

# **The Effect of Intermediate Layer of Three Flowable Adhesive Materials on Microleakage of Packable Composite Resin Restorations**

## **Thesis**

Submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,  
Cairo University in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the  
Master Degree in Pediatric Dentistry

*By*

**Hazim Mohamed Rizk Mohamed**

**B.D.S. Alexandria University**

**Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine**

**Cairo University**

**(2008)**

# **SUPERVISORS**

**Prof. Dr. Nevine Gamil Waly**

*Professor of Pediatric Dentistry*

*Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine*

*Cairo University*

**Dr. Gihan Mohamed Abu El-Niel**

*Lecturer of Pediatric Dentistry*

*Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine*

*Cairo University*

# DEDICATION

*To my mother and my father for their constant  
support and sacrifice*

*To my wife and my children*

*To my supervisors whose guidance,  
encouragement, help and Support made this  
work possible*

# *Acknowledgment*

## **Acknowledgment**

It has been a great honor and pleasure to undertake this research under supervision of Prof. Dr. Nevine Gamil Waly Professor *of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine, Cairo University*. I am very grateful for her continuous help, support and encouragement till accomplishment of this work.

I also wish to express my deep thanks to my supervisor Dr. Gihan Mohamed Abu El-Niel Lecturer *of Pediatric Dentistry Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine, Cairo University* for her continuous, unlimited, endless help during all parts of this study.

Special thanks for Professor Dr.Sameer Abu El-Azm and Dr.Khaled Sameer Abu El-Azm for their help and support.

Finally my deep thanks go to my sister and brother who helped in editing, presenting and revising of this work.

# LIST OF CONTENTS

| Title                        | Page |
|------------------------------|------|
| List of abbreviations.....   | vii  |
| List of Tables.....          | viii |
| List of Figures.....         | x    |
| Introduction.....            | 1    |
| Review of Literature.....    | 3    |
| Aim of Study.....            | 38   |
| Materials and Methods.....   | 39   |
| Results.....                 | 66   |
| Discussion.....              | 96   |
| Summary and Conclusions..... | 113  |
| Recommendations.....         | 115  |
| References.....              | 116  |
| Arabic Summary.....          |      |

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

LDP=Linear Dye Penetration

mm=millimeters

Packable=packable composite

HB=Heavy Body

F.Composite=Flowable Composite

RMGI=Resin Modified Glass Ionomer

F.Compomer=Flowable Compomer

ADA=American Dental Association

CEJ=Cemento Enamel Junction

## LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE     |   | PAGE |
|-----------|---|------|
| Table (1) | Description, Composition, and Manufacturer of the Materials Used.   | 39   |
| Table (2) | Criteria of Microleakage Evaluation of Dye Penetration along the Tooth – Restoration Interface  | 51   |
| Table (3) | Statistical Analysis of the Effect of Different Restorative groups on the Leakage Score along the Tooth- Restoration Interface at the Occlusal Margins                                | 71   |
| Table (4) | Statistical Analysis of the Effect of Different Restorative groups on the Leakage Score along the Tooth-Restoration Interface at the Cervical Margins                                 | 74   |
| Table (5) | Statistical Analysis of the Effect of different Restorative Groups on the Linear Dye Penetration in Mellimeters along the Tooth-Restoration Interface at the Occlusal/Enamel Margins. | 76   |
| Table (6) | Statistical Analysis of the Effect of Different Restorative Groups on the Linear Dye Penetration in Mellimeters along the Tooth-Restoration Interface at the Cervical Margins.        | 78   |
| Table (7) | Statistical Analysis of the Effect of Packable Composite Restorative Group on the Leakage Score along the Tooth-Restoration Interface at the Cervical and Occlusal Margins.           | 80   |



|            |   |    |
|------------|---|----|
| Table (8)  | Statistical Analysis of the Effect of Flowable Composite Liner on the Leakage Score along the Tooth-Restoration Interface at the cervical and Occlusal Margins.                           | 82 |
| Table (9)  | Statistical Analysis of the Effect of Resin Modified Glass Ionomer liner on the Leakage score along the Tooth-Restoration Interface at the Cervical and Occlusal Margins.                 | 84 |
| Table (10) | Statistical Analysis of the Effect of Flowable Compomer Liner on the Leakage Score along the Tooth-Rstoration Interface at the Cervical and Occlusal Margins.                             | 86 |
| Table (11) | Comparison between Occlusal and Cervical Margins Regarding the Linear Dye Penetration(LDP) in Mellimeters along the Tooth-Restoration Interface of Packable Composite Restoration.        | 88 |
| Table (12) | Comparison between Occlusal and Cervical Margins Regarding the Linear DyePenetration in Mellimeters along the Tooth-Restoration Interface of Flowable.composite.                          | 90 |
| Table (13) | Comparison between Occlusal/Enamel and Cervical/Dentin margins Regarding the Linear Dye Penetration in Mellimeters along the Tooth-Restoration Interface of Resin Modified Glass Ionomer. | 92 |
| Table (14) | Comparison between Occlusal and cervical Margins Regarding the Linear Dye Penetration in Mellimeters along the Tooth-Restoration Interface of Flowable Compomer                           | 94 |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURES     |   | PAGE |
|-------------|---|------|
| Figure (1)  | Cavifil Injector with Cavifil Tetric Ceram HB   | 52   |
| Figure (2)  | Cavifil Tetric Flow Flowable Composite  | 52   |
| Figure (3)  | Cavifil Compoglass Flow Flowable compomer   | 53   |
| Figure (4)  | Injectable GC Fuji II LC Capsule, Cavity Conditioner and Metallic Capsule Applier   | 54   |
| Figure (5)  | Total Etch, Excite and Brush Applicators  | 54   |
| Figure (6)  | Window Cut in the Transparent No. 1 Band to Standardize Outer Cavity Dimensions   | 55   |
| Figure (7a) | Tooth After Removal from Matrix Band with Marked Cavity Area, Width of Cavity is 3 Mellimeters as Indicated by periodontal probe                  | 55   |
| Figure (7b) | Tooth after Removal from Matrix Band with Marked Cavity Area, Height of Cavity is 2 Mellimeters as Indicated by Periodontal Probe                 | 56   |
| Figure(7c)  | Standardized Cavity after Preparation   | 56   |
| Figure(7d)  | The Restored Tooth with Packable Composite  | 57   |
| Figure(8)   | Cylindrical Fissure Carbide Burs no. 12, Komet co. Germany Marked to Standardize Cavity Depth to 1.5 Mellimeters with Graduated Periodontal Probe | 57   |

|             |   |    |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure(9)   | BlueLuxcer™ Auto-Adjustable Curing System   | 58 |
| Figure(10)  | Tofflemire II for Composite Materials   | 59 |
| Figure(11)  | Optrafine Finishing and Polishing System for Composite Restorations                       | 59 |
| Figure(12)  | Manual thermocycling Machine  | 60 |
| Figure(13a) | Digital Scale weighing Methylene Blue powder  | 61 |
| Figure(13b) | PH Meter measuring 2 % Methylene Blue After Buffering.                                    | 61 |
| Figure(14)  | Lab Micromotor, NSK, Ultimate 500, Nakanishi Inc, Japan Used to Cut Teeth into Two Halves | 62 |
| Figure(15)  | Olympus Stereomicroscope (Japan) with Cam Media Connected to IBM Compatible Computer      | 62 |
| Figure(16)  | Image Analysis Software (Image J 1.37v) used in Linear Dye Penetration Measurement        | 63 |
| Figure(17)  | Image J software window used in Linear Dye Penetration Measurements                       | 63 |
| Figure(18)  | Calibration of the Software   | 64 |

|            |   |    |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure(19) | Measurement Method of Linear Dye Penetration in One Specimen  | 64 |
| Figure(20) | Scoring System Used in Dye Penetration  | 65 |
| Figure(21) | Stereophotomicrograph of Dye Penetration at the cervical and Occlusal Margins of Packable Composite Tetric Ceram HB.              | 67 |
| Figure(22) | Stereophotomicrograph of Dye Penetration at the Cervical and Occlusal Margins of Packable Composite Tetric Ceram HB               | 67 |
| Figure(23) | Stereophotomicrograph of Dye Penetration at the Cervical and Occlusal Margins of Flowable Composite Tetric Flow                   | 68 |
| Figure(24) | Stereophotomicrograph of Dye Penetration at the cervical and Occlusal Margins of Flowable Composite Tetric Flow                   | 68 |
| Figure(25) | Stereophotomicrograph of Dye Penetration at the cervical and Occlusal Margins of Resin Modified Glass Ionomer GC Fuji II Capsules | 69 |
| Figure(26) | Stereophotomicrograph of Dye Penetration at the Cervical and Occlusal Margins of Resin Modified Glass Ionomer GC Fuji II Capsules | 69 |
| Figure(27) | Stereophotomicrograph of Dy Penetration at the cervical and Occlusal Margins of Flowable Compomer Compoglass Flow                 | 70 |
| Figure(28) | Stereophotomicrograph of Dye Penetration at the Occlusal and cervical Margins of Flowable Compomer Compoglass Flow                | 70 |
| Figure(29) | Comparison of Percentage of Each Leakage Score of Each Restorative Group at the Occlusal Margins                                  | 73 |
| Figure(30) | Comparison of Percentage of each Leakage Score of Each Restorative Group at the Cervical Margin.                                  | 75 |

|            |  |    |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure(31) | Comparison of Mean and Standard Deviation of Each Restorative Group at the Occlusal Margins  | 77 |
| Figure(32) | Comparison of Mean and Standard Deviation of Each Restorative Group at the Cervical Margins  | 79 |
| Figure(33) | Comparison of Percentage of Each Leakage Score of Packable Composite at the Occlusal and Cervical Margins                              | 81 |
| Figure(34) | Comparison of Percentage of Each Leakage Score of Flowable composite Liner at the Occlusal and cervical Margins                        | 83 |
| Figure(35) | Comparison of Percentage of Each Leakage Score of Resin Modified Glass Ionomer Liner at the Occlusal and cervical Margins              | 85 |
| Figure(36) | Comparison of Percentage of Each Leakage Score of Flowable Compomer liner at the Occlusal and cervical Margins                         | 87 |
| Figure(37) | Comparison of Mean $\pm$ SD of Linear Dye Penetration of Packable Composite Restorative Material at the Occlusal and Cervical Margins. | 89 |
| Figure(38) | Comparison of Mean $\pm$ SD of Linear Dye Penetration of F.Composite at the Occlusal and Cervical Margins                              | 91 |
| Figure(39) | Comparison of Mean $\pm$ SD of Linear Dye Penetration of Resin Modified Glass Ionomer at the Occlusal and Cervical Margins             | 93 |
| Figure(40) | Comparison of Mean $\pm$ SD of Linear Dye Penetration of Flowable Compomer liner at the Occlusal and cervical Margins                  | 95 |

# Introduction

## **Introduction**

Over the past 50 years many changes have occurred in the development and availability of restorative materials for children. Today the pediatric dental practitioners are confronted with many new restorative materials with an abundance of information from the manufacturer regarding superior properties of the materials.

Concerns about potential mercury toxicity and allergy , impact on environmental pollutions, and aesthetics have decreased the use of amalgam in pediatric dentistry with an increasing need to develop an alternative.

Resin composites were introduced into dental practice as esthetic restorative materials for anterior teeth when they were first developed. However, the growing demand for more esthetic restorations and minimal loss of tooth substance in cavity preparations has made posterior composites an attractive alternative to amalgams.

Aesthetic restorative materials are based on adhesive procedures and their clinical success relies on approaches for polymerization shrinkage control and establishment of predictable adhesion. Composite resin materials shrink upon curing and generate stresses that may threaten marginal integrity and lead to marginal gap formation and microleakage.

Tooth-colored restorations are a highly desirable health service, especially when they can be delivered in a predictable and sensitivity-free manner.

Microleakage may contribute to marginal staining, postoperative sensitivity, secondary caries and pulpal pathology. For that reason, adequate sealing is essential for optimal clinical performance (*Eakle and Ito, 1990*).