

Caver + CONTENTS.pdf

الرسالة.pdf

غلاف + ملخص العربي.pdf

STUDIES ON THE FERTIGATION OF GRAPE

By

MOSTAFA MOHAMMED AFIFY

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Soil), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, ٢٠٠٢

THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of**

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

**Agricultural Sciences
(Soil Science)**

**Department of Soil Science
Faculty of Agriculture
Cairo University
EGYPT**

٢٠١١

APPROVAL SHEET

STUDIES ON THE FERTIGATION OF GRAPE

M.Sc. THESIS

By

MOSTAFA MOHAMMED AFIFY

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Soil), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, ٢٠٠٢

Approved by

Dr. SALAH ABD EL-MEGED RADWAN
Professor of Soils, Fac. Agric., Menoufia University

Dr. MOHAMEDY IBRAHIM EL-KHERBAWY
Professor of Soils, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. SAYED TAHA ABOU – ZEID
Professor of Soils, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. YOUSSEF ALI ABDEL-AAL
Professor of Soils, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Date: / / ٢٠١١

SUPERVISION SHEET

STUDIES ON THE FERTIGATION OF GRAPE

M.Sc. THESIS

By

MOSTAFA MOHAMMED AFIFY

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Soil), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, ٢٠٠٢

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Dr. SAYED TAHA ABOU - ZEID

Professor of Soils, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. YOUSSEF ALI ABDEL-AAL

Professor of Soils, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Name of Candidate: Mostafa Mohammed Afify

Degree: M.Sc.

Title of Thesis : Studies on the Fertigation of Grape

Supervisors: Dr. Sayed Taha Abou-Zied

Dr. Youssief Ali Abdel-Aal

Department : Soil Science

Approved : ٢٢ / ١١ / ٢٠١١

Abstract

Field experiment was conducted with grapevine grown in sandy soil under drip irrigation at El Sadat city, Menoufiya Governorate, Egypt for two consecutive seasons (٢٠٠٦ and ٢٠٠٧) to investigate the effect of different phosphorous fertilizers on Thompson seedless grapevine bud behavior, leaf area, yield, average cluster weight, berry measurements, berry juice measurements, (TSS, acidity, TSS/Acidity), chemical composition of blades and phosphorus concentration in soil samples. Four sources of phosphorus were used, phosphoric acid (H_2PO_4), monoammonium phosphate (MAP), ammonium polyphosphate (APP) and urea phosphate (UP) with two rates ٢٠ and ٤٠ kg P_2O_5 /fed., applied as once, twice and three times/week through irrigation water. Phosphorus at ٤٠ kg P_2O_5 /fed. increased significantly vine yield and improved fruit quality more than ٢٠ kg P_2O_5 /fed. under all treatments. As regards to sources of phosphorus, all the measured parameters were better with UP and APP than H_2PO_4 and MAP under the two rates and the different patterns of applications. Also, the results showed that application of phosphorus fertilizers at three times /week was the best.

Key words: Grapevine, Thompson seedless, phosphorus fertilizers, fertigation.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to whom my heart felt thanks; to the soul of Prof. Dr. Mostafa El-Gabaly and Prof. Dr. Nabila H. Bassioni, as well as to my wife Dr. Manar, my sons Mohammed and Abd El-Rhman, my parents and brothers for all the support they lovely offered along the period of my post graduation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*I wish to express my sincere thanks, deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Sayed Taha Abou Zeid** Professor of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for supervision, continued assistance and guidance through the course of study and revision the manuscript of this thesis.*

*Sincere thanks to **Dr. Youssief Ali Abd El-Aal** Professor of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for supervision, continued assistance and guidance through the course of study and revision the manuscript of this thesis.*

*Deep thanks and gratitude are also to due to **Dr. Magdy Rabeh** Professor of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia University for his assistance and guidance in Horticulture.*

Grateful appreciation is also extended to all staff members of Soil Science Departement, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	۱
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	۳
۱. A view on phosphorus fertigation in grapevine	۳
۲. Effect of phosphorus fertilization on Thompson seedless bud behavior	۵
۳. Effect of phosphorus fertilization on leaf area	۶
۴. Effect of phosphorus fertilization on yield	۶
۵. Effect of phosphorus fertilization on average cluster weight.....	۸
۶. Effect of phosphorus fertilization on berry measurements	۹
۷. Effect of phosphorus fertilization on berry juice measurements	۱۰
۸. Effect of phosphorus fertilization on the chemical composition of leaf petioles	۱۲
MATERIALS AND METHODS	۱۴
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	۱۹
۱. Bud behavior.	۱۹
a. Percentage of bud burst.....	۱۹
b. Percentage of fruitful buds.....	۲۱
۲. Leaf area (cm. ^۲).....	۲۱
۳. Average cluster weight.....	۲۴
۴. Yield	۲۶
۵. Berry measurements.....	۲۸
۶. Berry juice measurements	۳۰
a. Total soluble solids (TSS).....	۳۰
b. Total acidity	۳۳
c. TSS/Acidity ratio	۳۳

٧. Mineral composition of leaf petioles.....	٣٦
a. Nitrogen concentration	٣٦
b. Phosphorus concentration	٣٦
c. Potassium concentration	٣٩
d. Micronutrients (Fe – Zn - Mn)	٤١
٨. Effect of phosphorus fertilization on phosphorus concentrations in soil samples	٤٨
a. Phosphorus concentration in soil before and after fertilization during the first and last week of fertilization in the first season.....	٤٨
b. Phosphorus concentration in soil before and after fertilization during the first and last week of fertilization in the second season	٥١
SUMMARY	٥٤
REFERENCES	٦٤
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

No	Title	Page
١.	Chemical analysis of the irrigation water.....	١٤
٢.	Particle size distribution and chemical analysis of soil sample represents the experimental sites.....	١٥
٣.	Some characters of the phosphorus fertilizers used in the experiment.....	١٦
٤.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on bud burst of Thompson seedless grapevine.....	٢٠
٥.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on fruitful buds of Thompson seedless grapevine.....	٢٢
٦.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on leaf area (Cm. ^٢) of Thompson seedless grapevine.	٢٣
٧.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on average cluster weight (kg vine. ^{-١}) of Thompson seedless grapevine.	٢٥
٨.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on yield (Kg vine. ^{-١}) of Thompson seedless grapevine.....	٢٧
٩.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on ١٠٠ berries weight (gm) of Thompson seedless grapevine.....	٢٩
١٠.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on ١٠٠ berries volume (Cm. ^٣) of Thompson seedless grapevine.....	٣١
١١.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on TSS (%) of Thompson seedless grapevine.	٣٢
١٢.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on acidity (%) of Thompson seedless grapevine.....	٣٤

١٣.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on TSS / Acidity ratio of Thompson seedless grapevine.....	٣٥
١٤.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on nitrogen (N %) of Thompson seedless grapevine.....	٣٧
١٥.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on phosphorus (P%) of Thompson seedless grapevine.	٣٨
١٦.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on potassium (K%) of Thompson seedless grapevine.	٤٠
١٧.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on iron (Fe mg/kg) of Thompson seedless grapevine.	٤٥
١٨.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on zinc (Zn mg/kg) of Thompson seedless grapevine.....	٤٦
١٩.	Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on manganese (Mn mg/kg) of Thompson seedless grapevine.....	٤٧
٢٠.	Phosphorus concentration (mg/kg) in soil before and after fertilization during the first week of fertilization in the first season.....	٤٩
٢١.	Phosphorus concentration (mg/kg) in soil before and after fertilization during the last week of fertilization in the first season.....	٥٠
٢٢.	Phosphorus concentration (mg/kg) in soil before and after fertilization during the last week of fertilization in the second season.....	٥٢
٢٣.	Phosphorus concentration (mg/kg) in soil before and after fertilization during the last week of fertilization in the second season.....	٥٣

INTRODUCTION

On worldwide basis, grapes (*vitis vinefera*, L) considered the fourth crop while it ranked the first largest deciduous fruit crop. Egypt ranks on the world production scale as 14th largest producer of grapes. Grapevines are heavily planted in the newly reclaimed areas in Egypt. According to the latest static of the ministry of agriculture in 2000, the area occupied by grapevines was estimated as 16000 fed. While grape quality is affected by vineyard conditions; it also depends on cultural practices such as variety and fertilization. Grape growers in newly reclaimed areas, though, have inadequate information about suitable fertilization rates for vines especially for Phosphorus. Such rates are usually added in improper ways and rates which result in over and under supply, and which is usually associated with poor berry color, irregular and late ripening and low productivity in the following years. Therefore, the following study was carried out to determine the optimum rates and sources of phosphorus fertilizers for grapevine.

Phosphorous is an essential plant nutrient and commonly limiting nutrient for grape especially in newly reclaimed soil. Because of the low mobility of P in the soil, P fertilizers should be placed near the roots, using the most efficient methods of application. Banding the fertilizer in concentrated zone near the plant root should be more efficient than broadcasting, Randall and Hoeft (1988). Although the use of P fertilizers in fertigation may cause clogging problems to the system, these problems can be overcome by using acidic fertilizers sources such as H_2PO_4 and UP (Mikkelsen, 1989).

Fertigation minimizes leaching of water and nutrients from the rhizosphere, thus minimizes ground water contamination, Hagin and Lowengart (1995) and improves fertilizers use efficiency compared to preplant incorporation Mohammed *et al.* (1999), Hagin *et al.* (2002) and Mohammad *et al.* (2004).

Kafkafi (1994) considered fertigation as an efficient method for providing and supplying available forms of immobile elements such as P, at a desirable level in root zone. Also successful P fertigation has been reported by Papadopoulos (2000) who found that the superiority of P fertigation over conventional methods was through maintaining continuous higher concentration of P in the soil solution. In addition Jagdev *et al.* (2008) reported that fertigation treatment in Thompson seedless grapevine increased P fertilizer use efficiency by 43.6% and gave higher yield than the conventional method of P fertilizer application.

This study was initiated to evaluate the effect of different sources, rates and suitable application patterns of phosphorus fertilizers on Thompson seedless grapevine production grown in sandy soil under fertigation system.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. A view on phosphorus fertigation in grapevine

Fertigation is the application of nutrients through irrigation water. This method is suitable for usage with drip irrigation system. Because it is possible to directly reach the root structure, fertigation is the best way to provide water and fertilizers. Bravdo and Hepner (1987) reported that the availability of NPK fertilizers were increased by fertigation, mainly via drip irrigation, compared with conventional methods in grapevine. From the results obtained by Colapietra (1987) who compare fertigation and manually applied fertilizer in grapevine, it was abolished that the costs of fertigation were considerably lower than those of manual application, also, the yield and sugars level were higher. Similar results obtained by Bachchhav (1995) who made a field experiment on different farms of pruned grape in Maharashtra, India with medium black clay loam soils, revealed that the weekly fertigation program was convenient for farmers and the benefit: cost ratio was better than that of control plant. Also Carrijo and Hochmuth (2000) reported that fertigation was more efficient than preplant incorporation of phosphorus for soils that tested low in phosphorus.

Fertigated plants recorded higher nutritional states as regards to N, P and K content, leaf N and K content, physiological efficiency, photosynthesis, water use efficiency, relative water content, fruit number, weight, length, circumference, volume, pulp thickness and total soluble solids compared with plants not subjected to fertigation (control), reported by Jeyakumar *et al.* (2001). Also, Murthy *et al.*