

# **Homogeneity And Sealing Ability Of GuttaFlow® As Root Canal Filling Material**

*Thesis*

*Submitted to the Endodontic Department  
faculty of dentistry –Ain Shams university*

*for*

*Partial Fulfillment of requirements of Master  
Degree*

*In Endodontics*

**By**

**Ahmed Mohammed Abd Elhamid Sobieh**

B.D.S. Ain Shams university

**(2004)**



# **Supervisors**

**Prof. Dr. Hossam Mohammed Essam El Din  
Tawfik**

*Professor of Endodontics*

*Faculty of dentistry - Ain Shams University*

**Asso. Prof. Dr. Shehab El din Saber**

*Associate professor of Endodontics*

*Faculty of dentistry - Ain Shams University*

**Faculty of dentistry**

**Ain Shams University**

**2014**



## **Acknowledgements**

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Dr. **Hossam Tawfik** Professor of Endodontics faculty of dentistry - Ain Shams University for his help and general guidance. A true and sincere mentor.*

*I would also like to thank Asso Prof Dr. **Shehab El din Saber** Associate professor of Endodontics Faculty of dentistry - Ain Shams University, for going through every single detail in this work and for his valuable advice and support.*



## **Dedication**

*I would like to dedicate this work to my wonderful parents, to whom I owe everything and the true reason behind my success.*

*I would also like to thank my wonderful wife, for her endless support throughout this work & all the happy times.*





# List of Contents

LIST OF FIGURES.....	ii
LIST OF TABLES.....	vi
INTRODUCTION.....	1
REVIW OF LITERATURE.....	2
AIM OF THE STUDY.....	30
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	31
RESULTS.....	44
DISCUSSION.....	72
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	77
REFERENCES.....	80

## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.(1) GuttaFlow capsule and gun.....	33
Fig.(2) Gutta Percha points size #60.....	34
Fig.(3) AH Plus sealer.....	34
Fig (4) Samples fixed in cork.....	36
Fig.(5) Cone Beam CT.....	36
Fig.(6) Standardization for parameters.....	37
Fig.(7) Soft ware viewer.....	37
Fig. (8) Marked area of obturation .....	38
Fig.(9) Stereo microscope image for apical section.....	40
Fig. (10) Stereo microscope image for middle section.....	41
Fig. (11) Stereo microscope image for cervical section.....	41
Fig.(12) Stereo microscope image for cleared root.....	43
Fig. (13): Bar chart representing comparison between the studied groups regards radio opacity.....	45
Fig.(14) CBCT scan showing representative sample from group 1 with highest RO. ....	45
Fig.(15) CBCT scan showing representative sample from group 1 with lowest RO. ....	46

Fig.(16) CBCT scan showing representative sample from group 2 with highest RO.....	46
Fig.(17) CBCT scan showing representative sample from group 2 with lowest RO. ....	47
Fig.(18) CBCT scan showing representative sample from group 3 with highest RO. ....	47
Fig.(19) CBCT scan showing representative sample from group 3 with lowest RO. ....	48
Fig.(20) stereo microscope image for highest value of voids in group 1 (apical third) .....	50
Fig.(21) stereo microscope image for lowest value of voids in group 1 (apical third) .....	50
Fig.(22) stereo microscope image for highest value of voids in group 1 (middle third) .....	51
Fig.(23) stereo microscope image for lowest value of voids in group 1 (middle third) .....	51
Fig.(24) stereo microscope image for highest value of voids in group 1 (cervical third) .....	52
Fig.(25) stereo microscope image for lowest value of voids in group 2 (cervical third) .....	52

Fig.(26) stereo microscope image for highest value of voids in group 2 (apical third) .....	54
Fig.(27) stereo microscope image for lowest value of voids in group 2 (apical third) .....	54
Fig.(28) stereo microscope image for highest value of voids in group 2(middle third). ....	55
Fig.(29) stereo microscope image for lowest value of voids in group 2 (middle third) .....	55
Fig.(30) stereo microscope image for highest value of voids in group 2 (cervical third) .....	56
Fig.(31) stereo microscope image for lowest value of voids in group 2 (cervical third) .....	56
Fig.(32) stereo microscope image for highest value of voids in group 3 (apical third) .....	58
Fig.(33) stereo microscope image for lowest value of voids in group 3 (apical third) .....	58
Fig.(34) stereo microscope image for highest value of voids in group 3 (middle third) .....	58
Fig.(35) stereo microscope image for lowest value of voids in group 3 (middle third) .....	58
Fig.(36) stereo microscope image for highest value of voids in group 3 (cervical third) .....	60

Fig.(37) stereo microscope image for lowest value of voids in group 3 (cervical third) .....	60
Fig. (38): Bar chart representing comparison between the studied groups regards ratio of voids at apical root.....	62
Fig. (39): Bar chart representing comparison between the studied groups regard ratio of voids at middle root.....	63
Fig. (40): Bar chart representing comparison between the studied groups regards ratio of voids at coronal root.....	65
Fig. (41): Bar chart representing comparison between the studied groups regards total area of voids at total root.....	66
Fig.(42): Bar chart representing comparison between the studied groups regards dye penetration.....	68
Fig.(43) stereo microscope image for highest value in dye penetration group 1.....	68
Fig.(44) stereo microscope image for lowest value in dye penetration group 1.....	69
Fig.(45) stereo microscope image for highest value in dye penetration group 2 .....	69
Fig.(46) stereo microscope image for lowest value in dye penetration group 2 .....	70
Fig.(47) stereo microscope image for highest value in dye penetration group 3 .....	70
Fig.(48) stereo microscope image for highest value in dye penetration group 3 .....	70

## LIST OF TABLES

Table (1): multiple comparisons between the studied groups regards radio opacity.....	44
Table (2) , lowest, and mean ratio of voids in samples in group 1.....	49
Table (3, lowest, and mean ratio of voids in samples in group 2.....	53
Table (4) , lowest, and mean ratio of voids in samples in group 3.....	57
Table (5)multiple comparisons between the studied groups regards voids at apical root.....	61
Table (6): One-way ANOVA multiple comparisons between the studied groups regard voids at middle root.....	63
Table (7): One-way ANOVA multiple comparisons between the studied groups regards voids at coronal root.....	64
Table (8): One-way ANOVA multiple comparisons between the studied groups regards sealing ability at total root.....	66
Table (9): One-way ANOVA multiple comparisons between the studied groups regards dye penetration.....	67

# Introduction

Successful obturation of the delicate anatomy found within the root canal system of teeth is considered a big challenge to clinicians. The intricacies of the canals, accessory canals, fins, etc, have stretched the limits of the available materials to successfully accomplish this procedure. The task goes well beyond the accurate filling of the 3-dimensional space within the canal. It is essential to create an impervious seal along the length of the root canal, including both the apical and coronal ends of the root canal system, to prevent bacterial recolonization.

Sealing of root canal system ensures total isolation and prevention of micro organisms from reinvading root canal system. Gutta Percha have been the golden standard for obturation over one century , however , lack of adhesive qualities of gutta percha constitute a major disadvantages .

Clinicians have tried to adapt materials to be used for bonding to root canal dentin . Bonding inside root canal have always been challenged by the difficulty of moisture control and inability of curing light to reach the apical portion of canal. The high configuration factor (C factor ) is considered an added challenge which increase polymerization shrinkage thus jeopardizing the seal. Several materials exhibiting sealing and adhesive qualities have been suggested among which GuttaFlow was recently Introduced .

GuttaFlow is combination of powdered Gutta Percha mixed with resin sealer and applied through a direct delivery system . The manufacture of GuttaFlow advocate its use as sole filling material or a Gutta Percha point can be inserted as single cone . Presence of voids and interface gaps with either technique have been a matter of controversy .