Role of different imaging modalities in diagnosis of vascular complications in the recipient of living donor liver transplantation

Essay Submitted for the
Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree of Radio diagnosis

By

HAZEM MOHAMED ABD ALKAWY ABD ALDAYEM MB. B. Ch.

SUPERVISORS Prof Dr. OMAR HUSSIN OMAR

Profossor of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicin

Ain Shams University

Dr. GEHAN GODA ALI

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis
Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University

Ain Shams University (2009)

دور الأشعة في تشخيص مضاعفات الأوعية الدموية في حالات زرع الكبد من متبرع حي

بحث مقالي توطئه للحصول علي درجة الماجستير في الأشعة التشخيصية

مقدمة من الطبيب/ حازم محمد عبدالقوي عبد الدايم بكالوريوس الطب و الجراحة

السادة المشرفون أ.د/ عمر حسين عمر أستاذ الأشعة التشخيصية كلية الطب جامعة عين شمس

د/ جيهان جوده علي مدرس الأشعة التشخيصية كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

کلیة الطب - جامعة عین شمس ۲۰۰۹

Acknowledgment

If the praise and adoration to god who gives wisdom to the wise and knowledge to the discerning. Thanks to god by whom we live, we move and we are found.

would like to express my profound gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Prof Dr.**Omar Hussin Omar Professor of radio diagnosis at Ain Shams University. I was so fortunate and privileged to carry this work under his supervision, where I found the most valuable advice and the greatest experience. I thank him over and over again.

Wish to express my gratitude to Dr. GEHAN GODA ALI, Lecturer of Radio diagnosis at Ain Shams University, for her continuous support and effective encouragement through out all this work. I owe her more than words of thanks.

Sazem mohamed



Contents

List of Figures	II
List of Abbreviations	VII
Chapter (1) Introduction & Aim of the Work	1
Chapter (2) Anatomy of the liver and its vascular supply	
	4
Chapter (3) Living donor liver transplanation	17
Chapter (4) Vascular anastomosis in LDLT	26
Chapter (5) Vascular compilications in the recipient of	
LDLT	32
Chapter (6) Imaging of vascular compilications in the	
recipient of LDLT	38
Summary &conclusion	67
References	71
Arabic summary	•

List of figures

Fig.1	Functional division of the liver and liver segments according to Couinaud's nomenclature	6
Fig. 2	Overview of intrahepatic vascular and biliary anatomy	7
Fig. 3	. Shows anatomic variations in the hepatic artery	9
Fig 4.	Intrahepatic Branches of the Portal Vein. T, pars transversus; U, embryonic ductus venosus; P, posterior segment; A, anterior segment.	10
Fig. 5	Illustrations show classification scheme of portal vein anatomy. LPV = left portal vein, RPPV = right posterior portal vein, RAPV = right anterior portal vein standard portal vein anatomy (A), trifurcation (B), right posterior portal vein as first branch of main portal vein (C), segment VIIbranch as separate branch of right portal vein (D), and segment VI branch as separate branch of right portal vein (E)	12
Fig. 6	Tributaries of the portal vein quoted from	13
Fig.7	Three major hepatic veins drain the liver. The caudate segment of the liver usually drains directly into the inferior vena cava	14
Fig. 8	Retraction of right hepatic lobe medially exposes small venous tributaries that drain the right lobe directly into the retrohepatic vena cava. Several branches are ligated	15
Fig. 9	Hepatic veins on a postmortem angiogram. The major rami branch dichotomously and receive smaller branches nearly at right angles	16

Fig. 10 MRIs of a donor and recipientpair. 23 Preoperative image from a donor. The RL is outlined. (B) The remnantliver 14 days after surgery. Dramaticgrowth of the left lobe is apparent. (C) The RL in the recipient 30 days transplantation. Again, dramatic growthcan be appreciated Fig. 11 Incising the inferior vena cava lateral to the 27 middle hepatic vein for widening orifice{(A) A smaller orifice of the recipient a left liver graft in an adult-to-child (B) An extension transplantation. original orifice of the common trunk of the middle hepatic vein and the left hepatic vein Fig.12 The MHV tributaries reconstruction 28 Fig. 13 30 surgical techniques for portal vein used reconstruction Fig. 14 A, Duplex Doppler US demonstrating very 40 high-resistance flow in the hepatic artery in a immediately after liver patient transplantation. B, Duplex Doppler US of the same patient 3 days later demonstrating a normal resistive index (0.74) in the hepatic artery. The initial elevated resistive index was presumed to be the result of postoperative edema. C, Duplex Doppler US of a different patient with very high velocities with the PV (>100 cm/second) immediately after surgery. D, Duplex Doppler US of the same patient as C, which demonstrates resumption of normal flow through the PV (45 cm/second) 3 days later Fig.15 CTVolume rendered 42 angniography

arise from coelioc trunk

reformatted image shows that hepatic artery

Fig.16 48-year-old man who had undergone liver 44 transplantation and whose subsequent MR angiographic findings were interpreted as normal Fig. 17 Normal coeliac arteriogram demonstrating a 46 widely patent arterial anastomosis. Note that both the donor and recipientgastroduodenal arteries have been ligated Fig.18 Transhepatic portal venogram 47 **Fig.19** normal hepatic venogram 48 Hepatic artery thrombosis after LDLT. (a) Colour 51 Fig. 20 Doppler US showed patent coeliac artery (arrow). (b) Absence of flow and Doppler signal seen at the expected location of the hepatic artery (arrow). PV: Portal vein. (c) Digital subtraction angiogram of the coeliac axis confirmed thrombosis of the hepatic artery (arrow) FIG. 21 A:T1-weighted magnetic 52 resonance image demonstrating multiple, discrete low-signal lesions. T2-weighted image (not shown) demonstrated increased signal in the same regions, suggestive of resonance Magnetic infarcts. B. angiogram demonstrating an abrupt cutoff of the hepatic artery (arrow) near the origin from the celiac artery compatible with thrombosis Hepatic artery stenosis (HAS). (A) Doppler ultrasound 53 Fig. 22 evaluation of the main hepatic artery (MHA) with spectral wave formanalysis in an adult liver transplant recipient. The MHA evaluationshows an arterial resistive index (RI) of 0.38. (B) Same Dopplerevaluation as A. The left hepatic artery (LHA) evaluation showing anarterial resistive index (RI) of 0.42. (C) Digital subtraction angiogram of the hepatic of the same patient showing a

segmentanastomotic stenosis (arrowheads)

- Fig. 23

 4 6-year-old man with highgradehepatic artery 54 stenosis after liver transplantation.A, Right posterior oblique volume-rendered three-dimensional multislice CT angiogram shows severe stenosis (arrow) of graft at anastomosis of graft with donor hepatic artery. B, Catheter angiogram of supraceliac aortic graft reveals high-grade stenosis at anastomosis (arrow)
- Fig. 24 (A, B) Axial and coronal MIP images show 57 apseudoaneurysm in the distal portion of the hepatic artery in a 57-yearold man with cadaveric liver transplant. (C) Conventional angiography confirmed the MDCTA findings

58

60

- Fig. 25

 A) Selective celiac trunk arteriogram showed a small-sized hepatic artery with reduced perfusion into the peripheral arterial circulation of the liver. Note the enlarged splenic artery with increased flow and splenomegaly. (B) Late phase of the celiac arteriogram showed persistent enhancement of the portal vein and splenic vein. (C) Embolization of the proximal splenic artery was performed. A postembolization angiogram showed significant improvement in hepatic artery perfusion. (D) After embolization, late phase of the celiac arteriogram showed liver enhancement due to flow in the hepatic artery and mild portal vein perfusion of the liver. The arrows show the common hepatic artery
- Partial portal vein thrombosis(PVT). (A) Gray scale ultrasound evaluation of a transplant recipient with a shallow heterogeneous echogenic mass (arrowhead) along the wall of the main portal vein. This is consistent with chronic partial thrombosis of the portal vein (P). (B) Color Doppler ultrasound evaluation of a transplant recipient with the same thrombus (arrowhead) along the wall of the main portal vein. This is consistent with partial thrombosis of the portal vein. (C) Unenhanced CT axial image at the level of the upper abdomen of the same liver transplant recipient. The portal vein visualized is

- intrahepatic and not extrahepatic, however. The images demonstrate a high density portal vein thrombus
- Fig. 27 Stenosis at the portal anastomosis in a 40-year-old 61 patient with severe ascites on the 8th day afterliver transplantation. (a) B-mode US image shows a stricture (arrow) at the portal anastomosis. (b) ColorDoppler US image demonstrates patency of the portal vein, with turbulent flow at the stenosis (arrow).(c) Pulsed Doppler US image and waveform of a portal vein segment proximal to the anastomosis show normalflow velocity. (d) Pulsed Doppler US image and waveform otained at the portal anastomosis show an increasefrom 40 to 130 cm/sec in mean flow velocity
- **Fig. 28** Coronal maximum intensity projection image from 62 gadolinium-enhancedMR angiography demonstrates the stenosis (arrow) with associated poststenotic dilatation of the intrahepaticportal vein
- Fig. 29 56-year-old male liver transplant 63 recipient. Measurement of venouspulsatility index on triphasic waveform from the middle hepatic vein (MHV). Venouspulsatility index is difference between (A) and minimum maximum (B) frequency shiftdivided by A. With triphasic waveform (above), this is A + B / A
- Fig. 30

 52-year-old maleliver transplant patient with outflow 64 veinstenosis. LHV = left hepatic vein, V = velocity. A, Doppler spectrum from LHV shows weakbiphasic waveform (venous pulsatilityindex = 0.22). B, Transjugular hepaticvenogram showsanastomotic stenosis (ARROW)

- Fig. 31

 IVC thrombosis. (a) Subcostal oblique US image 66 obtained through the hepatic confluence shows anechogenic thrombus (arrows) that fills the lumen of the right hepatic vein and extends into the IVC. (b) Right paramedian sagittal US image shows the IVC thrombus (arrows)
- Fig. 32 Inferior vena cava (IVC) stenosis. (A) Color Doppler 67 ultrasoundevaluation of the inferior vena cava (IVC). The transducer is along the longitudinal axis of the IVC. There is progressive narrowing of the IVC with a significant stenosis at the level of the arrowheads. (B) Axial MR image at the level of the upper abdomen shows a pinhole residual lumen (arrowhead) due to the significant stenosis seen in the Doppler examination of A. (C) Digital subtraction inferior venacavogram demonstrates the significant suprahepatic IVCstenosis (arrows) close to the IVC-right atrial (RA) junction. IVC,inferior vena cava; RRV, right renal vein; LRV, left renal vein

List of Abbreviations

CT	Computed tomography
CTA	Computed tomography angiography
DSA	Digital subtraction angiography
HA	Hepaic artery
HAS	Hepaic artery stenosis
HAT	Hepaic artery thrombosis
IVC	Inferior vena cava
LDLT	Living donor liver transplantation
LHV	Left hepatic vein
MDCTA	Multidetector CT angiography
MHV	Middle hepatic vein
MIP	Maximium intensty projection
MRA	Magnetic resonace angiography
MRI	Magnetic resonace
PV	Portal vein
RHV	RT hepatic vein
RL	RT lobe

RLT	Reduced size liver transplantation
SLT	Split liver transplantation
SMA	Superior mesnteric vein
SMV	Superior mesnteric artery
SPA	Splenic vein
SPV	Splenic artery
T1 WI	T ₁ weighted image
T2 WI	T ₂ -weighted image
US	Ultrasonography
VCS	Vascular closure stablets

INTRODUCTION

Liver transplantation has had a profound impact on the care of patients with end-stage liver disease and is the most effective treatment for many *patients* with acute or chronic liver failure resulting from a variety of causes. Before transplantation, patients with advanced liver disease usually died within months to years. These patients now have the opportunity for extended survival with excellent quality of life after liver transplantation. Furthermore, the costs of liver transplants have steadily declined in recent years (*Murray and Carithers.*, 2005)

Since first performed in 1963 by Dr. Thomas Starzl, liver transplantation has achieved a high success (*Uzochukwu et al.*, 2005)

Improvements in organ preservation, surgical technique, immunosuppressive regimens, intensive care, and anesthetic management during the last decade have achieved better short-term and long-term outcomes (*Ferraz-Neto et al.*,2007)

Most liver transplants are performed using a whole liver from a deceased donor. During transplantation, the donor liver is placed in the orthotopic position, hence the term orthotopic liver transplantation (*Keeffe.*, 2001)

Living donor liver transplantation (LDLT) was first developed in Asia due to the severe lack of cadaveric graft in this region. The Liver Transplant Service at Queen Mary Hospital (QMH), Hong Kong, has pioneered the application of LDLT to patients using both left lobe and right lobe grafts (*Leong.*, 2006)

The procedure of liver transplantation involves anastomoses of the hepatic artery, portal vein, hepatic veins and bile duct between the recipient and donor organs. These may be damaged through technical complications, resulting in stricture or kinking of the anastomoses, and there may also be thrombosis leading to vascular occlusion (*Haugka*, et al., 2007)

Vascular complications are the major cause of morbidity and mortality after liver Transplantation (*Moray*, *et al.*, *2005*). Early and accurate diagnosis of vascular complications is crucial for increasing the survival rate of the graft in living related liver transplantation because most stenoses or thromboses are treatable with interventional procedures. If untreated, many vascular complications may progress to severe hepatic failure or overwhelming biliary sepsis resulting in graft failure (**kim,et al.,2003**)

Imaging plays an important role in the diagnosis of these compilication. A multimodality approach including ultrasonography and cross-sectional imaging studies often is most effective for diagnosis. Each imaging modality has specific strengths and weaknesses (*Caiado et al.*,2007)