



Ain Shams University
Faculty of science
Zoology Department

Molecular Taxonomic Studies on Some Helminth Parasites Infecting Certain Marine Fish

**A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE AWARD OF
THE Ph.D. DEGREE IN ZOOLOGY (parasitology)**

By

**Abdusalam saleh Hussein abusdel
Lecturer assistant, Biology Department,
Fac. Of Science, El-Margeb University, Libya**


SUPERVISED BY

**Professor Dr. Abdalla Mohamed Ibrahim
Professor of Aquatic Biology,
Zoology Department
Fac. Science, Ain Shams University**

**Professor Dr. Mohamed Sayed Salama
Professor of molecular Biology,
Department of Entomology
Fac. Science, Ain Shams University**

**Professor Dr. Ahmed Fahmy Hussein Abo Doma
Professor of molecular Biology,
Department of Genetics, Fac. Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2015/2016



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ
"وَهُوَ الَّذِي سَخَّرَ الْبَحْرَ لِتَأْكُلُوا مِنْهُ لَحْمًا طَرِيًّا
وَتَسْتَخْرِجُوا مِنْهُ حِلْيَةً تَلْبَسُونَهَا وَتَرَى الْفُلْكَ
مَوَاحِرَ فِيهِ وَلِتَبْتَغُوا مِنْ فَضْلِهِ وَلِعَلَّكُمْ
تَشْكُرُونَ"

صدق الله العظيم
سورة النحل آية (14)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost grateful thanks to "ALLAH" the most beneficent and merciful.

*And words would not be sufficient to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to prodigious efforts of **prof. Dr. Abdulla Mohamed Ibrahim, Professor of Aquatic biology, Zoology department Fac. Sciences, Ain Shams University**, for supervising and illuminating criticism in reading the manuscript. I greatly appreciate his meticulous guidance, emotional support and valuable time.*

*I am especially indebted to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed fahmy Hussein Abo Doma Professor of molecular biology, Department of Genetics, Fac. Agriculture, Ain Shams University**, for his great efforts in planning the practical work, scientific help, constructive comments, kind co-operation and participation in revising the whole work and for giving me so much attention and time. To him I shall be forever grateful.*

*Words also are not enough to reply **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sayed Salama, Professor of molecular biology, Department of entomology Fac. Sciences, Ain Shams University**, for his generous supervision, and for the critical reading and revision of all details of manuscript. His scientific merit, deep experience, and constant support leading to completion of this thesis.*

*I would like to extend my deepest appreciation to **Dr Mohamed Hamdy Emam Ammar, Associate Prof., director of plant gene bank, Alshekh zouaied, Sinai, Dissert Research Center**, for his great efforts in conducting the molecular analysis. To him I shall be forever grateful.*

*Also, I would like to extend my deepest appreciation to **Dr Mahmoud Magdi Almossalamy, Lecturer, Department of Genetics, Fac. Agriculture, Ain Shams University**, for his great efforts in conducting the molecular analysis. To him I shall be forever grateful.*

I would like to extend my deepest appreciation to all the staff members of zoology department, Fac. Sciences, Ain Shams University.

List of contents

No.	Title	Page
1	CHAPTER I Introduction	1
2	CHAPTER II Review of The Literature	4
3	Frequency and distribution of anisakid nematode	6
4	Cestode parasites	17
5	Acanthocephala	19
6	Molecular study	21
7	CHAPTER III Materials and Methods	30
8	Materials	31
9	Methods	31
10	Biological measurements of the examined fish	31
11	Collecting helment parasites from internal organs	31
12	alimentary tract	31
13	liver, kidneys and gonads	31
14	muscles	32
15	Compression technique	32
16	Digestion method	32
17	Fixation and mounting of nematodes and acanthocephalan	32
18	Preparation of samples for scanning electron microscope	32
19	relation between parasitism and some fish morphological traits	33
20	Molecular studies	33
21	DNA extraction	33
22	DNA extraction buffer	34
23	Purification buffer	34
24	TE- resolving Buffer	34
25	Amplified Fragment Lngth Polymorphism (AFLP)	35
26	Restriction/Ligation	35
27	Pre-amplification	36
27	Selective Amplification reaction mixture	36
28	Selective Amplification	36
29	Amplification	36
30	Banding patterns detection	37
31	CHAPTER IV Results and discussion	39
32	Epidemiological studies	39
33	Helminthes prevalence studies in some fishes in Egypt and Libya	39
34	Prevalence of different types of helminthes in the examined fishes	42
35	The relation between prevalence of helminthes and fish gender	46
36	The relation between helminthic prevalence and fish body weight	49

37	Taxonomical study	56
38	Taxonomical classification of the recorded parasites	56
39	Nematode parasites	56
40	<i>Ansiakis</i> spp.	57
41	<i>Hysterothylacium aduncum</i>	57
42	<i>Pseudoterranova decipiens</i>	58
43	<i>Contracaecum</i> spp.	58
44	<i>Philometra</i> spp.	58
45	Cestode parasites	59
46	<i>Lacistorhynchus tenuis</i>	59
47	<i>Pseudobothriid dipsacum</i>	59
48	<i>Callitrahynchus gracilis</i>	59
49	<i>Nybelinia</i> sp.	60
50	<i>Diphylobothrium</i> sp. larvae	60
51	<i>Poterobothrium crassicolle</i>	60
52	Acanthocephalan parasites	61
53	<i>Rhadinorhynchus pristis</i>	61
54	<i>Echinorhynchus gadi</i>	61
55	<i>Serrasentis sagittifer</i>	62
56	Molecular studies	79
57	Combination1	80
58	Combination2	86
59	Combination3	92
60	Combination4	99
61	Combination5	106
62	Combination6	115
63	Genetic relationships and similarities	123
64	Similarity indexes	125
65	CHAPTER V SUMMARY	131
66	CHAPTER VI REFERENCES	137
	ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1	The numbers of examined fish of each species, their families in Egypt and Libya.	30
2	parasites belonging to families Nematode, Cestoda and Acanthocephala.	31
3	the used primer pairs in PCR amplification and their sequences.	37
4	Helminthes prevalence results in some fishes in Egypt and Libya.	40
5a	Prevalence of different types of helminthes in the examined fishes in Egypt.	43
5b	Prevalence of different types of helminthes in the examined fishes in Libya.	45
6	The relation between prevalence of helminthes and fish gender.	48
7	The relation between helminthic prevalence and fish body weight.	51
8a	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 1) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for present.	81
8b	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 1) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for presen.	84
9a	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 2) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for present.	87
9b	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 2) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for present.	90
10a	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 3) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for present.	94
10b	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 3) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for present.	97

11a	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 4) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for present.	100
11b	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 4) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for present.	103
12a	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 5) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for present.	108
12b	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 5) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for present.	113
13a	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 6) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for present.	117
13b	banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 6) recoded as 0 for absent and 1 for present.	120
14	Similarity indexes results among the 12 Egyptian and 12 Libyan samples under investigation.	127

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
1	Helminthes prevalence results in some fishes in Egypt and Libya Blue for Egypt and red for Libya	40
2a	Prevalence of different types of helminthes in the examined fishes in Egypt	43
2b	Prevalence of different types of helminthes in the examined fishes in Libya blue (Larval Cestodes) Red (Nematodes) Green (Acanthocephalan)	45
3	The relation between prevalence of helminthes and fish gender Faint blue (Egypt-male) Red (Egypt-Female) Green (Libya-male) Dark Blue (Libya-Female)	49
4	The relation between helminthic prevalence and fish body weight	52
5a	<i>Anisakis simplex</i> L3 larvae and its morphological aspescts	63
5b	<i>Anisakis pegreffii</i> larvae (L3).	64
6	showing third stage Larvae of <i>Hysterothylacium aduncum</i>	65
7	photomicrographs of <i>Pseudoterranova decipiens</i> juveniles showing	66
8	<i>Contracaecum</i> spp. L3 larva and its morphological aspects	67
9	photographs of <i>Serranus cabrilla</i> infected with the nematode parasite <i>Philometra</i> spp	67
10	Photomicrograph, scanning electron for Plerocercus of <i>Lacistorhynchus tenuis</i> .	68
11	photomicrographs of <i>Pseudobothriidae dipsacum</i>	68
12	Photomicrographs of <i>Callitetrarhynchus gracilis</i>	69
13	Photomicrographs of <i>Nybelinia</i> spp.	69
14	Photomicrographs of Diphyllbothrid plerocercoid.	70
15	Larva <i>Poterobthrium crassicolle</i>	70
16	Scanning electron micrographs of <i>Rhadinorhynchus pristis</i>	71
17	photomicrographs and electron scanning of female acantocephala prasite <i>S. sagittifer</i>	72
18	Scanning electron micrographs of the acantocephala parasite <i>E. gadi</i> infecting	73

19a	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 1)	84
19b	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 1)	85
20a	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 2)	90
20b	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 2)	92
21a	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 3)	96
21b	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 3)	98
22a	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 4)	103
22b	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 4)	106
23a	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 5)	112
23b	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 5)	115
24a	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Egypt using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 6)	120
24b	pseudo gel showing the banding patterns of twelve helminthes isolated from fishes in Libya using florescent die labeled AFLP primer (combination 6)	123
25	The consensus tree for the twelve helminthes under investigation from Egypt and the twelve helminthes from Libya under investigation	125

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted on three different levels, the first level was to study some of the factors affecting the prevalence of the helminthes parasites such as fish type, fish gender and fish body weight. This investigation included fifteen different helminth parasites which were belongs to Nematoda, (six types), Cestodes, (six types) and Acanthocephala, (three types).

The second level was to distinguish between these fifteen helminthes parasites under investigation morphologically using the light and scanning electron microscopes.

The third level was to discriminate between some of these fifteen helminthes parasites under investigation which collected from Egyptian and Libyan coasts on the molecular level using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP).

The results indicated that in General, fish infection with helminthes was greater in the Egyptian coast than in the Libyan coast of the Mediterranean Sea.

In relation between the fish gender and the prevalence of the helminth parasites, the results indicated that, the infection ratio over all the twelve different fish types under investigation was higher in males than in females in both of Egypt and Libya.

In respect of the relation between fish body weight and the prevalence of helminthes parasites, the results indicated that, the infection ratio over all the twelve different fish types under investigation was higher in high fish body weight than in low fish body weight in both of Egypt and Libya.

The results of helminthes morphological investigation using light and scanning electron microscope, this part of the study could help to know the characteristic features of each one of them, which can aid in the differentiation between the similar species.

On the molecular level, six AFLP-primer pairs were used to detect the genetic variation among twelve helminthes collected from Egyptian coasts and twelve helminthes collected from Libyan coasts and to draw the consensus tree which revealed the genetic relationships among these twenty four helminthes parasites on the base of their banding patterns in AFLP protocol. The results of this part of the investigation revealed that, a total of 1023 bands were developed in the twelve helminthes parasite which collected form Egyptian costs and 614 bands were developed in the twelve helminthes parasite which collected form Libyan costs. Among these developed bands 160 and 141 different markers were detected among the Egyptian samples and Libyan samples, respectively. Each marker was specific for a particular helminthes genotype. Also, 863 and 141 polymorphic bands were developed among the twelve Egyptian and the twelve Libyan samples, respectively.

The consensus tree for the twelve helminthes under investigation from Egypt and the twelve helminthes from Libya under investigation revealed that, the helminthes isolated from Egypt were completely diverged than those which isolated from Libya.

Similarity indexes results showed that, the two most similar genotypes i.e. the two most closely related genotypes were *Pseudoteranova decipiens* genotype₂ and *Pseudoteranova decipiens* genotype₃ (both were from Egypt) with similarity value of 0.52012. On the other hand, the two most distantly related genotypes were *Philometra* genotype₂ from Egypt and *Pseudoteranova decipiens* from Libya with similarity value of 0.20578.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The importance of fishes as a rich source of protein, especially with the rapidly increasing Human populations and the animal protein shortage problem all over the World cannot be denied (**Osman, 2000**). With the ever increasing need for cheap sources of protein, more and more attention is being focused on fish, both from natural and fish farming, (**Khalil and polling, 1997**).

The major component of fish is protein that has a big biological value besides, it contains variable quantities of calcium, phosphate, fat and other nutrients important for human health and growth. Moreover, fish provides the worlds" prime source of high quality protein, as 14-16% of the animal protein consumed worldwide, over one billion people rely on fish as their primary source of animal protein (**Hamilton, 1971**).

Parasitism, a form of symbiosis, is one of the most successful modes of life (**palm and klimple 2007**). More than half of all plant and animal species on earth are parasites, and probably no organism avoids parasitic infection during its lifetime (**palm and Klimpel 2007**), and fish parasitology is an important field in a quantic sciences. Fish parasites play a major role in marine biodiversity (**palm, 2004**). Parasites are of interest for ecological studies because they can indicate environmental conditions such as eutrophication (**palm and Dobberstein, 1999**) and the ecology of the fish hosts, Besides fish parasites can be used as biological indicators for fish stock separation (**Mackenzie, 1983**) as well as for the fish feeding ecology (**Camphell et al., 1980, Willims et al., 1992 and Palm, 1999**). Of special interest for the fish consumers are zoonotic parasites, which can cause diseases and devaluate the fish, (**Hoffman, 1967**).

The helminthic parasites of fish have received a good deal of attention by various parasitologists before the last century (**Wedl, 1861; Fritsch, 1886**

and Looss, 1899& 1986) to understand biological, ecological aspects and host-parasite relationship. There is a usual concept that extensive mortality in the natural environment occurs from the infestation of larger parasites, such as trematodes, nematodes, Cestodes and Acanthocephala that are common in fish (**Bauer, 1958; Bullock, 1961; Williams, 1967; Banhawy *et al.*, 1975; Chabaud, 1975; Palm and Ruckert, 2009 and Abdel-Ghaffar *et al.*, 2013**). In crowded hatchery conditions, infestation of these larger parasites may often cause death. The host reaction may be expressed in tissue proliferation, degeneration, inflammation and probably in the development of immunity as described by **Davis (1953), Bauer (1958) , Williams and Jones (1967) and Smith and Wootten (1978)**.

In the field of molecular genetics there have been major technological advance in recent years providing the ability to sequence multiple markers or whole genomes in a short time span with low costs, e.g. next generation sequencing (**Schuster, 2007; Mardis, 2008; Quail *et al.*, 2012**). In fisheries science, multiple molecular markers such as allozymes, mitochondrial DNA, microsatellite and minisatellite loci, random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), have all been used to analyse stock structure of marine organisms directly (**Carvalho and Hauser, 1994; Thorpe *et al.*, 2000; Baldwin *et al.*, 2012; Ovenden *et al.*, 2013**).

Molecular techniques have the advantage that they allow analyses of the parasite DNA, securing species identification and providing data for phylogenetics. Genomic DNA sequences evolve at different rates, with non-coding, non-transcribed sequences of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) evolving faster than those that encode essential proteins or nuclear DNA (nDNA), respectively. Molecular anisakid nematode identification started with allozyme analyses including restriction fragment length polymorphism techniques (PCR-RFLPs of

ITS-DNA, as described by **D'Amelio *et al.* (2000); Kijewska *et al.* (2002); Pontes *et al.* (2005).** These advances have subsequently helped to unveil previously unrecognised patterns of geographic genetic structure in marine organism (**Sala-Bozano *et al.*, 2009**).

This investigation aimed to work on Helminthic parasites infecting some of the economically important fish from the Mediterranean Sea coasts of Egypt and Libya. Morphological and morpho-metrical characterization of the recovered parasites will go with the determination of the intensity of parasitic infections as well as the seasonal interaction with the morphological description of the recovered parasites based mainly on the use of light and scanning electron microscope to know the characteristic features to each one of them , which can aid in the differentiation between similar species from different habitats. In addition to clarify the taxonomy of these parasites on the molecular level using nuclear DNA analyses and compare them all together using molecular comparative and phylogenetic tools, could aid in the detection of the exact taxonomy of these parasites .