EFFECT OF ORGANIC AND BIOFERTILIZATION ON GROWTH, YIELD, FRUIT QUALITY AND LEAF MINERAL CONTENT OF SUPERIOR GRAPEVINES

By

AHMED ABD ELRAHEEM MOHAMED

B.Sc. Agric. (Hort.), Fac. of Agric., South of Valley University, (2000)

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Agricultural Science (Pomology)

Department of Horticulture Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

Approval Sheet

EFFECT OF ORGANIC AND BIOFERTILIZATION ON GROWTH, YIELD, FRUIT QUALITY AND LEAF MINERAL CONTENT OF SUPERIOR GRAPEVINES

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

AHMED ABD ELRAHEEM MOHAMED

B.Sc. Agric. (Hort.), Fac. of Agric., South of Valley University, (2000)

This thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by:
Dr. Mohamed Diab Ead El Deeb
Prof. emeritus of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal
University.
Dr. Ahmed-Abd El Fattah El Gazzar
Prof. of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University
Dr. Ahmed-Abd Elhmid Awad
Associate Prof. of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University.
Dr. Hassan Mohamed Fadel El Wakeel
Prof. of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University
Date of Examination : / / 2015

EFFECT OF ORGANIC AND BIOFERTILIZATION ON GROWTH, YIELD, FRUIT QUALITY AND LEAF MINERAL CONTENT OF SUPERIOR GRAPEVINES

By

AHMED ABD ELRAHEEM MOHAMED

B.Sc. Agric. (Hort.), Fac. of Agric., South of Valley University, (2000)

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Hassan Mohamed Fadel El Wakeel

Prof. of Pomology, Hort. Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principle supervisor)

Dr. Ahmed-Abd Elhmid Awad

Associate Professor of Pomology, Hort. Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Noha Ahmed Ibrahim Mansour

Lecturer of Pomology, Hort. Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

ABSTRACT

Ahmed Abd Elraheem Mohamed: Effect of Organic and Biofertilization on Growth, Yield, Fruit Quality and Leaf Mineral Content of Superior Grapevines Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Horticulture Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2015

This investigation was carried out through two successive seasons (2012 and 2013) in a private grapevine orchard located at 76 kilometer from Cairo on the desert road to Alexandria, Egypt on five years- old Superior grapevines budded on salt creek rootstock grown in a sandy loam soil. Planting distance was 2 X 3 meters apart under drip irrigation system with well water, the vines were cane-pruned and trellised by the modified "Baron" system. The aim of this study was to examine the possibility of saving nitrogen requirement by using organic and biological nitrogen fertilizers comepletely instead of mineral nitrogen fertilizers. Mineral nitrogen fertilization was applied as "ammonium nitrate" (33.5%) N) by the rate 60 kg actual N/fed about (85.7 g/N/vine) as a control treatment. The experiment included two sources of organic manure (compost and chicken manure) each organic source consisted of four levels (100%, suboptimal levels 75%, 50% and 25%) meaning, addition of (60, 45, 30 and 15 kg actual N/fed), respectively and four levels of biofertilizer Nitrobein (0, 9, 12 and 15 ml/vine). Thus the experiment consists of 9 treatments arranged as a randomized complete block design. Each treatment included three replicates and each represented by three vines. Results showed that, the best values of yield, fruit quality and petioles macro and micronutrient content in most cases were observed by T9 (mineral treatment) equaled by chicken manure treatment especially T6 (75% chicken manure + 9 ml Nitrobein). All organic manure treatments gave lower significant values of berry juice nitrate and nitrite content compared with T9 (mineral treatment). Therefore, Superior grapevine production in Egypt can rely on organic manure as an alternative to mineral fertilization and could be reduce 25% from actual nitrogen addition. Chicken manure might be used as most available and economic substitute for compost with increasing in yield, fruit quality and nutrients status as well as reducing environmental pollution and the best treatment was (75% chicken manure + 9 ml Nitrobein).

Key words: Chicken manure - compost- fruit quality - Nitrobein-Superior grapevine- Yield

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my thanks and pay my respect **Dr. Hassan Fadel El-Wakeel**, Professor of Pomology, Horticultural Dept., Faculty of Agriculture at Ain Shams University; for his supervision and all his efforts and huge contribution to the success of this work.

My deep thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Ahmed Abd Elhamid Awad**, Associate Prof. of Pomology, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., for their great help during this work and voluble advice.

All my regards. Thanks and respect to **Dr. Noha Ahmed Ibrahim Mansour,** Lecture of Pomology, Hort. Dept., Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ., for her huge efforts and guidance to make this study.

My heart full thanks to **Dr. Mohamed Mostafa El-Sayed,** Lecture of Poultry Production, Poultry Prod. Dept., Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ.

To them the author is grateful and expresses the deepest thanks, appreciation and gratitude for suggesting the problem, keen supervision, scientific guidance, kind and valuable criticism, and also revising the manuscript.

Deep thanks and gratitude to members of my family who were very kind and patient towards me throughout the whole period of this study.

CONTENTS

	Page
	No.
LIST OF TABLES	III
LIST OF FIGURES	VI
1- INTRODUCTION	1
2- REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1. Bud behavior	4
2.2.Vegetative Growth	4
2.3. Yield and cluster characteristics	7
2.3.1. Yield	7
2.3.2. Cluster weight	9
2.4. Physical characteristics of berries	11
2.5. Chemical characteristics of berries	13
2.5.1 Total soluble solid % (T.S.S.%)	13
2.5.2 Acidity and TSS/acid ratio	14
2.5.3. Nitrate (NO ₃ and nitrite (No ₂)	15
2.6. Leaf mineral content	16
3- MATERIAL AND METHODS	18
3.1. Bud behavior	20
3.2. Vegetative growth parameters	20
3.3. Yield and cluster characteristics	21
3.4. Berries Physical characteristics	21
3.5. Berries chemical characteristics	21
3.6. Mineral analysis	22
4- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	23
4.1.Bud behavior	23
4.1.1. Final bud burst percentage	23
4.1.2. Vegetative buds percentage	23
4.1.3. Fruitful buds percentage	23
4.1.4. Bud fertility coefficient	24
4.2. Vegetative growth parameters	29

4.2.1. Shoots length	29
4.2.2. Leaves number/shoot	29
4.2.3. Leaf area	29
4.2.4. Weight of annual pruning wood/vine	30
4.3. Yield and cluster characteristics	35
4.3.1. Yield /vine	35
4.3.2. Cluster number /vine	36
4.3.3. Cluster weight average	36
4.3.4. Cluster length average	37
4.3.5.Cluster width average	37
4.4. Berries physical characteristics	43
4.4.1. Weight of 100 berries	43
4.4.2.Volume of 100 berries	43
4.4.3. Berry firmness	43
4.4.4. Berry adherence strength	43
4.5.Chemical characteristics of berries	49
4.5.1. Total soluble Solids (TSS)	49
4.5.2.Titratable acidity	49
4.5.3.TSS / acid ratio	49
4.5.4.Berry juice nitrate content (NO ₃)	50
4.5.5. Berry juice nitrite content (NO ₂)	50
4.6.Leaf mineral content	57
4.6.1. Leaf nitrogen content	57
4.6.2. Leaf phosphorus content	57
4.6.3.Leaf potassium content	57
4.6.4. Leaf calsium content	58
4.6.5. Leaf magnesium content	58
4.6.6. Leaf iron content	58
4.6.7. Leaf zinc content	58
4.6.8. Leaf manganese content	58
5-SUMMARY AND CONCLUTIONS	68
6-REFERENCES	74
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Гable		Page
No.		No.
1	Analysis of the experimental soil	18
2	The chemical and physical analysis of compost and	
	chicken manure	20
3	Effect of organic and biofertilization on final bud burst	
	(%) of Superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	25
4	Effect of organic and biofertilization on vegetative buds	
	(%) of Superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	26
5	Effect of organic and biofertilization on fruitful buds (%)	
	of Superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	27
6	Effect of organic and biofertilization on coefficient of	
	bud fertility of Superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013	
	seasons	28
7	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	shoot length (cm) of Superior grapevines in 2012 and	
	2013 seasons	31
8	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	number of leaves/shoot of Superior grapevines in 2012	
	and 2013 seasons	32
9	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	leaf area (cm ²) of Superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	33
10	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	weight of annual pruning wood (kg)/vine of Superior	
	grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	34
11	Effect of organic and biofertilization on yield/vine (kg)	
	of superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	38
12	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	no. of clusters/vine of superior grapevines in 2012 and	
	2013 seasons	39

Гable		Page
No.		No.
13	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	cluster weight of superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013	
	seasons	40
14	Effect of organic and biofertilization on cluster length	
	of superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	41
15	Effect of organic and biofertilization on cluster width of	
	superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	42
16	Effect of organic and biofertilization on weight of 100	
	berries of superior grapes in 2012 and 2013 season.	45
17	Effect of organic and biofertilization on volume of 100	
	berries of superior grapes in 2012 and 2013 season	46
18	Effect of organic and biofertilization on berry firmness	
	of superior grapes in 2012 and 2013 season	47
19	Effect of organic and biofertilization on berry adherence	
	strength of superior grapes in 2012 and 2013 seasons	48
20	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	TSS of superior grapes berries in 2012 and 2013	
	seasons	52
21	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	acidity of superior grapes berries in 2012 and 2013	
	seasons	53
22	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	TSS/acid ratio of superior grapes berries in 2012 and	
	2013 seasons	54
23	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	juice nitrite content of superior grapes berries in 2012	
	and 2013 seasons	55
24	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	juice nitrate content of superior grapes berries in 2012	
	and 2013 seasons	56

Table No.		Page No.
25	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	N of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	60
26	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	P of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	61
27	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	K of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	62
28	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	Ca of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	63
29	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	Mg of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	64
30	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	Fe of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	65
31	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	Zn (ppm) of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013	
	seasons	66
32	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	Mn (ppm) of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013	
	seasons	67

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.		Page
No.		No.
1	Effect of organic and biofertilization on final bud burst	
	of superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	25
2	Effect of organic and biofertilization on vegetative buds	
	of superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	26
3	Effect of organic and biofertilization on fruitful buds of	
	superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	27
4	Effect of organic and biofertilization on coefficient of	
	bud fertility of superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013	
	seasons	28
5	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	shoot length of superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013	
	seasons	31
6	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	number of leaves/shoot of superior grapevines in 2012	
	and 2013 seasons	32
7	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	leaf area of superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013	
	seasons	33
8	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	weight of annual pruning wood of superior grapevines	
	in 2012 and 2013 seasons	34
9	Effect of organic and biofertilization on yield/vine of	
	superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons	38
10	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	no. of clusters/vine of superior grapevines in 2012 and	
	2013 seasons	39

Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on
cluster weight of superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013
seasons
Effect of organic and biofertilization on cluster length of
superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons
Effect of organic and biofertilization on cluster width of
superior grapevines in 2012 and 2013 seasons
Effect of organic and biofertilization on weight of 100
berries of superior grapes in 2012 and 2013 season
Effect of organic and biofertilization on volume of 100
berries of superior grapes in 2012 and 2013
season
Effect of organic and biofertilization on berry firmness
of superior grapes in 2012 and 2013 season
Effect of organic and biofertilization on berry adherence
strength of superior grapes in 2012 and 2013
season
Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on
TSS of superior grapes berries in 2012 and 2013
seasons
Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on
acidity of superior grapes berries in 2012 and 2013
seasons
Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on
TSS/acid ratio of superior grapes berries in 2012 and
2013 seasons
Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on
juice nitrite content of superior grapes berries in 2012
and 2013 seasons.

VIII

Fig.		Page
No.		No.
22	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	juice nitrite content of superior grapes berries in 2012	
	and 2013 seasons	56
23	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	N of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	60
24	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	P of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	61
25	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	K of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	62
26	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	Ca of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	63
27	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	Mg of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	64
28	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	Fe of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	65
29	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	Zn of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	66
30	Effect of organic and biological nitrogen fertilization on	
	Mn of superior grapevine in 2012 and 2013 seasons	67

1. INTRODUCTION

Grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) is considered the first major fruit crop in its production all over the world. In Egypt, grapes rank second among fruit crops while citrus being the first according to the statistics of the **M.A.L.R.** (2013) amounted to the cultivated area in Egypt 188.543 Fed. produced about 1378815 tons. Superior grapevine cultivar is considered a prime and popular grapevine cultivar, successfully grown under Egypt conditions. It ripens early in the last week of May under sandy soil conditions. In addition, it has a greater potentiality for exportation to foreign markets due to its early ripening and this reduces competition.

Nitrogen (N) is an essential constituent of all living creatures. Without N we would not exist, simply because nitrogen is one of the major plant nutrients, being a part of protein, enzymes, amino acids, polypeptides and many other biochemical compounds in plant system i.e. encouraging cell division and the development tissue (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987). At the same time it would be incorrect to state that the use of mineral N is without problems. It is definitely not. First, once applied, N is easily lost to the wider environment, regardless whether it stems from industrial fertilizers or from recycled "natural" products. Lost N can have negative impacts on the quality of air and water, as it is associated with the formation of smog and tropospheric ozone, global warming effects, depletion of stratospheric ozone and negatively affects the quality of ground water and surface water. Lost N can thus have a serious impact on the health of plants, animals and men, on the quality of ecosystems and, in the end, on biodiversity (Erisman et al., 2011) and (Sutton et al., 2011). Global-level mineral N losses to the environment from mineral fertilizer use are currently 36 mill. t yr⁻¹, worth USD 11 700 mill. and with adverse environmental impacts (Rabindra . et al., 2002). Increased mineral-nitrogen use is needed to ensure food security. However, its application has to be planned in a scientific manner that optimizes its use and ensures productivity improvements. In addition to measures to