# Comparative Study between Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric Band & Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy for Surgical Management of Morbid Obesity

Thesis
Submitted for partial Fulfillment of M.D. Degree
In General Surgery
BY

#### **Ahmed Mostafa Hassan**

MB Bch. M.Sc. Faculty of medicine Cairo University

#### **SUPERVISORS**

## Prof. Mostafa Ahmed Abu-Elsoud

Professor of General and Plastic Surgery Faculty of Medicine Cairo University

## Prof. Ashraf El-Sebaie Mohamed

Professor of General and Plastic Surgery Faculty of Medicine Cairo University

### Ass. Prof. Amr Ibrahim Fouad

## Dr. Mohamed Salah Eldin Mohamed

Assistant Professor of General and Plastic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University

Lecturer of General and Plastic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine
Fayoum University

Faculty of Medicine Cairo University 2012

# جامعه الفاهره / هنيه الصب الدراسات العليا

المستعمل على المستعمدة المستعمدة المستعمدة المراجعة المستعمدة المستعمدة المستعمدة المستعمدة المستعمدة
Herman / Bu agrés mui
توطنة للحصول على درجة الماجستير / الدكتوراه
(8) et el
DIACO CLEATO ELEGO CIENTE VIZIONE VIZIONE VIZIONE PROPRIO MILITARI RELININI LINIATO RELINIO
comparative study between reputs lively so in study between
La Paroscopic adjustable gustric band y Laparoscopic
sleeve gastrecterny For Surgical Manglement of Morbid obe
: باللغة للعربية : دراسه معارنه بين حرام الحدة المتعر
والاستخمال التتم كي الانبوك كي مم الحدة في الملاح
والاستحمال الشركي الانبوك كي مم الحدة في الملاح
بناء على موافقة الجامعة بتاريخ / / ٠٠٠ تم تشكيل لمجلة الفحص والملاقشة المرسالة المذكورة أعلاه على النحو التالي :-
للرسالة المذكورة أعلاه على النحو التالي :-
1. In a graph of the land of t
٧. ا.د ا حج ب لواده ما كر الدلا
٣. ا.دا انعد الحديد الحسيدي ممتعن خارجي
بعد فعص الرسالة بواسطة كل عضو منفردا وكتابة تقارير منفردة لكل منهم انعقدت اللجئة
مجتمعة في يوم الكين بتاريخ ١٠١٥/ ٢٠١٠ بقسم مدرج
بكلية الطب - جامعة القاهرة وذلك لمناقشة الطالب في جلسة علنية في موضوع الرسالة والنتائج
التبي توصيل اليها وكذلك الأسس العلمية المتبي قام عليها البحث ،
قرار اللجلة: [ [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
توقيعات اعضاء اللجلة: -
المشرف للممتحن الممتحن الداخلي الممتحن الخارجي
N. NAMI
The state of the s

# Acknowledgements

First of all, thanks to "Allah" who enabled me to finish this piece of work.

I would like to extend this work to my father, my beloved mother and my supportive wife for thier daily support and prayer.

I would like to express my respect and gratitude to the eminent **Prof. Mostafa Ahmed Abu-Elsoud,** Professor of General and Plastic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for his continuous support, valuable time and guidance throughout this work. It is a great honor and a chance of lifetime to be supervised by him, the knowledgeable scientist for whom no words of praise are sufficient.

I am also offering my warmest thanks to **Prof. Ashraf El-Sebaie**Mohamed, Professor of General and Plastic Surgery, Faculty of

Medicine, Cairo University, for his positive attitude, encouragement,

continuous support and substantial supervision of this work.

I would like to express my endless gratitude and appreciation to Ass. Prof. Amr Ibrahim Fouad, Assistant professor of General and Plastic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for his continuous guidance and encouragement.

My deep thanks to **Dr.Mohamed Salah ELdin Mohamed**, Lecturer of General and Plastic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Fayoum University for his aid and precious remarks. I would like to express my thanks & appreciation to Prof. Ayman EL-Aisway, Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Fayoum University for his kind help and assistance in the practical part of this work.

My deep appreciation to **Prof. Safwat Abd ELkader**, Professor of General and Plastic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for his constant encouragement, valuable advices, unlimited help and kind support. He gave me a lot of his time.

Lastly, I would also like to express my warm feelings to all the staff members of General Surgery department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for their continuous encouragement.

## **Contents**

	Page
Abstract	1
Aim of the Work	2
Objective	3
Review of literature	
Chapter (1):	
Introduction	4
Chapter (2):	
Anatomy and physiology of the stomach	9
Chapter (3):	
Definition and diagnosis of obesity	17
Chapter (4):	
Pathophysiology and metabolic changes of obesity	22
Chapter (5):	
Etiology of obesity	28
Chapter (6):	
Complications of obesity	37

Chapter (7):	
Medical management of obesity	44
Chapter (8):	
Surgical management of obesity	53
Chapter (9):	
Adjustable gastric banding	79
Chapter (10):	
Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy	
Subjects & Methods	141
Results	150
Discussion	163
Summary & Conclusions	180
References	184
Arabic Summary	

## **List of Tables**

Tables		Pages
1	Classification of Obesity by Body Mass Index (BMI).	20
2	Demographic data of the study group as regard age, weight, height and BMI.	151
3	Sex distribution among the study group.	152
4	Preoperative obesity related comorbidities in both groups.	153
5	Major early postoperative complications for both procedures.	153
6	Incidence of postoperative wound infection in both groups.	154
7	Incidence of postoperative respiratory distress in both groups.	155
8	Rate of conversion to open surgery in both procedures.	155
9	Incidence of splenic injury during both procedures.	156
10	Late postoperative complications of both procedures.	156
11	Incidence of gastroesophageal reflux in both groups.	157
12	Incidence of postoperative dysphagia in both groups.	158
13	Incidence of postoperative gastritis in both procedures	158
14	Incidence of postoperative gall stone formation in both procedures.	159
15	Incidence of postoperative hair loss in both groups.	159

16	Follow up of weight and percentage excess weight loss after both procedures.	160
17	Overall Effect of both procedures on Co-morbidities.	162

# **List of Figures**

Figure	Review	Pages
1	Parts of the stomach.	10
2	Stomach, liver and spleen with their arteries and the portal vein. The liver is elevated.	12
3	Currently available bariatric surgical procedures.	59
4	Adjustable gastric band.	62
5	Sleeve gastrectomy.	63
6	Roux En Y Gastric Bypass.	65
7	Gastric band is adjusted by injecting saline into the port.	80
8	The normal appearance and position of the LAGB.	82
9	Banded RYGP.	85
10	Calibration tube for adjustability of the band.	85
	Figures 11- 20 (steps of LAGB).	
11	Retraction of the liver.	86
12	Exposure of the angle of His.	86
13	Dissection of the angle of His.	87
14	Incision of the nearly transparent pars flaccid.	88
15	Exposure of the right crus.	88
16	Incision of the peritoneum just medial to the right crus.	89
17	The grasper is inserted into the space medial to the crus.	90
18	Encircling the stomach with the end-tag of the band.	90
19	Properly positioned gastric band.	91
20	Gastric-to-gastric sutures.	91
21	Radiography showing the LAP-BAND in the correct position. Postoperative esophagogram shows gastric pouch emptying without dilation.	93

22	Anterior prolapse of the gastric pouch.	99
23	Posterior prolapse of the gastric pouch.	99
24	Symmetrical pouch dilatation.	99
25	Posterior gastric prolapse.	100
26	Orientation of the retrogastric tunnel.	101
27	Stoma obstruction due to a band positioned too low.	103
28	Gastric pouch dilatation due to a malpositioned band.	105
29	Chronic band erosion the contrast media surrounds the band.	108
30	Sleeve gastrectomy.	114
	Figures 31-37 (steps of LSG).	
31	Supine split-leg position, also called French position.	124
32	Trocar placement for sleeve gastrectomy.	125
33	Exposure of the entire stomach.	127
34	Dissection of the greater curvature of the stomach.	128
35	Firings of stapler starting 5 to 6 cm proximal to the pylorus.	129
36	Inserting the bougie after the first two stapler firings.	130
37	Buttressing material is needed to complete the gastrectomy.	131

## **List of Abbreviations**

**AgRP** Agouti related peptide.

**BMI** Body Mass Index

**CART** cocaine and amphetamine related transcript.

**CCK** Cholecystokinin.

**CVD** Cardiovascular diseases.

**DEXA** Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry.

**ECL** Enterochromaffin-like cells.

**FTO gene** Fat mass and obesity associated gene.

**GE** Gastroesophageal.

**GERD** Gastroesophageal reflux disease.

**GRP** Glucagon releasing peptide.

**HDL** High density lipoprotein.

**LAGB** Laparoscopic adjustable gastric band.

**LDL** Low density lipoprotein.

**LH** Lateral hypothalamus.

**LSG** Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy.

**NASH** nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

**NPY** neuropeptide Y.

**POMC** pro-opiomelanocortin.

**PPY** PeptideYY.

**RAS** renin-angiotensin system.

**RYGB** Roux en- Y gastric bypass.

**SEC** Surface epithelial cells.

**TNF-α** Tumor necrosis factor-α.

**VBG** Vertical banded gastroplasty.

**VHT** ventromedial hypothalamus.

**WAT** White adipose tissues.

WC Waist Circumference.

WHO World Health Organization

WHR Waist to hip ratio.

# **ABSTRACT**

Ø

Background: Obesity is considered one of the most common global health problems. There are a lot of bariatric surgeries to achieve the desirable weight loss. These operations are classified as either restrictive or malabsorptive, restrictive procedures limit intake by creating a small gastric reservoir with a narrow outlet to delay emptying, malabsorptive procedures bypass varying portions of the small intestine where nutrient absorption occurs. The restrictive procedures such as, laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB) is characterized by being minimally invasive, total possibility of reversibility and good weight loss at long-term. On the other hand laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) is a common restrictive operation for obesity, with more invasiveness and a longer learning curve.

Methods: This comparative prospective and retrospective study was conducted in Kasr El Aini Hospital on 30 patients with morbid obesity with BMI of 40 Kg/m² or more, or BMI of 35 Kg/m² with obesity related comorbidities and all of them suffering 5 years or more of morbid obesity and had failed trials of conservative management and all of them are bulk eater. All patients with psychiatric impairment or those with BMI less than 40 Kg/m² without comorbidities or those who had previous abdominal surgery or other contraindication for laparoscopic surgery or uncontrolled very severe coexisting medical condition or sweet (high caloric fluid) eater will be excluded. Patients were randomly divided into 2 equal groups, A and B of 15 patients each. Group A undergo laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy, while group B undergo laparoscopic adjustable banded gastroplasty. All patients were followed up regularly for 2 years and both groups were compared as regard the functional outcome and morbidity rate and duration of the procedure and effect of both procedures on obesity related comorbidities.

# Aim of the work

This study aims at comparing two of the most used bariatric surgical procedures namely laparoscopic adjustable gastric band and laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy as regard techniques of the procedures, patient safety, morbidity rate and effect of both procedures on excess weight loss and on obesity related comorbidities.

# **Objectives**

- 1. To compare both procedures as regard the functional outcome on the rate of excessive weight loss and on obesity related comorbidities.
- 2. To compare both procedures as regard morbidity rate of both procedures.
- 3. To compare both procedures as regard the cost, technique and simplicity of the procedure, patient safety and length of hospital stay.
- 4. To put clear indication on which patient with morbid obesity is suitable for either laparoscopic adjustable gastric band or laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy.