

Single and Combined Effect of Grape Seed Extract and Nanohydroxyapatite on Remineralization of Bleached Enamel

[Scanning Electron Microscope Attached With Energy Dispersive X-ray Analyzer Study]

Thesis Submitted to

Faculty of Dentistry - Ain Shams University

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

Of Master Degree in Oral Biology

By Lougine Mostafa EL-Khousht Mahmoud

Teaching assistant of Oral Biology B.D.S (MSA University -2011) Faculty of Dentistry - MSA University

Supervisors
Dr. Ahmed Mahmoud Halawa
Professor and Head of Oral Biology Department
Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

Dr. Nuha Abdul-Fattah Baraka

Lecturer of Oral Biology Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University
2018

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

" هنا إنا علا لا عنام الها إلا ما " هنا إنك أنت العليم المكيم

صدق الله العظيم (البقرة -32)

Dedication

Dedication

I dedicate this work to my beloved parents



to the soul of my Grandparents.

Acknowledgment

Acknowledgement

First of all, I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mahmoud Halawa** Professor and Head of Oral Biology Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his considerable support and valuable supervision. His deep knowledge, faithful suggestions, contributions with his constant follow up have made this work fulfilled.

Also, I would like to give my very deep thanks to **Dr. Nuha Abdul-Fattah Baraka** Lecturer of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her enormous help, time, support, encouragement and guidance. The completion of this thesis wouldn't have been possible without her continuous help, encouragement and support throughout the entire thesis period.

I would like to thank all my professors in Ain Shams University for their vast knowledge and education during my master's period.

I would also like to thank all my professors, colleagues and friends for all their help, support and for always being there whenever needed.

Lastly, I would like to thank my family for their continued support, encouragement and for always being there for me.

Content

Contents:

Abstract1	
Introduction and Review of Literature3	
Enamel3	
Bleaching6	
Side Effects of Bleaching9)
Enamel Remineralization1	1
Grape seed Extract13	3
Nano- Hydroxyapatite17	7
Aim of study24	4
Materials and Methods25	5
Results32)
I- Results of Scanning Electron Microscope	2
II- Energy Dispersive X-ray Analysis and Statistical results43	3
Discussion 62	1
Summery73	3
Conclusions and recommendations76	5
References77	7
Arabic summery	

List of Abbreviations

List of Abbreviation

Abbreviation	Full Name
С	Carbon
Ca	Calcium
CaCl ₂ .2H ₂ O	Calcium chloride dihydrate
EDXA	Energy Dispersive X-ray Analysis
EREs	Enamel Rod Ends
GIC	Glass ionomer cement
GSE	Grape seed Extract
GSPE	Grape Seed Proanthocyanidin Extract
HA	Hydroxyapatite
HP	Hydrogen Peroxide
KCl	Potassium chloride
K ₂ HPO ₄	Dipotassium hydrogen phosphate
KH ₂ PO ₄	Potassium dihydrogen phosphate
MgCl ₂ .6H ₂ O	Magnesium chloride hexahydrate
MMP	Matrix metalloproteinase
MSA	Modern Science and Arts University
NaF	Sodium- Fluoride
Nano-P	Nanohydroxyapatite-based desensitizing agent
nHA	Nano- Hydroxyapatite
NIH	United States National Institution of Health
OPA	Oligomeric Proanthocyanidin
OTC	over-the-counter Product
P	Phosphorus
p	Probability
PA	Proanthocyanidin
PG	Perikymata Grooves
рН	Potential Hydrogen
PR	Perikymata Ridges
S.D.	Standard deviation
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
USA	United States of America
wt.	Weight

List of Figures

List of figures

Figure	Description	Page
No.		
Fig. 1	Buccal surface after application of nail varnish	31
	and removal of pink wax.	
Fig. 2	SEM machine attached with EDXA model FEI	31
	Inspect S.	
Fig. 3	A scanning electron micrograph of Subgroup	33
	IA showing perikymata with enamel rod ends	
	and areas of rodless enamel (x1000)	
Fig. 4	A higher magnification of figure 3 showing	33
	enamel rod ends (x4000)	
Fig. 5	A scanning electron micrograph of control	35
	subgroup IB showing areas of enamel rod ends	
	with irregular prism boundaries and ill-defined	
	perykimata. (x1000)	
Fig. 6	A higher magnification of figure 5 showing	35
	irregular prism boundaries (x4000)	
Fig. 7	A scanning electron micrograph of	37
	experimental subgroup IIA showing partially	
	occluded enamel rod ends and precipitates of	
	different sizes. (x1000)	

Fig. 8	A higher magnification of figure 7 showing	37
	partially occluded enamel rod ends and	
	precipitates of different sizes. (x4000)	
Fig. 9	A scanning electron micrograph of	39
8 v >	experimental subgroup IIB showing partially	
	occluded enamel rod ends with precipitates of	
	different sizes (x1000)	
E: 10	, , ,	20
Fig. 10	A higher magnification of figure 9 showing	39
	precipitates of different sizes and partially	
	occluded enamel rod ends. (x4000)	
Fig. 11	A scanning electron micrograph of	41
	experimental subgroup IIC showing occluded	
	and narrowed enamel rod ends with	
	precipitates of different sizes observed on the	
	surface with less pronounced perikymata	
	grooves. (x1000)	
Fig. 12	A higher magnification of figure 11 showing	41
	narrow occluded enamel rod ends with	
	precipitates of different sizes. (x4000)	
Fig. 13	A scanning electron micrograph of	41
	experimental subgroup IIC showing:	
	completely occluded enamel rod ends with	
	precipitates covering the entire surface.	
	(x1000)	

Fig. 14	A higher magnification of figure 13 showing	42
	completely occluded enamel rod ends	
	precipitate covering the entire surface. (x4000)	
Fig. 15	Bar chart representing Ca mean weight	44
	percentage of control and experimental groups	
Fig. 16	Bar chart representing Ca mean difference	45
	between Subgroup IA and all other subgroups.	
Fig. 17	Bar chart showing Ca mean differences between	47
	Subgroup IB and all the experimental	
	Subgroups	
Fig. 18	Bar chart representing P mean weight	50
	percentage of control and experimental groups.	
Fig. 19	Bar chart representing P mean difference	51
	between Subgroup IA and all other Subgroups.	
Fig. 20	Bar chart showing P percentage mean	53
	differences between Subgroup IB group and	
	other experimental Subgroups.	
Fig. 21	Bar chart representing C mean weight	56
	percentage between control and Experimental	
	groups	
Fig. 22	Bar chart representing C mean difference	57
	between Subgroup IA and all other Subgroups.	
i	1	