# EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LABIAL MARGIN DESIGNS, LUTING AGENTS ON THE VERTICAL MARGINAL ADAPTATION AND MICROLEAKAGE OF CERAMOMETALIC RESTORATION

A

Master Thesis

Submitted to Crown and Bridge Department

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

In Partial fulfillment of the requirements for Master's Degree in Fixed Prosthodontics By Mai Nabil Tawfik El Dabe

B.D.S Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine (2001)

Cairo University

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University
2011

### Supervisors

## DR. AMINA MOHAMED HAMDY

Assistant Professor and Head of
Crown and Bridge Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

## DR. GEHAN FAROUK YOUNIS

Assistant Professor in Crown and Bridge Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

## تأثير اختلاف تصميم الحافة الشفاوية والمادة اللاصقة على التطابق الحافى العمودى والتسريب للتركيبات المعدنية الخزفية

بحث مقدم كجزء من مقومات الحصول على درجة الماجستير في التيجان والجسور كلية طب الأسنان – جامعة عين شمس

مقدمه من الطبيبة من المنبية من الطبيبة من المنبية من المنبية القامريوس طب جراحة القم والأسنان جامعة القاهرة عليه المنان 2001

كلية طب الأسنان جامعة عين شمس 2010

## إشراف الدكتورة/ أمينة محمد حمدى أستاذ مساعد ورئيس قسم التيجان والجسور كلية طب الأسنان – جامعة عين شمس

الدكتورة/ جيهان فاروق يونس أستاذ مساعد بقسم التيجان والجسور كلية طب الأسنان – جامعة عين شمس

#### **Summary**

The principal aim of this investigation was to evaluate the effect of different labial margin designs (Knife Edge, Collarless and Butt Joint) together with different luting agents (Zinc Phosphate, Ketac Cem and Rely X Unicem) on the vertical marginal gap before and after cementation and coronal microleakage of ceramometalic restoration.

45 intact caries free recently extracted human molars were selected with approximate similarity in size and shape. They were machined cut stimulating the prepared molar of 6mm length, 6.5 mm cervical diameter and 6° Cervico-occlusal angle.

The wax pattern was fabricated by the aid of specially designed copper counter die

Wax pattern were built up on the working die according to labial margin design into three different coping designs;

- 1- 15 Copings with wide cervical knife edge
- 2- 15 Collarless Copings
- 3- 15 Butted margin Copings.

After spruing, investing, casting and finishing, porcelain was applied, condensed and fired according to manufacturer's instructions. Porcelain application technique is the same for knife edge and butt margin while with collarless crowns direct lift up technique was used.

The vertical marginal gap of samples was measured using (High resolution digital microscope with a fixed magnification of 65X

Restoration were cemented to their corresponding prepared teeth using three different luting agents as follow

## Acknowledgement

First of all, I would like to express my utmost gratitude and sincere appreciation to **Dr. Amina Mohamed Hamdy**, Assistant Professor of Crown and Bridge Head of Crown and Bridge Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for hear constant advice, scientific guidance, special care and her warm support during the accomplishment of this work.

Deep Thanks are also due to **Dr**. **Gehan Farouk Younis**, Assistant Professor of Crown and Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her valuable advice, constant support and encouragement and for providing me with unlimited time during the course of this research that this study would have not been completed without.

#### Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to those who made great contributions in my life; to my Father who is the greatest support I got and my role model. I wish I make him proud.

To my Mother, her constant love and care made me the person I am now, I owe her everything.

To my husband, who made life pleasant because I am sharing it with him.

Finally I also dedicate this to my kids, the love of my life Abdel Hamid and Omar.

They are giving me smiles and they are forcing me to be a better person, a better mom and a better dentist

Maí Nabíl

## **List of Contents**

List of Figures	iv
List of Tables	vii
Introduction	1
Review of the Literature	2
Aim of the Study	21
Materials and Methods	22
Results	41
Discussion	61
Summary	68
Conclusion	70
References	71
Arabic Summary	

## **List of Figures**

Figure No.		Page No.
1	Rely X Unicem APlicap, 3M ESPE	22
2	Ketac Cem Aplicap, 3M ESPE	22
3	Zinc Phosphate Adhesor® Cement Sofa Dental Akerr Company	23
4	Methylene Blue Dye Jorgensen Laboratories	23
5	Impergum System + 3MF (3M ESPE)	24
6	Metaplus VK Dentallegierung Base Metal Alloy	24
7	Noritake EX-3 (Made in Japan)	25
8	Investment Material	25
9	Wax Pattern	26
10	Standardized Prepared Sample Embedded In Acrylic Resin Blocks	28
11	Schematic Drawing showing standardized preparation Dimensions of the Die	28
12	Showing Copper Counter Die	29
13	Specially Designed Tray	30
14	Different Labial Margin Designs of Coping	30
15	Wax Pattern of Coping	31
16	Showing Metal Coping of Knife Edge Margin Crown	33
17	Showing Metal Coping of Collarless Margin Crown	33
18	Showing Metal Coping of Butt Margin Crowns	34
19	Showing Metal Ceramic Crown with Knife Edge Margin	36

20	Showing Metal Ceramic Crown with Collarless Margin	36
21	Showing Metal Ceramic Crown with Butt Margin	37
22	Specially fabricated holding device	38
23	Specially Designed and fabricated loading device	40
24	High Resolution Digital Microscope	40
25	A column chart of marginal gap mean values before/after cementation and seating discrepancy for cement groups with different margin	43
26	Vertical Marginal Gap measurements for Collarless Subgroup after cementation.	45
27	A column chart of marginal gap mean values for different cements with collarless margin before/after cementation and discrepancy	46
28	Vertical Marginal Gap measurements for Knife Edge Subgroup after cementation	46
29	A column chart of marginal gap mean values for different cements with Knife Edge margin before/after cementation and discrepancy	48
30	Vertical Marginal Gap measurements for Butt Joint Subgroup after cementation	48
31	A column chart of marginal gap mean values for different cements with Butt Jointmargin before/after cementation and discrepancy	50
32	Histogram of marginal adaptation mean values for each margin as function of cement	51
33	A column chart of marginal leakage scores (%) for all cement groups with different margin	53
34	Digital microscopic image representative for score1 marginal leakage attributed for all groups (Magnification 65X)	54

35	Digital microscopic image representative for score2 marginal leakage attributed for all groups (Magnification 65X)	54
36	Digital microscopic image representative for score3 marginal leakage attributed for all groups (Magnification 65X)	55
37	A stacked column chart of marginal leakage scores (%) for all cement groups with collarless margin	56
38	A stacked column chart of marginal leakage scores (%) for all cement groups with Knife Edge margin	57
39	A stacked column chart of marginal leakage scores (%) for all cement groups with Butt Joint margin	59
40	Histogram of microleakage mean values for each margin as function of cement	60

## **List of Tables**

Table No.		Page No.
1	Sample Grouping of the Study	34
2	Cervical Marginal gap results (Mean±SD) for tested groups:	42
3	One Way Analysis of variance ANOVA between margin Design before and after cementation	44
4	Comparison between marginal gap results (Mean±SD) before/after cementation and discrepancy for collarless margin with different cements	45
5	Comparison between marginal gap results (Mean±SD) before/after cementation and discrepancy for Knife Edge margin with different cements	47
6	Comparison between marginal gap results (Mean ±SD) before/after cementation and discrepancy for Butt Joint margin with different cements	49
7	Two way analysis of variance ANOVA comparing variables affecting marginal adaptation	51
8	Marginal leakage scores (%) for all cement Subgroups with different margin	52
9	Marginal leakage scores (%) for all cement groups with collarless margin	56
10	Marginal leakage scores (%) for all cement groups with Knife Edge margin	57
11	Marginal leakage scores (%) for all cement groups with Butt Joint margin	58
12	Two way analysis of variance ANOVA comparing variables affecting microleakage	60

#### Introduction

Esthetic dentistry is currently a main theme of dentistry and patients are interested in restorative materials which look and feel like natural teeth.

The search for ideal materials to restore missing tooth structure has resulted in the availability of esthetically acceptable restorative material such as glass filled, resin based composite resin, metal ceramic crown and other types of improved porcelain crowns. (100)

Porcelain Fused To Metal restorations still commonly used due to its cosmetic appearance, durability and versatility of use for both single restoration and fixed partial denture. (42)

The success of dental cast restoration depends basically on good retention and accurate marginal fitness

Defective margins develop a gap at the tooth restoration interface which allow for microorganisms, chemicals and fluids to percolate through. (83)

In spite of recent development in dental materials and improvement in technical procedures regarding impression making alloys, marginal leakage is still involved in crown and bridge failure

Marginal integrity of cast restoration is a function of three main factors which are the margin of the preparation, the margin of the restoration and the sealing ability of cementing medium

The type of finishing line as well as the type of casting alloy is important factors which contribute to the integrity of the cast restoration. (54)

Many Researches had tried to establish a relationship between different margin designs and luting agents and their effect on the vertical marginal adaptation and microleakage of ceramometalic restoration. This relation is still doubtful and there is a conflict between different results.

#### **Review of Literature**

Metal Ceramic exactly means a metal casting or coping which fits over the tooth preparation and ceramic that is fused to the coping.

When the porcelain was developed with co-efficient of thermal expansion similar to the existing dental casting alloys, porcelain restorations were introduced in the market as porcelain fused to gold and Porcelain Fused to Metal (PFM), The term Metal Ceramic Restoration (MCR) commonly used in the dental literature during 1970's and 1980's.

#### **Advantages of Porcelain-Fused-to-Metal Restorations**

- Clinically-proven longevity and fracture resistance: fracture rate of porcelain-fused-to-metal crowns and bridges were reported to be as low as 2.3% after 7.5 years. (52) Combines the esthetics of porcelain with the strength, marginal adaptation, and accuracy of cast metal restorations. (41)
- More resistant to fracture than conventional all-ceramic crowns. The slight difference in the coefficient of thermal expansion between the metal and ceramic causes the ceramic to undergo residual compressive stresses following the cooling process during crown fabrication. This constant residual compressive stresses resists the tensile stresses the crown is subjected to rendering the crown stronger and more fracture resistant. (51)
- A more conservative preparation provides adequate thickness for porcelain-fused-to-metal crowns when compared to all-ceramic crowns.
- No wear of ceramic by abrasion or attrition.

- No change of color due to no microleakage between the veneer and the metal. (52)
- More cervical adaptation than all ceramics

#### **Limitations of Porcelain-Fused-to-Metal Restorations**

- Non-ideal esthetics since:
  - The metal at the gingival margin may cause gingival discoloration (111)
  - The dark metal core may show through in areas with minimal porcelain thickness especially at the gingival third
  - The metal margin may become visible if gingival recession occurs
- Metal hypersensitivity

#### **Cervical Marginal Adaptation**

Cervical marginal adaptation is a very important aspect for fixed restoration because a large marginal opening allows more plaque accumulation, gingival sulcular fluid flow, and bone loss, resulting in microleakage, recurrent caries, and periodontal disease (88,89)

Variation exists regarding what constitutes a clinically acceptable margin. <sup>(52)</sup> **Machlen and Von Fraunhofer**, <sup>(66)</sup> **1971** proposed that restoration would be successful if marginal gaps and cement thicknesses of less than 120  $\mu$  could be achieved.

The longevity of fixed restoration is critically linked to the retention and marginal fit, which are affected by many factors, but all are related to the properties of the luting cement. (78)