



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



# شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

## التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

# جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

## قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأفلام قد اعدت دون أية تغيرات



## يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15 – 20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of  
15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



# بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات  
لم ترد بالأصل

**RETENTION OF FOUR POST SYSTEMS LUTED  
WITH THREE DIFFERENT CEMENTS  
(IN VITRO STUDY)**

Thesis  
Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry,  
Alexandria University  
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of

Master Degree  
In  
***CONSERVATIVE DENTISTRY***

By  
***AMIR SHOUKRY AZER***  
*(B.D.S. 1994 Alex.)*

Faculty of Dentistry  
Alexandria University  
2000

B 0.20

# **SUPERVISORS**

***Prof. Dr. MOHAMED EL-KATEB***

Professor of Conservative Dentistry,  
Conservative Dentistry Dept.,  
Faculty of Dentistry  
University of Alexandria

***Dr. SONIA EL-SHABRAWY***

Assistant Professor Of Biomaterials,  
Biomaterials Dept.,  
Faculty of Dentistry  
University of Alexandria

***DR. NAYERA MOKHLES***

Lecturer of Conservative Dentistry,  
Conservative Dentistry Dept.,  
Faculty of Dentistry  
University of Alexandria

# *Dedication*

*To my  
Mother , Father  
&  
Brother*



## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

*I would like to express my deepest thanks and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed El-Kateb** for his continuous support and guidance throughout this study. I am deeply indebted to his unending co-operation and constructive criticism. I owe him a lot for his tremendous experience, untiring efforts and patience which made this work see the light.*

*My sincere appreciation and deep gratitude to **Dr. Sonia El-Shabrawy** for her kind efforts, continuous encouragement and valuable comments at all times during this research.*

*No words can really express my thanks to **Dr. Nayera Mokhles** for her never ending support and help. I will always remain indebted to her for the many hours we spent going over every minute detail while writing this thesis.*

*My thanks are also extended to **Prof. Dr. Mostafa Fakhry**, Head of the Biomaterials Department, for his valuable advices and encouragement. His research experience and expertise helped to shape this study when it was yet an idea.*

*Special thanks and appreciation also are due to **Mr Mamdouh Fathalla** for his experience in carrying out and forming the mechanical devices and attachments that I have designed for this research.*

*Many thanks are due to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Kamel** for helping me with the statistical analysis and legible presentation of the data of this research, as well as to **Mrs. Salwa Hamouda** for her help and generous assistance with the computer work and preparation of the manuscripts.*

*Lastly but not leastly, I would like to thank **my family** for their prayers, help, encouragement and support which made all this work possible.*

# CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
	LIST OF FIGURES	
	LIST OF TABLES	
	LIST OF GRAPHS	
I	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II	REVIEW OF LITERATURE .....	4
	• Brittleness of Endodontically Treated Teeth .....	4
	• Means of Reinforcement of Endodontically Treated teeth.	6
	• Objectives of Posts. ....	9
	• Importance of Post Retention.....	10
	• Post Types and Designs .....	11
	• Retention of Posts using Different Cements .....	17
III	AIM OF THE WORK .....	29
IV	MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	30
V	RESULTS .....	59
VI	DISCUSSION .....	71
VII	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION .....	77
VIII	REFERENCES .....	81
	PROTOCOL	
	ARABIC SUMMARY	

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
(1) : "C-Post" kit.....	31
(2) : "Cosmopost" kit and refills.....	31
(3) : "ParaPost XH" kit and refills.....	32
(4) : "Unicast" burn-out plastic post kit.....	32
(5) : "Adhesor Fine" (Zinc Phosphate cement).....	34
(6) : "Ketac-Cem" (Glass Ionomer Cement). ....	34
(7) : "C & B cement" (Resin cement).....	35
(8) : "All-Bond 2 " (Universal adhesive system).....	35
(9) : "Apexit" (Non-eugenol root canal sealer).....	37
(10) : Static load device.....	37
(11) : Universal testing machine.....	38
(12) : Tooth sectioned horizontally 1mm above the facial cemento-enamel junction.....	40
(13) : Tooth prepared till size 35 (master apical file) then step back was performed.....	40
(14) : The four post types used with their drills (From left to right; Cosmopost, C-post, Parapost and Unicast plastic post).....	44

(15) : The four types of posts ( From left to right ; Cosmopost, C-post, Parapost and Cast post ).....	44
(16) : Each post in its corresponding post space of the tooth . Horizontal grooves were performed for better retention in the acrylic blocks. ....	46
(17) : Post inside the root ready to be assembled into its place of the upper member of the centralizing device.....	46
(18) : Post and root are fixed using pink boxing wax .....	48
(19) : Assemblage of the two parts of the centralizing device.....	48
(20) : Separation of the upper and lower members of the centralizing device and removal of the acrylic block with the root inside .....	49
(21) : Specimen under the static load device .....	52
(22) : Periapical X-ray films showing posts in their places.....	52
(23) : Thermocycling machine .....	54
(24) : Specially designed retention jig : a) Parts separated      b) Parts assembled .....	54
(25-a) : Special copper attachment for the C-Post and Cosmopost.....	55
(25-b) : Special attachment for the Parapost and Cast post .....	55
(26) : Universal testing machine before load application.....	57
(27) : Universal testing machine during application of tensile force.....	57
(28) : Universal testing machine during separation of post from the root .....	58

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I	Shows and summarizes the grouping of teeth.	41
II	Showing the retention values (in kgs), means and standard deviations of Group I (C-post luted with three different types of cements)	61
III	Showing the retention values (in kgs), means and standard deviations of Group II (Cosmopost luted with three different types of cements).	63
IV	Showing the retention values (in kgs), means and standard deviations of Group III (Parapost luted with three different types of cements).	65
V	Showing the retention values (in kgs), means and standard deviations of Group IV (Cast post luted with three different types of cements).	67
VI	A Comparison between the means and standard deviations values in (kgs) of the four tested Groups and their subgroups.	70

## LIST OF GRAPHS

Graph	Page
(1) Bar graph showing the difference in mean retention values in kgs of group I	61
(2) Bar graph showing the difference in mean retention values in kgs of group II.	63
(3) Bar graph showing the difference in mean retention values in kgs of group III.	65
(4) Bar graph showing the difference in mean retention values in kgs of group IV.	67
(5) A collective bar graph comparing the four main Groups with their subgroups.	70

## *Chapter I*

# **INTRODUCTION**