



Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University
Cairo, Egypt

Soil Purification Capability during Infiltration of Polluted Water

By
SHERIF AFIFI ABD EL MOTTALB

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Ain Shams University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Civil Engineering – Structure Dept.

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. **ALI ABD EL FATTAH ALI**
Professor of Structural Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr. TAREK ISMAIL MAHMOUD SABRY
Associate prof. of Public Work Eng., Faculty of Eng., Ain Shams
University

Dr. HOSSAM EL DIN ABDALLAH ALI
Associate Prof, Of Structural Eng., Faculty of Eng., Ain Shams
University.

2009



Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University
Cairo, Egypt

Soil Purification Capability during Infiltration of Polluted Water

A Thesis for
The M.Sc. Degree in Civil Engineering
Civil Engineering – Structure Dept.

By
SHERIF AFIFI ABD EL MOTTALB

THESIS APPROVAL

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

SIGNATURE

Prof. Dr. ABD EL WAHID ALI GABR

.....

Prof. of structural Engineering
El Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.

Prof. Dr. MONA MOUSTAFA AID

.....

Prof. of structural Engineering
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

Prof. Dr. ALI ABD EL FATTAH ALI

.....

Prof. of structural Engineering
Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

Date:- __/__/2009

DEDICATION

This work takes a period from my life. I wish to dedicate it to who suffered to educate, build capacity and help my self to be as I am.

TO MY FATHER, MY MOTHER & MY SISTER

I wish to dedicate it also to who ease my life and share in carrying the responsibility to help me.

TO MY WIFE & MY DAUGHTER

For my wife care and encouragement and for my daughter smile.

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shames University for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural Engineering).

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shames University, from October 2006 to February 2009.

No part of this thesis has submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institute.

Date: - __ __ / __ __ /2009

Signature: - _____

Name: - SHERIF AFIFI ABD EL MOTTALB

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, great thanks are due to GOD the Beneficent and Merciful.

The candidate is deeply grateful and expresses his sincere appreciation to **Dr. Ali Abd El Fattah Ali**, professor of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his continuous encouragement, great support, supervision and kind help in carrying out this thesis.

Special great thanks to **Dr. Tarek Ismail Mahmoud Sabry**, Associate professor of Public Work Eng., Faculty of Eng., Ain Shams University, for his continuous encouragement, valuable advises and his brotherly guidance.

Special great thanks to **Dr. Hossam El Din Abdallah Ali**, Associate Professor of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for his continuous encouragement, valuable advises and his brotherly guidance.

ABSTRACT

Name : - SHERIF AFIFI ABD EL MOTTALB
Title : - Soil Purification Capability during Infiltration Of Polluted Water
Faculty : - Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University
Specialty: - Civil Engineering – Structure Dept
Abstract: -

Sewage from homes and businesses contains bacteria, viruses, nutrients and some chemicals. It must be treated before it reaches ground water because of the potential for disease transmission and environmental contamination. Individual sewage-treatment systems commonly known as septic tank which isn't sufficient to treat wastewater to an acceptable limit based on the environmental point of view, so treatment by soil layers is a proven method of controlling negative environment effects of sewage.

The research work in this study is aimed towards selection of the most appropriate sand soil, the effective sand depth which gives the best removal efficiency and the best infiltration rate which gives best removal efficiency.

The experimental work plan was directed to investigate the impact of three different types of sand soil. A pilot plant was designed and installed at EL-BERKA wastewater treatment plant, in Cairo.

Continues flow pilot plant testing was conducted to achieve the study objective. The study resulted in the following conclusions:

- The effective sand type which gives the best removal efficiency is sand type (I), which is fine sand.
- The effective depth of sand which gives the best removal efficiency is 80cm.
- The best tested infiltration rate, which gives the maximum removal efficiency of pollutants, is $3\text{m}^3/\text{m}^2/\text{d}$.

Chapter One:

Introduction

1-1 General	1
1-2 Study Objectives	2
1-3 Scope of Work	2
1-3-1 Field Work	2
1-3-2 Analytical Work	2

Chapter Two

Literature Review

2-1 Land Treatment Process	4
2-1-1 Introduction	4
2-1-2 Advantage of Wastewater Soil Treatment Processes	6
2-1-3 Comparison between Soil Treatments And Conventional Processes	7
2-2 The Effects of the Soil on Characteristics of the Sewage.....	7
2-2-1 Purification Process of Wastewater through Soil.....	7
2-2-1-1 Biological Process	8
2-2-1-2 Physical Process	8
2-2-1-3 Chemical Process	11
2-3 The Effects of Wastewater on the Characteristics of the Soil	15
2-3-1 Permeability	15
2-3-2 PH	15
2-4 Water Quality Improvement In Soil and Aquifer	15
2-4-1 Dissolved and Suspended Solid	15
2-4-2 Organic Carbon (BOD, COD, TOD)	17
2-4-3 Microorganism, Bacteria, Virus	18
2-5 Hydraulic Loading Rate (Infiltration Rate).....	20
2-6 Health Risks Associated with Wastewater	22

2-7 Characteristic of Soil	23
2-7-1 Type of Soil.....	23
2-7-2 Effective Depth on Treatment Process	24
2-7-3 Clogging of the Soil.....	25

Chapter Three:

Experimental works:

3-1 Introduction	26
3-2 Location of the Pilot of Study	26
3-3 Wastewater Analysis Method	26
3-4 Source of Wastewater	30
3-5 Description of the Pilot Plant	30
3-5-1 Pilot Plant Component	30
3-5-2 Preparation of the Pilot Plant	38
3-6 Measured Parameters.....	39
3-7 Variable Parameters	39
3-8 Experimental Design	39
3-8-1 Operation Philosophy	39
3.8.1.1 Experimental No. (1) For Primary Treatment.....	39
3.8.1.2 Experimental No. (2) For Secondary Treatment....	40
3.8.2 Operation Procedure.....	43
3.8.2.1 Run No. 1/1.....	43
3.8.2.2 Run No. 2/1.....	44
3.8.2.3 Run No. 3/1.....	44
3.8.2.4 Run No. 1/2.....	44
3.8.2.5 Run No. 2/2.....	44
3.8.2.6 Run No. 1/3.....	45
3.8.2.7 Run No. 2/3.....	45
3.8.2.8 Run No. 1/4.....	45
3.8.2.9 Run No. 2/4.....	45

CHAPTER (4)

Results and Discussions:

4.1 Introduction.....	47
4.2 Group No. (1).....	48
4.2.1 Run No. (1/1).....	48
4.2.1.1 Concentrations versus time.....	48
4.2.1.2 Effects of Depth on the Removal Efficiency.....	51
4.2.1.3 The main Result Obtained From This Run.....	53
4.2.2 Run No. (2/1).....	53
4.2.2.1 Concentrations versus time.....	53
4.2.2.2 Effects of Depth on the Removal Efficiency.....	56
4.2.2.3 The main Result Obtained From This Run.....	58
4.2.3 Run No. (3/1).....	58
4.2.3.1 Concentrations versus time.....	58
4.2.3.2 Effects of Depth on the Removal Efficiency.....	61
4.2.3.3 The main Result Obtained from This Run.....	63
4.2.3.4 The main Result Obtained from Group No. (1)...	63
4.3 Group No. (2)	65
4.3.1 Run No. (1/2).....	65
4.3.1.1 Concentrations versus time.....	65
4.3.1.2 Effects of Depth on the Removal Efficiency.....	68
4.3.1.3 The main Result Obtained From This Run.....	70
4.3.2 Run No. (2/2).....	70
4.3.2.1 Concentrations versus time.....	70
4.3.2.2 Effects of Depth on the Removal Efficiency.....	73
4.3.2.3 The main Result Obtained from This Run.....	75
4.3.2.4 The main Result Obtained From Group No.(2)....	75
4.4 Group No. (3).....	76
4.4.1 Run No. (1/3).....	76
4.4.1.1 Concentrations versus time.....	77
4.4.1.2 Effects of Depth on the Removal Efficiency.....	80
4.4.1.3 The main Result Obtained from This Run.....	82
4.4.2 Run No. (2/3).....	82
4.4.2.1 Concentrations versus time.....	82
4.4.2.2 Effects of Depth on the Removal Efficiency.....	85
4.4.2.3 The main Result Obtained from This Run.....	87
4.4.2.4 The main Result Obtained from Group No (3).....	87

4.4.2.5 The Comparison between Group (1), Group (2) and Group (3).....	88
4.5 Group No. (4).....	90
4.5.1 Run No. (1/4).....	90
4.5.1.1 Concentrations versus time.....	90
4.5.1.2 Effects of Depth on the Removal Efficiency.....	93
4.5.1.3 The main Result Obtained from This Run.....	95
4.5.2 Run No. (2/4).....	95
4.5.2.1 Concentrations versus time.....	95
4.5.2.2 Effects of Depth on the Removal Efficiency.....	98
4.5.2.3 The main Result Obtained from This Run.....	100
4.5.2.4 The main Result Obtained from Group No. (4).....	100

CHAPTER (5)

Conclusions and Recommendations:

5.1 Introduction.....	102
5.2 Conclusions.....	102
5.3 Most Important Findings.....	104
5.4 Recommendation.....	105
5.5 Suggestion for Future Works.....	105
Appendix (1).....	106
Appendix (2).....	111
Appendix (3).....	116
Appendix (4)	121
Appendix (5)	126
Appendix (6)	131
Appendix (7)	136
Appendix (8)	141
Appendix (9)	146
References.....	151

List of Tables

	<u>Page No.</u>
Table (3-1): The Physical Properties of Sand.....	36
Table (3-2): Grain size analysis for sand type (I).....	36
Table (3-3): Grain size analysis for sand type (II).....	37
Table (3-4): Grain size analysis for sand type (III):	37
Table (4-1): The Average Removal Efficiency at each depth For Run No.(1/1).....	53
Table (4-2): The Average Removal Efficiency at each depth For Run No.(2/1).....	58
Table (4-3): The Average Removal Efficiency at each depth For Run No.(3/1).....	63
Table (4-4): The Comparison between Different Runs in Group (1) For the average values at Depth 80 cm.....	64
Table (4-5): The Average Removal Efficiency at each depth For Run No.(1/2).....	70
Table (4-6): The Average Removal Efficiency at each depth For Run (2/2).....	75
Table (4-7): The Comparison between Different Runs in Group (2) For the average values at depth 80cm.....	75
Table (4-8): The Average Removal Efficiency at each depth For Run No. (1/3).....	82
Table (4-9): The Average Removal Efficiency at each depth For Run No. (2/3).....	87

Table (4-10): The Comparison between Different Runs in Group (3) For the average values at Depth 80 cm.....	87
Table (4-11): The Comparison between Different Groups No. (1), (2) and (3) For Sand type (I) Average values at Depth 80 cm.....	88
Table (4-12): The Average Removal Efficiency at each depth For Run No. (1/4).....	95
Table (4-13): The Average Removal Efficiency at each depth For Run No. (2/4).....	100
Table (4-14): The Comparison between Different Runs in Group (4) For the average values at Depth 80 cm.....	101
Table (A1-1): The concentrations for soluble COD through the Run at inlet and different depths	107
Table (A1-2): The concentrations for total COD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	107
Table (A1-3): The concentrations for TSS through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	108
Table (A1-4): The concentrations for BOD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	108
Table (A1-5): The Removal efficiency for soluble COD through The run at different depths.....	109
Table (A1-6): The Removal efficiency for total COD through The run at different depths	109
Table (A1-7): The Removal efficiency for TSS through The run at different depths.....	110
Table (A1-8): The Removal efficiency for BOD at depth 120cm.....	110

Table (A2-1): The concentrations for soluble COD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	112
Table (A2-2): The concentrations for total COD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	112
Table (A2-3): The concentrations for TSS through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	113
Table (A2-4): The concentrations for BOD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	113
Table (A2-5): The Removal efficiency for soluble COD through The run at different depths	114
Table (A2-6): The Removal efficiency for total COD through The run at different depths	114
Table (A2-7): The Removal efficiency for TSS through The run at different depths.....	115
Table (A2-8): The Removal efficiency for BOD at depth 120cm.....	115
Table (A3-1): The concentrations for soluble COD through the Run at inlet and different depths	117
Table (A3-2): The concentrations for total COD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	117
Table (A3-3): The concentrations for TSS through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	118
Table (A3-4): The concentrations for BOD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	118
Table (A3-5): The Removal efficiency for soluble COD through The run at different depths.....	119

Table (A3-6): The Removal efficiency for total COD through The run at different depths.....	119
Table (A3-7): The Removal efficiency for TSS through The run at different depths.....	120
Table (A3-8): The Removal efficiency for BOD at depth 120cm.....	120
Table (A4-1): The concentrations for soluble COD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	122
Table (A4-2): The concentrations for total COD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	122
Table (A4-3): The concentrations for TSS through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	123
Table (A4-4): The concentrations for BOD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	123
Table (A4-5): The Removal efficiency for soluble COD through The run at different depths	124
Table (A4-6): The Removal efficiency for total COD through The run at different depths.....	124
Table (A4-7): The Removal efficiency for TSS through The run at different depths.....	125
Table (A4-8): The Removal efficiency for BOD at depth 120cm.....	125
Table (A5-1): The concentrations for soluble COD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	127
Table (A5-2): The concentrations for total COD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	127
Table (A5-3): The concentrations for TSS through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	128

Table (A5-4): The concentrations for BOD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	128
Table (A5-5): The Removal efficiency for soluble COD through The run at different depths.....	129
Table (A5-6): The Removal efficiency for total COD through The run at different depths	129
Table (A5-7): The Removal efficiency for TSS through The run at different depths.....	130
Table (A5-8): The Removal efficiency for BOD at depth 120cm.....	130
Table (A6-1): The concentrations for soluble COD through the Run at inlet and different depths	132
Table (A6-2): The concentrations for total COD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	132
Table (A6-3): The concentrations for TSS through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	133
Table (A6-4): The concentrations for BOD through the Run at inlet and different depths.....	133
Table (A6-5): The Removal efficiency for soluble COD through The run at different depths	134
Table (A6-6): The Removal efficiency for total COD through The run at different depths.....	134
Table (A6-7): The Removal efficiency for TSS through The run at different depths.....	135
Table (A6-8): The Removal efficiency for BOD at depth 120cm.....	135
Table (A7-1): The concentrations for soluble COD through the Run at inlet and different depths	137