INTESTINAL TRANSPLANTATION Essay

Submitted for partial fulfillment of M.Sc. in **General surgery**

by

John Farouk Atta

M.B. B.Ch.
Kasr al ainy -Cairo University
Under Supervision of

Prof. Tarek Ahmed Hassan

Professor of pediatric surgery
Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Prof.Ehab Abdel Aziz El Shafei

Assistant prof. of Pediatric Surgery Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

prof.Amr Abdel Hamid Zaki

Assistant prof. of Pediatric Surgery Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2015



علم الرب كل عِلم، واطلع على علامة الدهر؛ مُخبِرًا بالماضي والمستقبل، وكاشفا عن آثار الخفايا، لا يفوته فِكرٌ ولا يخفى عليه كلام.

(یشوع بن سیراخ 42:19)

Acknowledgment

Many thanks to **GOD** , who granted me the ability to perform this essay.

I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Tarek Hasan**, Professor of pediatric surgery Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University as the senior supervisor for his help and great support during this work. I am indebted to him for fathering this research.

It is also a pleasure to express my deep gratitude to **Prof. DR. Ehab Abdel Aziz El Shafei** Assistant prof. of Pediatric Surgery Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University. To him goes the credit of bringing this work to light. His continuous encouragement and generous help have promoted me to carry this work. I feel greatly indebted and grateful to him.

I would like also to thank **prof.Amr Abdel Hamid Zaki** Assistant prof. of Pediatric SurgeryFaculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University, for his guidance and encouragement throughout this work. I was fortunate to carry out this essay under his guidance.

Fig. NO.	Item	Page
Fig. 1-1	Embryology of the small	10
	intestine (rotation of intestinal	
	loops)	
Fig. 1-2	Embryology of the small	11
	intestine	
Fig. 1-3	Superior mesenteric artery	15
Fig. 1-4	Layers of the small intestine	17
Fig. 2-1	Gastroschisis	25
Fig. 2-2	Volvulus	26
Fig. 3-1	3D CT angiogram abdomen	48
Fig. 4-1	Intestinal lengthening in short	55
	bowel syndrome	
Fig. 4-2	Surgical procedures of	57
	transplants in intestinal	
	failure	
Fig. 4-3	Isolated intestinal graft with	59
	vascular pedicals	

Table No.	Item	page
Table 1	Causes of intestinal	23-24
	failure	
Table 2	Intestinal adaptation	37
	progress in patients with	
	SBS	
Table 3	Icheme for SBS	81
	managment	

List of Abbreviations:		
Anti-IL-2	Anti-interleukin-2	
APCs	Antigen presenting cells	
CMV	Cytomegalovirus	
CT	Computerised tomography	
DD	Deceased Donor	
EBV	Epstein-Barr viras	
ERCP	Endoscopic retrograde	
	cholangiopancreatography	
GALT	Gut associated lymphoid tissues	
GIT	Gastrointestinal tract	
GVHD	Graft Versus Host Disease	
HIV	Human immunodeficiency virus	
HLA	Human leucocytic antigen	
HPN	Home parentral nutration	
IF	Intestinal failure	
ITx	intestinal transplantation	
LD	Living donor	
MID	Microvillus inclusion disease	
MRA	Magnetic resonance angiography	
NEC	Necrotizing enterocolitis	
PCR	polymerase chain reaction	
PSRs	program-specific reports	
PTLPD	Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease	
rATG	(rabbit Anti-thymocyte globulin)	
SBS	Short bowel syndrome	
SMA	Superior mesenteric artery	
STEP	serial transverse enteroplasty	
TPN	Total parenteral nutrition	
UNOS	United Network for Organ Sharing	
UW	University of Wisconsin solution	
solution		

List of contents

page
Acknowledgment I
Dedication II
List of figures
Introduction and aim of work
Historical background 5
Anatomical and physiological considerations 10
Indications and Contra-indications of intestinal transplantation
Recipient ,graft and donor selection39
Surgical techniques52
Postoperative complications, management and follow up

Outcomes	.74
Summary	78
Conclusion	
References	82
Arabic summary	105

Aim of the work:

In our study we will throw lights on the following questions;

- ✓ What is the historical background of intestinal transplantation?
- ✓ What is the definition of intestinal failure and what are the various indications for intestinal transplantations?
- ✓ What are the different types of intestinal transplants?
- ✓ What are the criteria of donor, graft and recipient selection?
- ✓ What are the complications of intestinal transplantation?
- ✓ What is the operative technique of intestinal transplantation?
- ✓ What is the postoperative management and outcome?

INTRODUCTION

Intestinal transplantation, either alone or combined with the liver or other organs, may become necessary in patients with short bowel syndrome (SBS) who fail intestinal rehabilitation. The first successful isolated and combined small bowel transplantation occurred almost 25 years ago, between 1990 and 2008, 1041 pediatric small bowel transplantation were performed in the United States. pediatric 100–120 intestinal-containing Annually, performed worldwide. transplants are (Mazariegos et al., 2009), (Nayvar et al., 2010).

Indications for pediatric intestinal transplantation include failure to achieve more than half of caloric requirements enterally with either growth failure. worsening liver function, loss of central venous access, or sepsis. Most children requiring intestinal recurrent transplantation (68%) have Short bowel syndrome due to anatomic loss with the most common etiologies as gastroschisis (24%), NEC (16%), volvulus (15%), and small bowel atresia (9%). (Avitzur & Grant 2010).

Intestinal transplantation can occur in isolation or in combination with other organs. Many children will have advanced liver disease at the time of referral and will undergo combined liver-small bowel transplantation. (Fishbein 2009), (Mazariegos et al., 2009).

Intestinal transplantation poses a significant immunologic challenge because 80% of immune cell normally reside in gut and they are re-populated with recipient cells after transplantation. Acute rejection can limit long term survival; it occurs in 60% of pediatric intestinal recipients with a third of cases being severe. (Fishbein 2009), (Abu-Elmagd et al., 2009).

Considerable progress in immunosuppression has decreased rates of Acute rejection leading to improvement of early allograft survival while minimizing toxicity. (Abu-Elmagd et al.,2009)

Acute cellular rejection may be asymptomatic or present with diarrhea, abdominal pain, distention, nausea, vomiting, or a sudden increase or decrease in stoma output. (Mazariegos et al. ,2009).

Early diagnosis and treatment of Acute rejection is critical for successful reversal, therefore scheduled surveillance biopsies of the graft are performed through the ileostomy opening created at the time of transplantation. A typical early surveillance biopsy schedule includes twice per week in the first month, weekly during the second month, then twice per month for the third month and then monthly. Improved detection and treatment of Acute rejection have led to a marked improvement in early graft survival. (Nayyar et al., 2010), (Fishbein 2009).

Chronic rejection is the major cause of late graft loss. Patients can present with abdominal pain with chronic diarrhea, bowel obstruction or gastrointestinal bleeding,

Introduction

weight loss or failure to thrive. In recent series, chronic rejection rates are 10–15% with liability to occur in isolated intestinal transplants compared to combined liver-intestinal transplants. (21% vs. 5%).

(Nayyar et al., 2010), (Mazariegos et al., 2009).

History of intestinal transplantation

The technical feasibility of the procedure has been established for a century, but immunological feasibility was far more difficult to establish. The high density of lymphoid tissue and the large mucosal surface area of the small intestine expressing class 2 major histocompatability antigens fuels the mutual intolerance between graft and host. As a hollow organ whose lumen is colonised by a multitude of bacteria and other micro-organisms, it behaves as a potent vector of infection to the host, a problem that is made worse by the precarious barrier from the lumen provided by the thin and vulnerable monolayer of mucosal epithelium. Here then is the fine balance between immunosuppression and infection that led to transplantation failure in so many early attempts. (Okumura&Mester,1992).

I. Pre Cyclosporine Era:

Following early transplantation attempts, deaths were most commonly a consequence of acute graft rejection and subsequent sepsis associated multiorgan failure. (**Okumura et al., 1969**).

This scenario was not improved even with the introduction of combination therapy with azathioprine, prednisolone and antilymphocyte globulin. (**Grant et al., 1997**).

II. Cyclosporine Era:

The introduction of cyclosporine in 1978 by Calne and colleagues accelerated progress in solid organ transplantation and rekindled interest in intestinal grafts.

(Calne et al., 1978), (Calne et al., 1979).

Success in this new cyclosporine era led to the transition from success in animals to the first long term success in humans. In 1988 Grant and colleagues reported a patient with short gut syndrome following mesenteric infarction who had undergone combined liver and small intestine transplantation and remained alive one year after the procedure. Other groups soon reported similar experiences. (**Grant et al.**, 1997).

III. Tacrolimus Era

With the introduction of tacrolimus in the 1990s, a new chapter in intestinal transplantation began .Just as cyclosporine in the 1980s had significantly increased the survival rates of kidney, liver, pancreas, and heart transplants, tacrolimus propelled intestinal transplantation to clinical acceptance.Initial work at the University of Pittsburgh demonstrated that tacrolimus significantly decreased the incidence of rejection and increased graft and patient survival rates after intestinal transplantation. (Todo et al., 1992),(Todo et al., 1995).

The first Living Donor (LD) intestinal transplant in the tacrolimus era was reported by Morris et al. in 1995(Morris et al., 1995), A 31-year-old man with a desmoid tumor underwent excision of the tumor, and in the same session, a small bowel

transplant from his monozygotic twin. The technical aspects of LD intestinal transplantation were studied in a pig model; the results of this experimental work became the basis of the first LD intestinal transplant at the University of Minnesota. After the University of Minnesota's first two technically successful LD intestinal transplants, the university of Illinois group published guidelines for a standardized technique for intestinal transplants from living donors in 1997. (Starzl et al., 2004).

Since then, at least 25 more LD intestinal transplants have been performed worldwide .Initially, LD intestinal transplants were performed in North America and Europe. Over time, LD intestinal transplants have also been reported in Asian countries, such as Japan, China, and Korea. (Lee et al., 2004), (Uemoto et al., 1998).

The first Japanese case was performed in Kyoto in a 2-year 6-month-old boy who received 100cm of distal donor ileum. (Uemoto et al., 1998).

LD intestinal transplants have been reported worldwide between January 1985 and March 2005. Ofnote, with the exception of the identical twin transplants, there appears to be no significant (graft and patient) survival advantage compared with the results of Deceased Donor(DD) intestinal transplants, this finding has been critically documented in the literature and has stirred a debate about whether the use of LDs for segmental intestinal transplants is justified.

(Tzakis & Gruessner 1998), (Fryer & Angelos 2004).

However, what is frequently overlooked is that short bowel syndrome is not a static process and that serious TPN-associated