



**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**

Nonlinear Behavior of Flat Slabs with Openings in Column Strip

BY

Eng. Mohamed Ibrahim Ali Ali

B.Sc. of Civil Engineering
El Mansoura University, Egypt 2003

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements of the
Degree of Master of Science in civil engineering (Structural)

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Omar Ali El-Nawawy

Professor of RC Structures
Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Mohammed Abdelalim

Lecturer; Civil Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering at Mataria
Helwan University

Cairo-2017

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, on April 2017 for the degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural).

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Department of Civil Engineering (Structural Division), Ain Shams University, from April 2013 to April 2017.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other University or Institute.

Date : / /

Name : Mohamed Ibrahim Ali Ali

Signature:

Information about the Researcher

Name: Mohamed Ibrahim Ali Ali

Date of Birth: May 16th, 1980

Place of Birth: Dakahliya, Egypt

Qualifications: B.Sc. Degree in civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, El Mansoura University, Egypt 2003

Present Job: Structural Engineer

Signature:

Acknowledgements

First of all, I would like to thank God for every gift bestowed on me...

Next, I would like to extend my warmest heartfelt gratitude to all my family especially my parents, my sisters, my daughters and my beloved wife who stood by me and supported me in every step of my life. I would like to deeply thank them and convey my sincere appreciation for their assistance, encouragement, support, understanding, and patience.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Omar Ali El-Nawawy; Professor of RC Structures, Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University for his sincere supervision, support, guidance and invaluable advice he generously offered during this work.

I would like to extend sincere thanks to my advisor, Dr. Ahmed Mohammed Abdelalim; Lecturer; Civil Engineering Dept. Faculty of Engineering at Mataria, Helwan University for providing the guidance necessary to complete this research and also for his constant encouragement, support, and friendship which was the motivating force that kept work on my thesis in force until completion. I would like to express my admiration and thanks for his loyalty and trustfulness.

I should thank everyone helped me in making this work to see the light. My wholehearted gratitude and unlimited love to all of them.

Finally, I'd like to dedicate this work to my parents, my sisters, my lovely wife and my daughters **Salma, Sama and lina**.

Abstract

In the last few decades, greater effort has been given to explicitly evaluate how reinforced concrete buildings are likely to perform during earthquakes. As being one of the special reinforced concrete structural forms flat slabs-columns systems, it needs further attention about its adequacy to resist the earthquake, especially if constructed with large opening in the columns strip.

This study presents through three-dimensional simulations, a nonlinear static analysis to assess the seismic performance of this kind of buildings. The target buildings are assumed to be located in Cairo and designed according to Egyptian code seismic standards. The nonlinear static pushover analysis is performed following the Applied Technology Council procedures (ATC) in assessing the performance of these buildings under moderate earthquake motion.

The results of the study conclude that at the performance point, the point at which the demand made on the structure by seismic load is exactly equal to the capacity of the structure; the performance of the structure is assessed by the structure state at this point and this can be achieve by studying the plastic hinges status that formed at different locations in the structure when the structure reaches its performance point. It is observed that hinges form beyond Collapse Prevention (CP) is zero and hinges were in Life Safety to Collapse Prevention range (LS-CP), overall performance of the studied buildings acts like life safety to collapse prevention and in this event, significant yielding occurred in the slabs-columns connections of the lower stories causing decrease in the structure rigidity and original strength. However before collapse would occur, a substantial margin remains for additional lateral deformation. The structure should be possible to repair; however, for economic reasons this may not be practical. According to ATC categories, these buildings perform well under seismic loads and it is capable of sustaining moderate earthquake if properly designed according to the Egyptian code seismic standards.

NOTATIONS

W_u	Factored load per unit area
V_g	Direct shear force due to gravity load only
α	Effective width factor
β	Factor representing cracking effects (0.33 to 0.5)
l_e	Elastic effective beam width,
β	Stiffness reduction factor due to cracking,
ξ	Time - dependent factor
L_{sup}	Superimposed Load
L_{sus}	Sustained Load
$\sum K_c$	Refers to the sum the flexural stiffness of the columns above and below the slab
I_c	Moment of inertia of the columns
θ_t, avg	A rotation for a unit moment
K_t	The torsional stiffness
C	Modulus of rigidity
E	Modulus of elasticity of concrete
b_w	The span between two supports in the direction being considered
b_0	The perimeter along the critical section
f_c	Concrete compressive strength
v_u	The factored shear stress
ϕ	The stress reduction factor for shear
V_n	The nominal shear stress
V_u	The factored shear force acting at the centroid of the critical section
M_u	The factored unbalanced bending moment
J	Property of the critical section analogous to the polar moment of inertia
v_c	The nominal shear stress resistance
VR	The shear ratio
α_1	Mass coefficient factor
PF_1	Participation factor
ϕ_i	Roof level amplitude
λ	Factor (it also called K-factor in ATC-40) (See table 3-1 and figure 3-1)
β_o	Hysteretic damping
ED	Energy dissipated
E_{so}	Maximum strain energy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgments	i
Abstract	ii
Notations	iii
Table of contents	iv
List of figures	vii
List of tables	ix

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 General	1
1.2 Objective of the study	2
1.3 Thesis scope	2

Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1 General	3
2.2 Flat slab design under gravity loading	3
2.3 Flat slab design under seismic loading	9
2.3.1 Effective beam width method	9
2.3.2 Equivalent column method	12
2.4 Unbalanced moment transfer width	16
2.5 Force and moment analysis	20
2.5.1 Shear in flat slab buildings	20
2.5.2 Moment transfer at slab column connections	24
2.6 Connection deformation capacity	31
2.7 Openings in the flat slab	33

Chapter 3: Nonlinear Modeling and Analysis

3.1 General	35
3.2 Inelastic analysis	35
3.2.1 Capacity spectrum procedures (CSM)	36
3.3 Structural elements modeling for nonlinear static analysis	42
3.3.1 Flat slab modeling	42
3.4 Study variables and constants	43
3.4.1 Geometry	43
3.4.2 Material properties	51
3.4.3 Gravity loads	51
3.4.4 Lateral static loads equivalent to seismic loads	51
3.4.5 Section properties and reinforcement details	54

Chapter 4: Results and Discussions

4.1 General	57
4.2 Inelastic results and evaluation	57
4.2.1 Case study A-1	58
4.2.1.1 Performance point	58
4.2.1.2 Performance level	58
4.2.1.3 Plastic hinge patterns	58
4.2.1.4 Mode of failure	58
4.2.2 Case study A-2	63
4.2.2.1 Performance point	63
4.2.2.2 Performance level	63
4.2.2.3 Plastic hinge patterns	63
4.2.2.4 Mode of failure	63
4.2.3 Case study A-3	71
4.2.3.1 Performance point	71
4.2.3.2 Performance level	71
4.2.3.3 Plastic hinge patterns	71
4.2.3.4 Mode of failure	71
4.2.4 Case study B-1	79
4.2.4.1 Performance point	79
4.2.4.2 Performance level	79
4.2.4.3 Plastic hinge patterns	79
4.2.4.4 Mode of failure	79
4.2.5 Case study B-2	84
4.2.5.1 Performance point	84
4.2.5.2 Performance level	84
4.2.5.3 Plastic hinge patterns	84
4.2.5.4 Mode of failure	84
4.2.6 Case study B-3	92
4.2.6.1 Performance point	92
4.2.6.2 Performance level	92
4.2.6.3 Plastic hinge patterns	92
4.2.6.4 Mode of failure	92
4.3 Comparison of the nonlinear results	100
4.3.1 Strength factor	100

4.3.2 Ductility ratio	102
4.3.3 Maximum base shear	104
4.3.3 Performance point base shear	105

Chapter 5: Summary and Conclusions

5.1 General	106
5.2 Summary	106
5.3 Conclusions	107
5.4 Recommendations for future work	108

REFERENCES	109
-------------------	-----

ARABIC SUMMARY

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
Figure 2.1: Division of slab into frame for design	5
Figure 2.2: Assignment of M_o to positive and negative moment region	5
Figure 2.3: Frame action and twisting of edge beam	15
Figure 2.4: Effective width for reinforcement placement in edge and corner connections	17
Figure 2.5: Shear failure in slab	21
Figure 2.6: Failure surface defined by punching shear	22
Figure 2.7: Failure surface defined by punching shear	28
Figure 2.8: Shear stress due to unbalanced moments at interior columns	29
Figure 2.9: Shear stress due to unbalanced moments at edge columns	30
Figure 2.10: Relationship for determining adequacy of slab-column connections in seismic regions	33
Figure 3.1: Energy dissipated	39
Figure 3.2: Reduced response spectrum	40
Figure 3.3: Performance point	41
Figure 3.4: Different stages of plastic hinge	41
Figure 3.5: Typical plan of building type A1	45
Figure 3.6: Typical plan of building type A2	46
Figure 3.7: Typical plan of building type A3	47
Figure 3.8: Typical plan of building type B1	48
Figure 3.9: Typical plan of building type B2	49
Figure 3.10: Typical plan of building type B3	50
Figure 3.16: Typical floor slab RFT details for building type A and B	55
Figure 3.18: Column section details for building type A	55
Figure 3.19: Column section details for building type B	56
Figure 4.1: Capacity and demand spectrum curve - Building type A1	59
Figure 4.2: History of formation of plastic hinges-step (1, 2 and 3) in Y-direction for model type A1	61
Figure 4.3: Mode of failure for model type A1 in Y-direction	62
Figure 4.4: Capacity and demand spectrum curve - Building type A2	64
Figure 4.5: History of formation of plastic hinges-step (1, 2 and 3) in X-direction for model type A2	67
Figure 4.6: History of formation of plastic hinges-step (1, 2 and 3) in Y-direction for model type A2	68
Figure 4.7: Mode of failure for model type A2 in X-direction	69
Figure 4.8: Mode of failure for model type A2 in Y-direction	70
Figure 4.9: Capacity and demand spectrum curve - Building type A3	72

Figure 4.10: History of formation of plastic hinges-step (1, 2 and 3) in X-direction for model type A3	75
Figure 4.11: History of formation of plastic hinges-step (1, 2 and 3) in Y-direction for model type A3	76
Figure 4.12: Mode of failure for model type A3 in X-direction	77
Figure 4.13: Mode of failure for model type A3 in Y-direction	78
Figure 4.14: Capacity and demand spectrum curve - Building type B1	80
Figure 4.15: History of formation of plastic hinges-step (1, 2 and 3) in X-direction for model type B1	82
Figure 4.16: Mode of failure for model type B1 in X-direction	83
Figure 4.17: Capacity and demand spectrum curve - Building type B2	85
Figure 4.18: History of formation of plastic hinges-step (1, 2 and 3) in X-direction for model type B2	88
Figure 4.19: History of formation of plastic hinges-step (1, 2 and 3) in Y-direction for model type B2	89
Figure 4.20: Mode of failure for model type B2 in X-direction	90
Figure 4.21: Mode of failure for model type B2 in Y-direction	91
Figure 4.22: Capacity and demand spectrum curve - Building type B3	93
Figure 4.23: History of formation of plastic hinges-step (1, 2 and 3) in X-direction for model type B3	96
Figure 4.24: History of formation of plastic hinges-step (1, 2 and 3) in Y-direction for model type B3	96
Figure 4.25: Mode of failure for model type B3 in X-direction	98
Figure 4.26: Mode of failure for model type B3 in Y-direction	99
Figure 4.27: Comparison of the strength factors	101
Figure 4.28: Comparison of the ductility ratios	103
Figure 4.29: Comparison of maximum base shear	104
Figure 4.30: Comparison of performance point base shear	105

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table 2.1: Distribution of total factored static moment, M_o in an exterior span	6
Table 3.1: Values for damping modification factor λ	40
Table 3.2: Minimum allowable value for SRA and SRV factor	40
Table 3.3: Applied technology council categories ATC	42
Table 3.4: Material characteristic	51
Table 3.5: Gravity loads	51
Table 3.6: Seismic parameters per EC	53
Table 3.7: Lateral force on each story	54
Table 4.1: Capacity / demand comparison in Y-direction for model type A1	60
Table 4.2: Capacity / demand comparison in X-direction for model type A2	65
Table 4.3: Capacity / demand comparison in Y-direction for model type A2	66
Table 4.4: Capacity / demand comparison in X-direction for model type A3	73
Table 4.5: Capacity / demand comparison in Y-direction for model type A3	74
Table 4.6: Capacity / demand comparison in X-direction for model type B1	81
Table 4.7: Capacity / demand comparison in X-direction for model type B2	86
Table 4.8: Capacity / demand comparison in Y-direction for model type B2	87
Table 4.9: Capacity / demand comparison in X-direction for model type B3	94
Table 4.10: Capacity / demand comparison in Y-direction for model type B3	95

CHAPTER-1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Nowadays, flat slabs-columns buildings have become widespread in Egypt and the presence of diaphragm opening in these buildings are often unavoidable; it's frequently required to provide accessibility such as elevators, stairs and air condition ducts. Usually, in Egypt, the designers are using the linear-elastic method during the design process for these types of buildings following the Egyptian code seismic standards but this method cannot give clear vision about the seismic adequacy for these buildings. Thus in the last few decades, the structural engineer community moved away from simplified linear elastic methods and start using a nonlinear technique such as pushover analysis and nonlinear dynamic methods in assessing buildings damage vulnerability.

This study presents through three-dimensional simulations, pushover analysis to assess seismic performance of flat slab-columns buildings with/without diaphragm opening. ETABS program is used for implementing buildings models and performing the inelastic static analysis. The nonlinear static pushover analysis is performed following the applied technology council procedures ATC-40 in assessing the performance of these buildings under moderate earthquake.

In this research, six buildings with common configurations and with different parametric studies were investigated. The target buildings are assumed to be located in Cairo and designed according to Egyptian code seismic standards as a flat slab-columns system. Buildings used in the study are a four-story and eight-story flat slab buildings representing low and medium-rise flat slab buildings that can be constructed without shear walls.

1.2 Objective of the study

1. Studying the nonlinear behavior for flat slab-columns buildings with/without large diaphragm opening which designed according to the Egyptian seismic standards.
2. Assess the performance of this type of buildings under earthquake motions following the applied technology council procedures ATC-40.

1.3 Thesis scope

This thesis consists of five chapters, which are briefly outlined as follows:

Chapter (1): Presents the introduction and the objective of this study,

Chapter (2): Presents the available previous literature related to this study,

Chapter (3): Presents pushover technique, the evaluation procedures, geometry and design of the studied buildings and also present the method that used for the nonlinear modeling,

Chapter (4): Presents the nonlinear analyses results, the evaluation according to the applied technology council procedures ATC-40 and the discussions,

Chapter (5): Presents the important conclusions and the future works. Finally, a list of references is given.

CHAPTER-2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 General

The flat slab structure consists of a solid beamless slab with uniform thickness supported on the columns. The easy of construction and economy of flat slab buildings lead to its widespread throughout the world. The flat-slab structure is generally used for lightly loaded structures such as apartments, hotels, and office. Buildings with long spans or heavier loads, the designer use column head or drop panels to decrease punching shear stresses.

2.2 Flat slab design under gravity loading

Flat slabs can be designed by any procedure that satisfies the required strength and serviceability conditions. Generally, some of the methods employed for the design are;

- a. Direct design method,
- b. Equivalent frame method,
- c. The yield-line method,
- d. The finite element method.

ACI [4], BS [7] and EC [9] indicate that if the columns are located on straight lines with a difference not more than 10%, the designer can use the empirical method or the equivalent frame method. The strip method and equivalent frame method are the most common methods for calculating flexure in the flat slab. The equivalent frame method means that, the structure is considered to be divided into frames while the strip method means that the slab panels must be divided into column and field strips.