

Comparative Study between Norepinephrine and Dopamine in the management of septic shock using noninvasive cardiac output monitoring

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.D. Degree in
General Intensive care*

Presented By

Heba Abdel Azim Labib

(M.B.B.Ch.), Ain Shams University

(M.Sc.) Degree in Anesthesiology

(M.D.) Degree in Anesthesiology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Supervised By

Prof.Dr. Alaa El-Din Abd Elwahab Koraa

Professor of Anesthesiology and Intensive care

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Prof.Dr. Hesham Mohamad El-Azzazi

Professor of Anesthesiology and Intensive care

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Ass. Prof. Dr. Sahar Mohamad Talat Taha

Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology and Intensive care

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2015

CONTENTS

Page	
• Introduction	1
• Aim of the Work	4
• Review of Literature	5
<i>septic shock</i>	5
<i>Vasopressors and Inotropic Agents used in septic shock</i>	40
<i>Cardiac output monitoring</i>	60
• Patients and methods	82
• Results	99
• Discussion	112
• Conclusion	127
• Summary	129
• References	136
• Arabic summary	

List of Abbreviations

- **ICU:**Intensive care unit
- Protease-activated receptors (PARs)
- **ANOVA:** Analysis Of Variance
- **APACHE:** Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation
- acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS),
- central venous pressure (CVP)
- dopamine (DA) or
- norepinephrine (NE).
- **CKD:**Chronic Kidney Disease
- mean arterial pressure (MAP)
- **ECG:** Electrocardiogram
- The Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC)
- mixed venous oxygen saturation (SvO₂).
- guanosine monophosphate (GMP)
- pulmonary artery catheter (PAC)
- **ESRD:** End Stage Renal Disease
- stroke volume (SV)

- **FSGS:** Focal and Segmental Glomerulosclerosis
- cardiac output
- **GI:** Gastrointestinal
- **GFR:** Glomerular Filtration Rate
- **GLN:** Glutamine
- **HD:** Hemodialysis
- **ICU:** Intensive Care Unit
- **IDPN:** Intradialytic Parenteral Nutrition
- **IGF-1:** Insulin-like Growth Factor
- **MAC:** Mid Arm muscle Circumference
- **MCT:** Medium-Chain Triglycerides
- **MIA:** Malnutrition, Inflammation, and Atherosclerosis
- **MICS:** Malnutrition-Inflammation Complex Syndrome
- **MPGN:** Membrano-Proliferative Glomerulonephritis
- **N:** Nitrogen

- **NKF-K/DOQI:** The National Kidney Foundation–Kidney Disease Outcomes and Quality Initiative
- **ONS:** Oral Nutritional Support
- **PEG:** Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy
- **PEJ:** Percutaneous Endoscopic Jejunostomy
- **PEM:** Protein-Energy Malnutrition
- **PN:** Parenteral Nutrition
- **PPN:** Peripheral Parenteral Nutrition
- **PTH:** Parathyroid Hormone
- **REE:** Resting Energy Expenditure
- **SD:** Standard Deviation
- **SPSS:** Statistical Package for the Social Science
- **TPN:** Total Parenteral Nutrition
- **TSF:** Triceps Skin Fold
- **UF:** Ultrafiltration
- **USRDS:** United States Renal Data System
- **UUN:** Urinary Urea Nitrogen

List of Tables

Table No.		Page
Table (1)	Sever sepsis	7
Table (2)	The Relative Adrenergic Receptor Activity of Individual Catecholamine Vasopressor/Ionotropic Agents Used in Patients with Shock.	44
Table (3)	Sequential Organ Failure Assessment Score(SOFA)	87
Table (4)	Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS)	88
Table (5)	The Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS)	96
Table (6)	AKI classification systems 2: AKIN	97
Table (7)	Comparison between the studied groups as regard demographic data	99
Table (8)	Comparison between the studied groups as regard injury and crush data	100
Table (9)	Comparison between studied groups as regard baseline parameters	101
Table (10)	Comparison of post-treatment hemodynamic parameters between the studied groups	102
Table (11)	Comparison of post treatment metabolic variables between the studied groups	107
Table (12)	Comparison between the dopamine and norepinephrine as regard prevalence of complications	109
Table (13)	Comparison between dopamine group and norepinephrine group as regards number of responders and non-responders .	111

List of Figures

Figure No.		Page
Figure (1)	Host response in sepsis	13
Figure (2)	Organ failure in sever sepsis and dysfunction	20
Figure (3)	Surviving sepsis campaign bundles	37
Figure (4)	Cardiac performance changes during the acute and recovery phase of septic shock.	54
Figure (5)	Simple model of human thorax.	70
Figure (6)	Signal waveforms of the surface electrocardiogram	73
Figure (7)	Timely course of parallel recordings of ECG, impedance waveforms and pulse plethysmogram	76
Figure (8)	Electrode arrangement and orientation of red blood cells (erythrocytes) in the aorta prior and shortly after aortic valve opening	77
Figure (9)	Comparison of dopamine and norepinephrine as regard mean arterial pressure (MAP)	103
Figure (10)	Comparison of HR between dopamine and norepinephrine.	104
Figure (11)	Comparison of dopamine and norepinephrine as regard (SVRI)	105
Figure (12)	Comparison of CI between dopamine and norepinephrine group	106
Figure (13)	Comparison between dopamine and norepinephrine as regard DO ₂ I	108
Figure (14)	Comparison between dopamine and norepinephrine group as regard incidence of complications	110
Figure (15)	Comparison between dopamine group and norepinephrine group as regard number of responders and non responders	111

Abstract

Background:

Circulatory shock is a life-threatening condition that is associated with high mortality. The administration of fluids, which is the first-line therapeutic strategy, is often insufficient to stabilize the patient's condition, and adrenergic agents are frequently required to correct hypotension. Among these agents, dopamine and norepinephrine are used most frequently. Both of these agents influence alpha-adrenergic and beta-adrenergic receptors, but to different degrees.

Method:

Patients enrolled in the study were blocked randomly allocated to two groups according to the vasoactive agent used. **Group A** (37 patients received dopamine infusion as a vasopressor. Dopamine was given by the following protocol : 15mcg/kg/min up to a maximum of 20 mcg/kg/min). **Group B**: (39 patients received norepinephrine infusion 1.5 -2.5 mcg/kg/min up to a as a vasopressor).

The goal of therapy was to achieve and maintain for six hours, all of the following:

1. systolic blood pressure(SBP) more than 90 mm Hg
2. Systemic vascular resistance index (SVRI) more than 1100 dynes.S/Cm⁵ m².
3. Cardiac Index (CI) more than 4.0L/min/ m².

The patients were classified into responders and non-responders to the vasoactive agent used. (The "responder" is a patient who achieves and maintains all the predefined goals of therapy for a period of six hours, in the specified dose range).

results:

There was no significant difference between dopamine and noradrenaline as regards SBP, MBP, CVP, CI, lactate and UOP. As regards H.R, DO₂I and SVRI there were highly significant difference between the two groups.

Dopamine group showed significant increase in DO₂I at the end of the study while norepinephrine group showed highly significant decrease in HR and highly significant increase in SVRI at the end of the study.

Arrhythmia was more prevalent among dopamine group compared to norepinephrine group with highly significant difference .As regards incidence of coma and ARF, there was no significant difference between the 2 groups.

Comparison of the 2 groups as regards number of responders showed highly significant difference .Number of responders were higher in norepinephrine group (29 patients) than in dopamine group (16 patients).

Conclusion:

We suggest that, at the doses tested, norepinephrine is more effective and reliable than dopamine in reversing systemic vasodilatation which is the main issue in the pathophysiology of septic shock.

Key Words:

Septic shock, Norepinephrine, dopamine, impedance cardiography.

Introduction

Sepsis develops in ~750,000 people annually and accounts for more than 210,000 deaths per year. Evolving definitions of sepsis including predisposing factors, host response, and end-organ damage emerged in recent years leading to our improved understanding of the path physiology of the disorder and targeted treatments (*Sharad et al.,2007*).

Severe sepsis and septic shock develop when infectious agents or induced inflammatory mediators lead to circulatory abnormalities including peripheral vasodilatation, reduced mean arterial pressure, myocardial depression, and intravascular volume depletion. Despite adequate fluid resuscitation, misdistribution of blood flow may cause an imbalance between oxygen delivery and demand, leading to global tissue hypoxia, shock, and, if not reversed, death

(*Michael and Mitchell ,2004*).

Goals of early resuscitation in patients with sepsis include restoration of tissue perfusion, reversal of oxygen supply dependency, and normalization of cellular metabolism. When appropriate fluid administration fails to

restore adequate tissue perfusion and arterial pressure, vasopressors are usually necessary to increase mean systemic pressure, cardiac output, and oxygen delivery (*Gaurav and Singh, 2010*).

These agents have the ability to reduce organ flow through their vasoconstrictive action, their utility depends on the balance between increased organ specific perfusion pressure (by increased mean systemic pressure and stroke volume) and their direct effect on the microvasculature, Thus the goal with vasopressor agent is to increase the perfusion pressure to the point at which blood flow is optimized(*Stephen and James ,2002*).

Among the available vasopressors, dopamine and norepinephrine are used most frequently. These adrenergic agents have different pharmacologic properties.

Both agents stimulate α adrenergic receptors, resulting in vasopressor effects, but this effect is weaker for dopamine than for norepinephrine. However, dopamine stimulates β adrenergic receptors more than norepinephrine, and this may result in a greater increase in cardiac output. However, this β adrenergic stimulation can also promote tachycardia and arrhythmic events, increase cellular metabolism, and may be immunosuppressive(*Backer et al., 2012*).

The more recent trend in monitoring of septic shock focuses on monitoring the variables that have a direct influence on the outcome of septic shock such as ,Systolic and mean arterial pressure (SBP and MAP), Systemic vascular resistance index (SVRI) ,Cardiac index (CI)(*Sharad et al.,2007*).

These variables may be monitored either invasively or noninvasively. Impedance cardiography, the non-invasive method of cardiac output monitoring, has been found to be a satisfactory substitute for invasive monitoring as it provides essentially similar information and is easier, quicker, cheaper and much safer to use (*Hanan et al.,2007*).

Bioreactance is a novel technology platform which analyses changes in frequency of electrical impulses as they traverse the chest. NICOM (non invasive cardiac out put(CO) measurement) enables a highly precise, highly accurate,continuous, stable and non-invasive method to measure CO and other parameters such as SVRI and CI (*Fortinaet al.,2006*).

Aim of the work

The purpose of this study is to compare the effects of dopamine and norepinephrine in the treatment of septic shock with pre-defined endpoints using continuous non-invasive cardiac output monitoring with impedance cardiography.

Septic shock

Sepsis is one of the oldest and most elusive syndromes in medicine. Hippocrates claimed that sepsis was the process by which flesh rots, swamps generate foul airs, and wounds fester. Galen later considered sepsis a laudable event, necessary for wound healing (*Derek et al., 2013*). With the confirmation of germ theory, sepsis was recast as a systemic infection, often described as “blood poisoning,” and assumed to be the result of the host's invasion by pathogenic organisms that then spread in the bloodstream.

However, with the advent of modern antibiotics, germ theory did not fully explain the pathogenesis of sepsis: many patients with sepsis died despite successful eradication of the inciting pathogen. Thus, researchers

suggested that it was the host, not the germ that drove the pathogenesis of sepsis (*Funk et al., 2009*).

In 1992, an international consensus panel defined sepsis as a systemic inflammatory response to infection, noting that sepsis could arise in response to multiple infectious causes and that septicemia was neither a necessary condition nor a helpful term. Instead, the panel proposed the term “severe sepsis” to describe instances in which sepsis is complicated by acute organ dysfunction, and they codified “septic shock” as sepsis complicated by either hypotension that is refractory to fluid resuscitation or by hyperlactatemia (*Derek et al., 2013*).

In 2003, a second consensus panel endorsed most of these concepts, with the caveat that signs of a systemic inflammatory response, such as tachycardia or an elevated white-cell count, occur in many infectious and noninfectious conditions and therefore are not helpful in distinguishing sepsis from other conditions. Thus, “severe