



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Public Works Department

"Digital surface modeling and its applications in urban areas using high resolution satellite images"

By

Eng. MOHAMED ATEF AHMED ZAKY SHERIEF

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment for the Requirements
of the Master of Science in Civil Engineering
(Public Works Department – Surveying)

SUPERVISED BY

Prof. Dr. IBRAHIM F.M. SHAKER

Professor of Surveying and Photogrammetry
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Fathi Hassan El Maghraby

Associate Prof. Surveying and Geodesy
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Cairo, - Egypt

2009

Table of Contents

Chapter (1) Introduction	9
1.1 Introduction:	9
1.2 High Resolution Satellite images Planimetric positioning	9
1.2.1 Building Extraction from High resolution imagery	13
1.2.2 Building Extraction from IKONOS Satellite Images:	14
1.3 Motivation Behind the present research Works:	17
1.4 Objectives of the research	18
1.5 Thesis Layout	20
Chapter (2) SATELLITE PHOTOGRAMMETRY	21
2.1 Introduction	22
2.1.1 Types of Photographs and Images:	22
2.1.2 The Advantage of using photogrammetry	23
2.1.3 Photogrammetry vs. Conventional Geometric Correction	23
2.2 Single Frame Orthorectification vs. Block Triangulation	25
2.3 Coordinate System	27
2.3.1 Pixel Coordinate System	27
2.3.2 Image Coordinate System	27
2.3.3 Image Space Coordinate System	28
2.3.4 Ground Coordinate System	29
2.4 Digital Satellite Imagery and its derivatives	30
2.4.1 General	30
2.4.2 Digital Elevation Models Data Sources:	36
2.4.2.1 Ground Surveying	36
2.4.2.2 Traditional Photogrammetry	36
2.4.2.3 Digital Stereo Plotters	37
2.4.2.4 Digitized Topographic Maps	37
2.4.2.5 Radar	37
2.4.2.6 Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR)	37
2.4.3 Digital Elevation Models Resolution:	38
2.4.3.1 Low Resolution DEMs	38
2.4.3.2 High Resolution DEMs	38
2.5 Feature Extraction	39
2.6 Photogrammetric Capabilities in Commercial Satellites:	40
2.6.1 General	40
2.6.2 Ground Control for Satellite Block Triangulation	43
2.6.3 IKONOS Stereo Data:	44
2.6.4 IKONOS Specs:	45
2.6.5 IKONOS Sensor Overview	46
2.7 Raster Data	48
2.7.1 General	48
2.7.2 Numeral Types	49

2.7.3 Spectral Bands.....	50
2.7.4 Resolutions.....	51
2.7.5 Scale	52
A-General	55
B- Storage Formats.....	55
Chapter (3) DEM Extraction Techniques	58
3.1 Introduction:	59
3.2 DTM Extraction Process:	60
3.3 Image Matching Techniques	68
3.3.1 Area-based Matching	68
3.3.1.1 Correlation Windows	69
3.3.1.2 Correlation Calculations	69
3.3.2 Feature-based Matching	72
3.3.3 Relation-based Matching:	73
3.4 Automatic DEM Accuracy Assessment:.....	74
Chapter (4) Data, Software and Processing.....	76
4.1 Data Used:.....	77
4.1.1 IKONOS Data:	79
4.1.1.1 Metadata Information:	79
4.1.1.2 RPC Files	82
4.1.2 GPS Points :	84
4.1.3 Total Station:	85
4.1.4 ESA 1:5000 map:.....	85
4.2 Software Used	86
4.2.1 Introduction	86
4.2.2 Software Package Description:	88
4.2.3 LPS ATE Functionality	88
4.2.4 Introduction to Stereo Analyst	94
4.3 Processing of Orthorectification without using GCPs:	96
4.3.1 Define Sensor Model	96
4.3.2 Define the Projection	96
4.3.3 IKONOS Model Properties:.....	97
4.3.4 Add Data to the Block	98
4.3.5 Ortho Resample the Images without Using GCPs	99
4.3.6 Check the registration:	100
4.4 RPC Orthorectification using GCPs:.....	102
4.4.1 Add GCPs.	102
4.4.2 Collect tie points (automated):	103
4.4.2.1 Automatic Tie Point Generation Properties:	103
4.4.2.2 Exploring the Auto Tie Summary:	103
4.4.3 Perform bundle block adjustment (Aerial triangulation):.....	104
4.4.3.1 Performing Triangulation:	104
4.4.3.2 Bundle Block Adjustment Report:	105
4.4.3.3 Automatic Extraction of the DTM:	105

4.4.4 Ortho Resembling the images:	107
4.4.5 Manual 3D Measurement:	109
4.4.6 Extracted DSM:	110
4.5 Automatic Building Extraction Processing Overview	112
4.5.1 Pre-processing	113
4.5.2 Building Extraction.....	116
4.5.2.1 Building Detection using Elevation data	118
4.5.2.2 Image Classification:	118
4.6 Assessing the Geopositioning Accuracy of the Algorithm:	122
Chapter (5) Analysis of the Obtained Results	124
5.1 Introduction	125
5.2 Extracted DTM accuracy :	126
5.3 Building Based Statistics	129
5.4 Differences in building Heights.....	131
5.5 Assessing the Geopositioning Accuracy of the Algorithm:	133
5.5.1 Mean Error:	134
5.5.2 Absolute Mean Error:	134
5.5.3 Root Mean Square Error :	134
5.5.4 NIMA Absolute LE90	135
5.6 Triangulation Results:.....	136
5.7 Planimetric Accuracy:	138
Chapter (6) SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS,AND RECOMMENDATIONS	139
6.1 Summary.....	140
6.2 Conclusions.....	142
6.2.1 Differences in building heights Conclusions:.....	142
6.2.2 Geopositioning Accuracy:	143
6.2.3 Building Extraction Conclusions:.....	143
6.2.4 Assessing the Geopositioning Accuracy of the Algorithm:	144
6.3 Recommendations.....	145
References	146
APPENDIX : Photogrammetric Glossary	152
Abbreviations and Acronyms	152
File Types.....	153
Symbols.....	154

List of Tables

Table (2.1) : Satellite Systems	30
Table (2.2) : DEM Resolution	38
Table (2.3) : IKONOS General Information	45
Table (2.4) : IKONOS Orbit Information	45
Table (2.5) : IKONOS Processing Info	46
Table (4.1) : IKONOS Metadata Info	81
Table (4.2) : LPS Main Menu	93
Table (4.3) : Stereo Analyst Main Menu	95
Table (5.1) : Building Based Statistics	129
Table (5.2) : height differences	131
Table (5.3) : Calculated coordinates	138

List of Figures:

Figure (2.1) : Pixel Coordinate System	27
Figure (2.2) : image Space Coordinate system	28
Figure (2.3) : Digital Elevation Models	32
Figure (2.4) : Digital Terrain Model	33
Figure (2.5) : Integrated Solution	34
Figure (2.6) : Digital Surface Model.....	34
Figure (2.7) : Triangulated Irregular Network	35
Figure(2.8) : LIDAR Technology.....	39
Figure(2.9) : Inclination Angle	42
Figure(2.10) : IKONOS Satellite System	45
Figure(2.11) : IKONOS Camera	46
Figure(2.12) : IFOV	53
Figure(3.1) : Ideal situation for Satellite images	62
Figure(3.2) : matching image points.	65
Figure(3.3) : Epipolar Geometry and the Coplanarity Condition.....	66
Figure(3.4) : Pyramid Layers	73
Figure(4.1) : IKONOS Study Area	80
Figure(4.2) : IKONOS collection angels	81
Figure(4.3) : RPC File	82
Figure(4.4) : GCPs Distribution	84
Figure(4.5) : Topcon Total Station	85
Figure(4.6) : ESA 1:5000 map scale.....	85
Figure(4.7) : Define Sensor Model	96
Figure(4.8) : Define the projection	96
Figure(4.9) : IKONOS Model Properties	97
Figure(4.10) : Add Data to a Block	98
Figure(4.11) : Orthorectification	99
Figure(4.12) : Left Orthoimage	100
Figure(4.13) : Right Orthoimage	100
Figure(4.14) : Check the Registration	101
Figure(4.15) : Adding GCPs	102
Figure(4.16) : Set DEM Source	102
Figure(4.17) : Auto Tie Properties	103
Figure(4.18) : Auto Tie Summary	103
Figure(4.19) : Performing Triangulation	104
Figure(4.20) : Refinement Summary	104
Figure(4.21) : Triangulation Report	105
Figure(4.22) : DTM Extraction	105
Figure(4.23) : The Extracted DTM	106
Figure(4.24) : Orthorectification	107
Figure(4.25) : Left Orthoimage	108

Figure(4.26) : Right Orthoimage	108
Figure(4.27) : Measuring Building Roofs	109
Figure(4.28) : Measuring Roads Level	109
Figure(4.29) : 3D view of the extracted DSM	110
Figure(4.30) : DSM	110
Figure(4.31) : Initial DSM	111
Figure(4.32) : Auto Building Extraction	112
Figure(4.33) : IKONOS Multispectral Image	114
Figure(4.34) : IKONOS Pan Orthoimage	114
Figure(4.35) : IKONOS Pansharpened image	115
Figure(4.36) : SRTM.....	125
Figure(4.37) : DSM from IKONOS	117
Figure(4.38) : Resulted DSM	117
Figure(4.39) : Classified image	118
Figure(4.40) : Filtering the Classified image	119
Figure(4.41) : City Model of study area	120
Figure(4.42) : City Model before Enhancement.....	121
Figure(4.43) : City Model after processing	121
Figure(4.44) : Unplanned area mapped as building blocks	122
Figure(4.45) : Unplanned areas	123
Figure(4.46) : Planned areas mapped to the level of buildings.....	123
Figure(5.1) : Differences in Building Heights	132
Figure(5.2) : NIMA LE90	136

Chapter (1)

INTRODUCTION

Introduction

1.1 General:

Digital Surface Modeling is being acquired in different application domains ranging from urban planning, archeology and simulation to computer games. The primary focus is placed on 3D objects with a maximum level of detail and a high degree of realism. In others it is placed on spatial context requiring the inclusion of large geospatial data sets. However, all applications relying on reality-based 3D models also have a lot of aspects in common, namely the need for efficient data handling and access and the increasing demand for dynamic contents.

Geographical Information Systems (GIS) shall play an important role in bridging the existing gaps between the different applications domains.

In GIS environments, the third dimension represents fundamental information for efficient disaster simulations (earthquakes, flooding, etc), urban and environmental planning, building monitoring, telecommunication planning, pollution distribution analysis, microclimate investigations, security evaluation, and others. In the field of visualization, the added value provided by a 3D object in comparison to the corresponding 2D plan is incommensurable. By flying through the 3D city models the user can recognize the location and get the true impression of the height of the buildings around him.

1.2 High Resolution Satellite images Planimetric positioning

In many respects a prerequisite to the application of approaches such as affine projection and the DLT is that the imagery itself has to be of high metric integrity. It should be recalled that the Geo product is "a geometrically corrected product that has been rectified to a pre-specified

ellipsoid and map projection" (Space Imaging 2001). Moreover, the imagery is re-sampled by cubic convolution to 1m pixels and in the case of a stereopair it is epipolar resampled. As a means of both ascertaining the planimetric positioning accuracy of 1m Ikonos imagery and of evaluating 'sensor linearity', a number of 3D transformations from image to object space were performed.

The aim was to examine the accuracy in XYZ coordinates resulting from mapping the image data to height-corrected 'planes of control' using various Ground Control Points (GCPs) configurations.

The results of this 2D accuracy analysis, which are reported in Hanley & Fraser (2001), were very encouraging. For three configurations of six GCPs and 20-25 independent checkpoints, RMS positioning accuracies of 0.3-0.5m were obtained. When a best-fit mapping was carried out using all 32 GCPs, the XY discrepancies displayed RMS values ranging from 0.27m to 0.38m, i.e. from about 0.3 to 0.4 pixels. In the absence of any camera model information, it was felt that this straightforward analysis gave a strong indication of the metric integrity of Ikonos Geo imagery, which augured well for the use of linear models in 3D geopositioning. Moreover, the tests demonstrated that in the presence of good quality control and DTM data (or moderately flat terrain) Ikonos imagery readily yields XY positioning accuracies to 0.5 pixels.

Open GIS Consortium (OGC) has developed the Rational function model by the Abstract specification (OGC, 1999) Space Imaging (Grodecki and Dial, 2001). It refers to the camera model as the 'Rational Polynomial Camera (RPC) model, they also refer to the RPC model coefficients.

RPC can also stand for rational polynomial coefficients, a terminology which is also used by Fraser et al (2002a). In the OGC document (OGC, 1999), the polynomial coefficients are also called Rapid Positioning Capability (PRC)

data. Tao in all his publications refers to the rational function model (RFM). The term rational function model will be used and also the term rational polynomial coefficients (RPCs). Rational function model will be used as a generic term, to include the Universal Sensor Model.

An important use of RFM during the past 7 years has been to reproject Ikonos data. Ikonos images can be set up using these RPC's in digital photogrammetric systems such as LPS, SOCET Set and Z/I Imaging and this use will be reported.

These methods allow one to use RFM for 3D reconstruction and stereo measurements. There have been a number of tests carried out to determine the accuracy of the RFM. These break down into those using sensors for which the model is known, such as aerial frame cameras or SPOT, and those using Ikonos data for which the sensor model is not known, and for which the tests must compare only results from the RFM, with ground control points (GCPs). The former are better indicators of the accuracy of the model, although the latter are of more interest.

Tao has carried out extensive tests on different formulations of the RFM, mainly on SPOT and aerial photography, Hu and Tao (2001), Tao and Hu (2001a, 2001b, 2001c). They conclude that the RFM can give very high accuracy for aerial photography and SPOT data in the terrain-independent case. The high order RFM is favorable sometimes, for example, for SPOT data. The normal equations are usually well conditioned. In the terrain dependent case the solution is very sensitive to the GCP distribution, and its design matrix is almost rank-deficient.

Yang (2000) also reports on using the rational function model with aerial photography and SPOT and achieved negligible errors 'when proper polynomial order is used' (under the terrain-independent case). In the case

reported, functions lower than third order was used and the correct order can be chosen, based on Root Mean Square Error(RMSE) threshold.

The RPCs provided with Ikonos imagery allow the object to- image transformation to be performed. This gives accuracy in the 3D object space which is consistent with the specifications for the different Ikonos products such as GEO or Precision. The RPCs for the GEO product, which are expected to produce a RMS positioning accuracy of about 25m (www.spaceimaging.com), are derived solely from satellite ephemeris and attitude data, whereas those for Precision products are computed with the additional aid of ground control.

Grodecki and Dial (2001) report that tests with 140 ground control points gave horizontal accuracy of the order of 1m while vertical accuracy was of the order of 2m from a controlled stereo pair over San Diego. Hanley and Fraser (2001) tested Ikonos Geo product by first projecting the control points onto 'planes of control', to minimize the effect of terrain, and then transform the image to these points using affine and projective transformations.

The results show that 0.3-0.5m geopositioning accuracy is achievable from the Geo product without using the rational function solution. Fraser et al (2002a) have extended this work in two dimensions into three, using similar techniques. The true relative accuracy is shown when the stereo pair is transformed by a translation, in the first case using 1 single ground control point (repeated with 4 single GCPs), and in the second case using 4 ground control points (repeated with four sets of 4 GCPs).

As with the Planimetric test, this does not give a true test of the accuracy of the RPCs because they were computed only using the camera model and

sensor position and attitude recorded by on-board GPS receivers and star trackers.

It does however clearly indicate that the RPCs can give good results with Ikonos data. Similar test results were shown in Baltsavias et al (2001).

1.2.1 Building Extraction from High Resolution Imagery

Building extraction from high resolution satellite images has been an important research topic for the last decade. Particularly, the extraction of 3-D building information from very high resolution imagery, mainly aerial photos (C. Baillard.,1997) or IKONOS (Fraser, et al., 2001.)and Quickbird satellite images (N. Chehata, et al,2003).

In most of the previous studies, black and white aerial images have been used as a single data source due to its high resolution (Huertas and Nevatia, 1988; Kim and Nevatia, 1999; Lin and Nevatia, 1998). These methods were mainly based on edge detection, line extraction, and building polygon generation. Since, the height data appear to be an important source for building extraction in the last few years, Digital Surface Models(DSMs)generated from LASER/LIDAR data have been used in several studies (Alharthy and Bethel, 2002; Priestnall et al, 2000).

Building extraction algorithm was tested on high-resolution aerial photography and resulted in the detection of 87% of the buildings; however the accuracy of the extracted buildings was not reported. A technique based on extracting buildings from high-resolution PAN aerial photography by first identifying their cast shadows was developed by Irvin and McKeown (1989). Building hypotheses are initially generated by grouping detected edges and corners into polygons. Shaded regions are then identified using a variety of image processing techniques, followed by identification and simplification of the shadow edge. The shadow edges are then combined with the building

hypotheses to identify buildings. Building extraction accuracy measures for this approach were not reported.

The availability of high spatial resolution satellite images has provided a new data source for building extraction. When compared with the aerial photographs, the high resolution satellite images provide several advantages that include the low cost, accessibility and repeatability.

1.2.2 Building Extraction from IKONOS Satellite Images:

IKONOS can acquire two (stereo) or more images of the same region simultaneously by agile pointing of the sensor through rotation of the satellite body, reducing thus the differences between these images and facilitating automated processes. This fact, together with the high spatial resolution of the images, the 11-bit quantization and the narrow field-of-view allows and requires an extension of the “classical” satellite image processing methods for the full exploitation of the potential of this data.

Building extraction from IKONO is a complex work . Sohn and Dowman (2001) proposed an automatic method of extracting buildings in densely urban areas from IKONOS images. They used large detached buildings without analysis of accuracy and structure details. Ortnier (2002) implemented optimization and destruction approaches concurrently for building extraction. A point process technique is developed to extract well-structured buildings. Fraser et al. (2002) compared buildings extracted from IKONOS imagery with those obtained using black and white aerial photographs to evaluate the potential of high-resolution images. Haverkamp (2003) implemented a linking edge chain to extract buildings from IKONOS images. Thomas et al (2003) concluded that high-resolution imagery is a

valuable tool for mapping urban areas in extracting land cover information from high-resolution images.

Height maps in the form of DEMs (Digital Elevation Models) or DTMs (Digital Terrain Models) have proven quite useful for building extraction. Gamba, Dell'Aqua, and Houshmand (2002) use SRTM (Shuttle Radar Topography Mission) data to identify buildings. A lower-resolution DEM is created to estimate the underlying terrain and then subtracted from the SRTM. Areas of sufficient residual height are the buildings. It continues on to model the area buildings and extract the planes of roof sections. Hug (1997) uses laser altimeter data. The method combines the height information with surface reflectance, height texture, and surface gradient to distinguish between buildings and elevated vegetation (trees). Huertas, Kim, and Nevatia (2000) supplement elevation data derived from IFSAR with panchromatic aerial data to confirm the presence of individual buildings.

Investigations on DSM generation from high-resolution imagery include the following. Ridley et al. (1997) evaluated the potential of generating a national mapping database of maximum building heights for buildings at least 5 x 10 m in planimetry by using DSMs extracted by matching of 1m aerial imagery. They report that matching has a potential to provide the requested information if the DSM had a spacing of 1-3 m but with lower accuracy (1.5 – 3 m RMS(Root Mean Square Error)) and completeness compared to manual measurements. Muller et al. (2001) use simulated 1m-resolution and IKONOS data for DSM generation and landuse determination to estimate effective aerodynamic roughness for air pollution modelling and determine position of trees close to buildings that may cause soil subsidence for insurance risk assessment. Dial (2000) also reports the expected mapping accuracy of IKONOS. There are no published investigations on the other two operational high-resolution spaceborne systems (EROS-A1 and