

The Effect Of Different Preparation Designs And Cement Type On The Fracture Resistance Of All-Ceramic Cantilever Anterior Fixed Partial Dentures

Research project submitted to crown and bridge
Department, Ain-shams University for the partial
fulfillment of the requirements of the Master degree in
crown and bridge

By

Ashraf Mohamed Sameh Refaie

B.D.S. Faculty of crown and bridge, Ain Shams University (2009)

2015

Supervised by:

Dr. Tarek Salah Morsi

Associate Prof. of crown and bridge
Head of fixed prosthodontics department
Faculty of dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Amr Saleh El-Etreby

Lecturer of crown and bridge
Faculty of dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Maged Mohamed Zohdy

Lecturer of crown and bridge
Faculty of dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dedication

This work is dedicated to

My Dear Father, the one who always
supported me,

My Dear Mother, the one who is always
there for me,

My Adorable wife, to whom I owe a lot,

My daughter, the one who enlightened
my heart with joy

&

My Amazing Sister & Brother
Without your support I wouldn't have
been the person I am now.

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, thanks to Allah the most beneficent and most merciful for granting me patience and enthusiasm to accomplish my work.

I am greatly honored to express my gratitude to **Dr. Tarek Salah Morsi**, Associate Professor and Head of Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his kind meticulous supervision and fruitful criticism.

I would like to express my special thanks to **Dr. Amr Saleh El-Etreby**, Lecturer at Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his patient supervision and unlimited willingness for guidance and support.

I also wish to extend my deepest appreciation and deepest gratitude to **Dr. Maged Mohamed Zohdy**, Lecturer at Fixed Prosthodontics Department Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his patient supervision and his effort throughout this project making it possible.

I also wish to express my special thanks to **Dr. Mazen Ahmed Attia**, Lecturer at Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, MTI University, for his aid in fabrication epoxy resin casts.

Finally, I wish to express my sincere thanks to all staff members and colleagues who have continued to support me throughout this project.

LIST OF CONTENTS

List of tables.....	1
List of figures.....	2
Introduction.....	5
Review of literature.....	7
Aim of the Study.....	33
Materials & Methods.....	34
Results.....	72
Discussion.....	84
Summary and conclusion.....	93
References.....	97

List of tables

Table 1: Technical data of the VITA YZ for inLab®	34
Table 2: Variables interaction of the study	40
Table 3: Technical profiles of used cements (based on information by manufacturers)...	65
Table 4: Fracture resistance results (Mean values± SDs) as function of preparation design and cement type.....	73
Table 5: Two factorial analysis of variance ANOVA test of significance comparing variables affecting fracture resistance mean values	73
Table 6: Comparison between total fracture resistance results (Mean values± SDs) as function of preparation design technique	74
Table 7: Comparison between total fracture resistance results (Mean values± SDs) as function of cement type.....	75
Table 8: Comparison of fracture resistance results (Mean values± SDs) as function of preparation design and cement type ranked from higher to lower.....	78
Table 9: Frequent distribution % for failure modes for all groups.....	80

List of figures

Figure 1: The Cerec 3 acquisition unit	35
Figure 2: inLab MC XL milling machine.....	35
Figure 3: Full coverage Retainer Preparation (Labial view)	37
Figure 4: Three quarter preparation (lingual view)	38
Figure 5: Modified three quarter retainer preparation	39
Figure 6: Cerec Liquid, Powder container and aerosol container	41
Figure 7: Powder application for full coverage retainer preparation (occlusal view)	42
Figure 8: Powder application for three quarter retainer preparation (occlusal view)	42
Figure 9: Powder application for modified three quarter retainer preparation (occlusal view)	43
Figure 10: Optical impression for full coverage preparation	45
Figure 11: Optical impression for three quarter preparation	45
Figure 12: Optical impression for modified three quarter preparation	46
Figure 13: Trimming the 3D model for full coverage preparation	46
Figure 14: Trimming the 3D model for partial coverage preparation.....	47
Figure 15: Trimming the 3D model for modified partial coverage preparation	47
Figure 16: Preparation margin for Full coverage Preparation.....	48
Figure 17: Preparation margin for modified partial coverage Preparation	48
Figure 18: Insertion axis for Full coverage Preparation	49
Figure 19: Insertion axis for partial coverage Preparation	49
Figure 20: Insertion axis for modified partial coverage Preparation.....	50
Figure 21: Reduced Bridge and pontic	51
Figure 22: Reduced partial coverage bridge and pontic with bridge connector	51
Figure 23: Reduced full coverage bridge and pontic with bridge connector.....	52
Figure 24: Milling preview for Full coverage cantilever bridge (occlusal view)	53
Figure 25: Milling preview for Full coverage cantilever bridge (gingival view)	53
Figure 26: Milling preview for three quarter retained cantilever bridge (gingival view) ..	54

Figure 27: Milling preview for modified three quarter retained cantilever bridge (gingival view)	54
Figure 28: Milling preview for modified three quarter retained cantilever bridge (lingual view)	55
Figure 29: YZ block mounted in inlab MC XL milling chamber	56
Figure 30: Milled three bridges from YZ blocks	56
Figure 31: Labial view of sintered YZ cantilever bridges	57
Figure 32: Lingual view of sintered YZ cantilever bridges	58
Figure 33: Sintered cantilever bridges after wash firing	59
Figure 34: Applying VITA VM 9 dentine to the labial surface of the pontics	60
Figure 35: Veneering of sintered cantilever bridges	61
Figure 36: Addition polymerization silicone impression.....	63
Figure 37: Boxed impression	63
Figure 38: Epoxy resin casts	64
Figure 39: Etching of epoxy resin die using phosphoric acid 37%.....	66
Figure 40: Samples under static loading for complete Seating	67
Figure 41: Light curing of resin cement	68
Figure 42: Partial coverage cantilever bridge after cementation	69
Figure 43: Universal testing machine.....	69
Figure 44: Epoxy cast mounted in custom made jig.....	70
Figure 45: Lateral loading (proximal view).....	71
Figure 46: Bargraph of fracture resistance mean values as function of preparation design technique and cement type	73
Figure 47: A column chart of total fracture resistance mean values as function of preparation design.....	75
Figure 48:A column chart of total fracture resistance mean values as function of cement	76
Figure 49:Binary column chart comparing fracture resistance mean values as function of preparation design and cement type.....	78
Figure 50: A column chart of comparing fracture resistance mean values as function of preparation design and cement type ranked from higher to lower.....	79
Figure 51:A stacked column chart of different failure modes for all groups.....	80
Figure 52:A pie chart of different failure modes for full coverage+resin group	81

Figure 53: A pie chart of different failure modes for full coverage+GI group	81
Figure 54: A pie chart of different failure modes for modified p. coverage + GI group	82
Figure 55: A pie chart of different failure modes for modified p. coverage + resin group.	82
Figure 56: A pie chart of different failure modes for modified p. coverage + resin group.	83
Figure 57: A pie chart of different failure modes for partial coverage + resin group	83

INTRODUCTION

Maxillary lateral incisors are among the most commonly congenitally missing teeth. The replacement of these teeth raises several important treatment planning concerns. Therefore, it is beneficial to use an interdisciplinary treatment approach to achieve the most predictable outcome.

Recently, yttrium oxide partially-stabilized zirconia (Y-TZP) ceramics have been introduced to dentistry because of their desirable esthetics, biocompatibility, superior fracture strength, and fracture toughness compared with other dental ceramic systems.

The development of these materials, coupled with the new computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) fabrication techniques, has created a wide range of applications for dental restorations. Core substructures for dental restorations can be fabricated from fully or partially sintered ceramic blanks using manually controlled copy-milling or CAD/CAM technology.

After milling, zirconia has to be veneered with porcelain in layering or press technique; however, this veneering porcelain is directly exposed to chewing, clenching and moisture which might weaken the veneering and result in cracks or chipping.

The fracture resistance of all-ceramic restorations is one of the major concerns in clinical applications of these materials. Several factors influence the fracture resistance of all-ceramic restorations.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Dental ceramics may be defined as inorganic compounds with non-metallic properties consisting of oxygen and one or more metallic or semi-metallic elements, e.g. aluminum, magnesium, silicon, titanium and zirconium.^[1, 2] They may exist as either crystalline or amorphous solids, being called glasses.^[3]

Many different ceramics have been introduced in recent years for all types of indirect restorations.

Zirconia:

Zirconia is a polymorphic material that occurs in three temperature-dependant forms that are: monoclinic (room temperature to 1170 °C), tetragonal (1170 °C–2370°C) and cubic (2370°C – up to melting point). The tetragonal-to-monoclinic phase (t-m) transformation occurs below 1170°C and is accompanied by a 3-5% volume expansion which causes high internal stresses. This transformation is reversible and begins at 950°C on cooling, unless stabilizing oxides are added.^[4, 5]

When stabilizing oxides such as magnesia, ceria, yttria and calcium oxides are added to zirconia, the tetragonal phase is retained in a metastable condition at room temperature, enabling a phenomenon called transformation toughening to occur.^[6]

Yttrium-oxide (Y_2O_3 3% mol) is added to pure zirconia to control the volume expansion and to stabilize it in the tetragonal phase at room temperature. This Yttrium-oxide partially stabilized zirconia (Y-TZP) has high initial flexural strength (900 to 1200MPa) and fracture toughness (9 to 10MPa.m^{1/2}). Tensile stresses at a crack tip will cause the tetragonal phase to transform into the monoclinic phase with an associated 3-5% localized expansion. The volume increase creates compressive stresses at the crack tip that counteracts the external tensile stresses and retards crack propagation. In the presence of higher stress, a crack can still propagate. The toughening mechanism does not prevent the progression of a crack it just makes it harder for the crack to propagate. [7, 8]

At room temperature, the transformation from tetragonal to monoclinic is a one-way process. This means that, once it takes place, the crack-hindering effect cannot be exploited for limiting further fractures. [9] when heating the material at a temperature between 900°C and 1000°C for a short time, the process can be reversed; [10, 11] in this case, the transformation from monoclinic back to tetragonal occurs, thus making crystals available again for further transformation and crack repair, and generates a relaxation of the compressive stress at the surface. From this point of view, the high temperature process of veneering zirconia with feldspathic ceramic should be taken into account as a possible risk of such a detrimental reverse transformation. [5]

The mechanical properties of 3Y-TZP strongly depend on its grain size. Above a critical grain size, 3Y-TZP is less stable and more susceptible to spontaneous $t \rightarrow m$ transformation, whereas smaller grain sizes ($<1\mu\text{m}$) are associated with a lower transformation rate. Moreover, below a certain grain size ($\sim 0.2\mu\text{m}$), the transformation is not possible, leading to reduced fracture toughness. Consequently, the sintering conditions have a strong impact on both stability and mechanical properties of the final product as they dictate the grain size. Higher sintering temperatures and longer sintering times lead to larger grain sizes. ^[12]

Physical properties of zirconia

Zirconium (Zr) is a metal with the atomic number 40. The material has a density of 6.49 gm/cm^3 , a melting point of 1852°C and a boiling point of 3580°C . Zirconia has a coefficient of thermal expansion ($\text{CTE} \sim 10.5\text{-}11 \times 10^{-6}^\circ\text{C}$), and a low thermal conductivity, $2\text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$, compared to 30 for alumina, so it prevents the sensitivity of the abutment tooth during temperature changes in mouth.

Zirconia is not soluble in water, ^[9]that was proved not to be cytotoxic, ^[13]and not to enhance the bacterial adhesion that is lower than on titanium. ^[14, 15] Moreover, it has a low corrosion potential, ^[5] and exhibits a favorable radio-opacity which is very useful in evaluation of marginal integrity. ^[16]

Mechanical properties of zirconia:

Y-TZP is a glass-free, high-strength polycrystalline ceramic that is indicated for anterior and posterior crown copings and FDP frameworks. [17, 18]

Zirconia has a flexural strength of (900-1200MP) [19] which is approximately equal to metal-ceramics (900-1000MPa), twice as strong as alumina oxide ceramics (500MPa) and 5 times greater than standard glass-ceramics. [20, 21]

Materials with high flexural strength (ability to bend before it breaks) provide restorations with less susceptibility to bulk fractures. [22]

Even more important is the fracture toughness of the material which measures the ability of a material to resist propagation of an internal crack. Fracture toughness of zirconia is (6-10MPa.m^{1/2}), [23] which is almost twice as high as that of alumina oxide ceramics (4MPa.m^{1/2}). This is due to transformational toughening, which gives zirconia its unique mechanical properties. [24, 25]

The modulus of elasticity (expression for stiffness) describes the resistance of the material against flexible deformation. For zirconium dioxide the young's modulus amounts to approximately 200-300 GPa. Other all-ceramic systems reach approximately 100 GPa, while metal-ceramic