artery (UA) (Lackman et al., 2001a; Lackman et al., 2001b). Small fetuses with normal UA Doppler findings are defined normally as small-for-gestational-age (SGA), and earlier reports suggested that they essentially might represent constitutionally small fetuses (Soothill et al., 1999).

However, recent evidence suggests that this diagnostic category contains a proportion of cases with true forms of fetal growth restriction, where the degree of placental insufficiency is not reflected in the UA Doppler findings. Thus, studies over the last decade have provided evidence that, on average, SGA fetuses have significantly poorer perinatal outcomes (McCowan et al., 2000; Doctor et al., 2001; Severi et al., 2002). In addition, a considerable proportion of these fetuses show abnormal neurobehavior neonatally (Als et al., 1976; McCowan et al., 2002; Padidela and Bhat, 2003) and abnormal neurodevelopmental tests in childhood, (Figueras et al., 2008) with features similar to those described for children who have IUGR (Tolsa et al., 2004; Feldman and Eidelman, 2006). Because the identification of SGA fetuses with true growth restriction cannot be based on UA Doppler findings, assessment of fetal signs such as brain circulation changes could be used for these purposes (Hershkovitz et al., 2000; Severi et al., 2002; Habek et al., 2007). Chronic fetal hypoxia is associated consistently with increased brain perfusion, which is also defined as brain sparing (Scherjon et al., 1993). In clinical practice, brain sparing is identified by a middle cerebral artery (MCA) Doppler PI below the 5th percentile (Dubiel et al., 2002). Recent studies have demonstrated that a proportion of SGA fetuses with MCA vasodilation have poorer perinatal outcome

#### Introduction

(Hershkovitz et al., 2000; Severi et al., 2002) and a higher risk of abnormal neurobehavior neonatally (Oros et al., 2007) at 2 years of age (Eixarch et al., 2008). These studies support the use of brain Doppler evaluation to distinguish SGA with growth restriction from constitutional smallness.

Although intrauterine growth-restricted (IUGR) fetuses develop protective mechanisms, such as increased blood flow and oxygen uptake, they have an increased risk of developing signs of brain damage at birth (Fouron et al., 2001; Padilla-Gomes et al., 2007; Gonzalez et al., 2007; Benavides-Serralde et al., 2009). The brain is particularly sensitive to changes in oxygen and glucose concentration. Studies performed in neonates and in young adults born with intrauterine growth restriction have shown that signs of neurological damage can be manifested later in life as low scores in neuro developmental tests and reduced cognitive function (Majnemer et al., 1993; Upadhyay et al., 2000; Tideman et al., 2007). Neonatal studies using brain biometry and volume segmentation have demonstrated the existence of selective growth restriction in certain brain regions (Makhoul et al., 2004; Duncan et al., 2005), which could explain subsequent alterations in neurodevelopment. Whether these changes occur in utero is unknown.

# Aim of the work

In the present study, we aimed to analyze the possible existence of differences in the volume of fetal intracranial structures between IUGR (symmetric and asymmetric) and appropriate-forgestational age fetuses in relation to MCA Doppler to determine cases with brain sparing effect and whether there is corresponding change in brain volume in relation to MCA Doppler changes