



Behavior of Tension Lap Splices Confined by Transverse Reinforcement on Different Types of Reinforced Concrete Beams

BY

AHMED MOUNIR FATHALLA ABD EL-HAFEZ

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirement for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY

GIZA, EGYPT

2017

Engineer: Ahmed Mounir Fathalla Abd El-Hafez
Date of Birth: 22/03/1991
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: AhmedMounir73@gmail.com
Phone. : +201006903222
Address: 16, Mogamaa Rahman, Talkha, Dakhalya, Egypt.
Registration Date: 01/10/2013
Awarding Date: .. / .. /
Degree: Master of Science.
Department: Structural Engineering



Supervisors: Prof. Dr. Akram Mohamed Torkey (Thesis Main Advisor)
Dr. Rasha Tharwat Senousi Mabrouk

Examiners: Prof. Dr. Akram Mohamed Abd ElHalim Torkey (Thesis Main Advisor)
Professor of Concrete Structures, Faculty of Engineering Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Magdy Elsayed Kasem (Internal Examiner)
Professor of Concrete Structures, Faculty of Engineering Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Galal Shabaan (External Examiner)
Professor of Concrete Structures, Shoubra Faculty of Engineering, Benha University

Title of Thesis:

Behavior of Tension Lap Splices Confined by Transverse Reinforcement on Different Types of Reinforced Concrete Beams

Key Words:

Transverse Reinforcement, Bond Strength, Lap Splice, High Strength Concrete, Self-Compacting Concrete

Summary:

The primary objective of this research is to evaluate the effect of using transverse reinforcement within the splice region on the bond strength of tension lap splices anchored in different types of reinforced concrete beams. All beams are of 1800 mm clear span and 150 × 250 mm cross-section. The main studied variables are: number, diameter, distribution and shape of transverse reinforcement provided for the different concrete types. During testing; ultimate capacity, deflection, crack pattern, mode of failure and strain distribution on the main steel and stirrups were recorded. The data obtained showed that transverse reinforcement has an important effect on the improvement of the bond strength within the tension lap splice. Using self-compacting concrete gave the best results. The beams tested were all modeled using three-dimensional nonlinear finite element static analysis of the concrete using the well-known finite element method (FEM) software ABAQUS. The analytical and experimental results were compared and reasonable agreement was obtained.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks Allah for the great care and help to prepare and present this thesis.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my supervisors Prof. Dr. Akram Torkey and Dr. Rasha Tharwat for their extra-ordinary support and invaluable effort along all this research period. In addition, I'm really indebted for each thing they taught to me in science and generally in life.

I would like to thank to my parents, sisters and my wife for their invaluable supports and understandings.

I would like to thank to my friends, colleagues and co-workers who did “not” leave me alone during this period of time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	Page
Acknowledgements.....	I
Table of contents.....	II
List of tables.....	VI
List of figures.....	VII
Abstract.....	XIII

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 General.....	1
1.2 Research Objectives.....	1
1.3 Scope of Work.....	2
1.4 Thesis Arrangement.....	3

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 General.....	4
2.2 Concept of Bond Stresses.....	4
2.2.1 Bond strength.....	5
2.2.2 Development length.....	5
2.3 Splicing of Reinforcement.....	6
2.3.1 Mechanical splices.....	6
2.3.2 Welded splices.....	7
2.3.3 Lap splices.....	7
2.4 Specifications of Tension Lap Splice in Codes.....	8
2.4.1 Egyptian code 203-2007.....	9
2.4.2 ACI 318-14 code.....	11
2.4.3 Euro code 2 [EN 1992-1].....	12
2.4.4 British standards B.S. 8110-1997 code.....	14
2.4.5 Notes from comparing codes provisions.....	15
2.5 Factors affecting Tension Lap Splice.....	16
2.5.1 Effect of lap length.....	16
2.5.2 Effect of bar end shape.....	17
2.5.3 Effect of using transverse reinforcement.....	18
2.5.4 Effect of reinforcement bar diameter.....	19
2.5.5 Effect of cut off ratio.....	19
2.5.6 Effect of reinforcement ratio.....	20
2.5.7 Effect of concrete cover thickness.....	20
2.5.8 Effect of concrete compressive strength.....	20
2.5.9 Effect of position of lap splice.....	21

2.5.10 Effect of casting position.....	21
--	----

CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

3.1 General.....	22
3.2 Notation System.....	22
3.3 Details of Test Specimens.....	23
3.4 Design of Test Specimens.....	27
3.5 Characteristics of the Used Materials.....	27
3.5.1 Cement.....	27
3.5.2 Fine aggregate.....	28
3.5.3 Coarse aggregate.....	28
3.5.4 Super plasticizer (Glenium C315).....	29
3.5.5 Silica fume.....	30
3.5.6 Water.....	30
3.5.7 Reinforcement steel.....	31
3.6 Concrete Mix Design.....	31
3.7 Fabrication of Specimens.....	32
3.7.1 Shuttering.....	32
3.7.2 Steel works.....	33
3.8 Mixing, Placing and Curing Procedures.....	35
3.9 Evaluation of Concrete Properties.....	37
3.9.1 Fresh properties.....	37
3.9.2 Hardened properties.....	39
3.10 Test Procedure.....	40

CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

4.1 General.....	42
4.2 Crack Pattern, Crack Propagation and Mode of Failure.....	42
4.2.1 Crack Pattern Group (I).....	43
4.2.2 Crack Pattern Group (II).....	45
4.2.3 Crack Pattern Group (III).....	48
4.2.4 Crack Pattern Group (IV).....	49
4.2.5 Crack Pattern Group (V).....	50
4.2.6 Analysis of Cracking Behavior.....	52
4.3 Ultimate Load of Failure.....	53
4.4 Load-Deflection Relationship.....	54
4.4.1 Effect of stirrups diameter.....	55
4.4.2 Effect of stirrups distribution.....	55
4.4.3 Effect of stirrups number.....	56
4.4.4 Effect of stirrups shape.....	56
4.4.5 Effect of stirrups on concrete types.....	56

4.5 Load-Strain Relationship.....	59
4.5.1 Distribution of stresses along splice length.....	60
4.5.2 Effect of stirrups diameter.....	64
4.5.3 Effect of stirrups distribution.....	65
4.5.4 Effect of stirrups number.....	66
4.4.5 Effect of stirrups shape.....	66
4.4.6 Stresses in stirrups.....	68
4.6 Measure of Ductility, Stiffness and Fracture Energy.....	69
4.6.1 Measure of specimen's ductility.....	69
4.6.2 Measure of specimen's stiffness.....	71
4.6.3 Measure of fracture energy.....	73

CHAPTER 5: NUMERICAL MODELING AND EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

5.1 General.....	75
5.2 ABAQUS Software.....	75
5.3 Model Elements.....	76
5.3.1 Solid elements.....	76
5.3.2 Beam elements.....	77
5.3.2 Model elements assignment.....	77
5.4 Model Interactions.....	79
5.4.1 Concrete beam and reinforcement interactions.....	79
5.4.2 Concrete beam and steel plate interactions.....	80
5.5 Material Modeling.....	80
5.5.1 Steel material model.....	80
5.5.2 Concrete elastic behavior.....	81
5.5.3 Compression hardening.....	81
5.5.4 Tension stiffening.....	82
5.5.5 Concrete plastic behavior.....	82
5.5.6 Concrete damaged plasticity model.....	83
5.5.7 Self-compacting concrete model.....	85
5.6 Meshing.....	87
5.7 Solution Procedure.....	87
5.8 Results and Analytical Investigation.....	87
5.8.1 Comparison of load-deflection behavior.....	88
5.8.2 Comparison of load-steel strain behavior.....	92
5.8.3 Numerical stress analysis output data.....	97
5.8.4 Analysis of deviation between FEM and experimental results.....	111

CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS

6.1 Summary..... 112

6.2 Conclusions..... 112

6.3 Recommendations.....113

6.4 Future Study.....113

REFERENCES.....114

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

Table (2.1): Values of correction β factor.....	10
Table (2.2): Values of correction α factor.....	10
Table (2.3): Classification of tension lap splice.....	11
Table (2.4): Development length according to ACI 318-05.....	12
Table (2.5): Values of α_1 α_2 α_3 α_4 α_5 coefficients according to Euro code.....	13
Table (2.6): Values of α_1 α_2 α_3 α_4 α_5 coefficients according to Euro code.....	14
Table (2.7): Values of Anchorage and lap lengths as multiple of bar size.....	15

CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

Table (3.1): Details of test specimens.....	24
Table (3.2): Physical and mechanical properties of cement.....	27
Table (3.3): Sieve analysis of the used fined aggregate.....	28
Table (3.4): Physical and mechanical properties of coarse aggregate.....	29
Table (3.5): Typical properties of Glenium C315.....	30
Table (3.6): Chemical analysis of water.....	30
Table (3.7): Mechanical properties of tested reinforcement and specification.....	31
Table (3.8): Design of concrete mixes.....	32
Table (3.9): ECP 203-2007 limitations for slump value.....	38
Table (3.10): Conformity criteria for SCC according to EFNRAC.....	38
Table (3.11): Concrete compressive strength after 28 days.....	40

CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Table (4.1): Details of crack formation for different concrete types.....	52
Table (4.2): Summary of test results.....	54
Table (4.3): Ductility measure for tested beams.....	70
Table (4.4): Stiffness measure for tested beams.....	72
Table (4.5): Fracture energy for tested beams.....	74

CHAPTER 5: NUMERICAL MODELING AND EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

Table (5.1): Material parameters of CDP model for beam NC-L00-0T6-V.....	86
--	----

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

Figure (2.1): Forces acting on reinforcement bars.....	4
Figure (2.2): Bearing Forces in bar lugs.....	5
Figure (2.3): Development length in reinforcement bar.....	6
Figure (2.4): Mechanical splices for reinforcement bars.....	6
Figure (2.5): Welded splices for reinforcement bars.....	7
Figure (2.6): Force transfer mechanism on tension lap splice.....	8
Figure (2.7): Ring tensile stresses around the splice.....	8
Figure (2.8): Contact and non-contact splices.....	9
Figure (2.9): Staggered distribution of splices.....	9
Figure (2.10): Splice end-concentrated transverse reinforcement.....	14

CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

Figure (3.1): Details of used transverse reinforcement.....	23
Figure (3.2): Details for [NC-L00-0T6-V, HC-L00-0T6-V, SC-L00-0T6-V].....	24
Figure (3.3): Details for [NC-L30-0T6-V, HC-L30-0T6-V, SC-L30-0T6-V].....	24
Figure (3.4): Details for [NC-L30-6T6-V, HC-L30-6T6-V, SC-L30-6T6-V].....	25
Figure (3.5): Details for [NC-L30-2T6-V]...../.....	25
Figure (3.6): Details for [NC-L30-4T6-V].....	25
Figure (3.7): Details for [NC-L30-2T8-V].....	25
Figure (3.8): Details for [NC-L30-NT6-V].....	26
Figure (3.9): Details for [NC-L30-4T6-R].....	26
Figure (3.10): Details for [NC-L30-4T6-S].....	26
Figure (3.11): Details for [NC-L30-4T6-C].....	26
Figure (3.12): Stockpiles of used coarse aggregates.....	28
Figure (3.13): Shuttering and reinforcement of the specimen	33
Figure (3.14): Concrete finished surface.....	33
Figure (3.15): Strain gauge contained electric circuit.....	34
Figure (3.16): Steel reinforcement cage for control specimen	34
Figure (3.17): Steel reinforcement cage for spliced specimen.....	34
Figure (3.18): Mixing concrete in a tilting drum mixer	35
Figure (3.19): Placing and compacting normal concrete	36
Figure (3.20): Pouring self-compacting concrete	36
Figure (3.21): Slump of NSC mix.....	37
Figure (3.22): Slump of HSC mix.....	37

Figure (3.23): Slump flow test of SCC mix.....	38
Figure (3.24): Preparation of test cubes at time of casting.....	39
Figure (3.25): Testing concrete cubes after 28 days.....	39
Figure (3.26): Crushing stress for HSC cube after 28 days.....	39
Figure (3.27): Photograph of general test arrangement.....	41
Figure (3.28): Schematic view of test arrangement.....	41
Figure (3.29): Data acquisition system.....	41

CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Figure (4.1): Tracing cracks during test.....	43
Figure (4.2): Crack pattern & failure mode of [NC-L00-0T6-V].....	43
Figure (4.3): Crack pattern & failure mode of [HC-L00-0T6-V].....	44
Figure (4.4): Crack pattern & failure mode of [SC-L00-0T6-V].....	44
Figure (4.5): Crack pattern & failure mode of [NC-L30-0T6-V].....	45
Figure (4.6): Crack pattern & failure mode of [NC-L30-2T6-V].....	45
Figure (4.7): Crack pattern & failure mode of [NC-L30-4T6-V].....	46
Figure (4.8): Crack pattern & failure mode of [NC-L30-6T6-V].....	46
Figure (4.9): Crack pattern & failure mode of [NC-L30-2T8-V].....	47
Figure (4.10): Crack pattern & failure mode of [NC-L30-NT6-V].....	48
Figure (4.11): Crack pattern & failure mode of [HC-L30-0T6-V].....	48
Figure (4.12): Crack pattern & failure mode of [HC-L30-6T6-V].....	49
Figure (4.13): Crack pattern & failure mode of [SC-L30-0T6-V].....	49
Figure (4.14): Crack pattern & failure mode of [SC-L30-6T6-V].....	50
Figure (4.15): Crack pattern & failure mode of [NC-L30-4T6-S].....	51
Figure (4.16): Crack pattern & failure mode of [NC-L30-4T6-R].....	51
Figure (4.17): Crack pattern & failure mode of [NC-L30-4T6-C].....	52
Figure (4.18): Load of failure for different concrete types.....	53
Figure (4.19): Load of failure for specimens with different stirrups shape.....	53
Figure (4.20): Effect of stirrups bar diameter.....	55
Figure (4.21): Effect of stirrups distribution.....	55
Figure (4.22): Effect of stirrups number in normal strength concrete.....	57
Figure (4.23): Effect of stirrups shape.....	57
Figure (4.24): Effect of confining splice with stirrups in HSC.....	58
Figure (4.25): Effect of confining splice with stirrups in SCC.....	58
Figure (4.26): Effect of confining splice with stirrups in different concrete types.....	59
Figure (4.27): End-mid strain gauges readings for [NC-L30-0T6-V].....	60
Figure (4.28): End-mid strain gauges readings for [NC-L30-2T6-V].....	60
Figure (4.29): End-mid strain gauges readings for [NC-L30-4T6-V].....	61
Figure (4.30): End-mid strain gauges readings for [NC-L30-6T6-V].....	61
Figure (4.31): End-mid strain gauges readings for [NC-L30-NT6-V].....	62

Figure (4.32): End-mid strain gauges readings for [NC-L30-2T8-V].....	62
Figure (4.33): End-mid strain gauges readings for [HC-L30-0T6-V].....	63
Figure (4.34): End-mid strain gauges readings for [HC-L30-6T6-V].....	63
Figure (4.35): End-mid strain gauges readings for [SC-L30-0T6-V].....	64
Figure (4.36): End-mid strain gauges readings for [SC-L30-6T6-V].....	64
Figure (4.37): Effect of stirrups bar diameter on steel strains.....	65
Figure (4.38): Effect of stirrups bar distribution on steel strains.....	65
Figure (4.39): Effect of stirrups numbers on steel strains of HSC.....	66
Figure (4.40): Effect of stirrups numbers on steel strains of SCC.....	66
Figure (4.41): Effect of stirrups numbers on steel strains of NSC.....	67
Figure (4.42): Effect of stirrups shape on steel strains.....	67
Figure (4.43): Load-strain behavior on stirrups at different concrete types.....	68
Figure (4.44): Typical stress-strain relationship.....	69
Figure (4.45): Displacement ductility for tested beams.....	70
Figure (4.46): Relative ductility for tested beams.....	71
Figure (4.47): Relative stiffness for tested beams.....	72
Figure (4.48): Relative fracture energy for tested beams.....	73
Figure (4.49): Relative fracture energy for different bar diameters.....	74

CHAPTER 5: NUMERICAL MODELING

Figure (5.1): ABAQUS two dimensional solid elements.....	76
Figure (5.2): ABAQUS three dimensional solid elements	77
Figure (5.3): Model solid elements.....	78
Figure (5.4): Model truss elements.....	78
Figure (5.5): Solid and truss elements abbreviations.....	79
Figure (5.6): Embedded truss elements in concrete.....	79
Figure (5.7): Design steel-strain diagram.....	80
Figure (5.8): Equivalent stress-strain curve for 30 MPa concrete.....	82
Figure (5.9): Concrete failure surface in plan stress.....	83
Figure (5.10): Typical stress- strain curves for concrete and steel.....	84
Figure (5.11): Stress hardening and strain softening.....	84
Figure (5.12): Different tension stiffening models.....	85
Figure (5.13): Model meshing.....	87
Figure (5.14): Load-deflection comparison for [NC-L00-0T6-V].....	88
Figure (5.15): Load-deflection comparison for [NC-L30-0T6-V].....	88
Figure (5.16): Load-deflection comparison for [NC-L30-2T6-V].....	89
Figure (5.17): Load-deflection comparison for [NC-L30-4T6-V].....	89
Figure (5.18): Load-deflection comparison for [NC-L30-6T6-V].....	89
Figure (5.19): Load-deflection comparison for [NC-L30-2T8-V].....	90
Figure (5.20): Load-deflection comparison for [NC-L30-NT6-V].....	90

Figure (5.21): Load-deflection comparison for [NC-L30-4T6-R].....	90
Figure (5.22): Load-deflection comparison for [NC-L30-4T6-C].....	91
Figure (5.23): Load-deflection comparison for [NC-L30-4T6-S].....	91
Figure (5.24): Load-deflection comparison for [HC-L00-0T6-V].....	91
Figure (5.25): Load-deflection comparison for [HC-L30-0T6-V].....	92
Figure (5.26): Load-deflection comparison for [HC-L30-6T6-V].....	92
Figure (5.27): Position of strain output node.....	93
Figure (5.28): Load-strain comparison for [NC-L00-0T6-V].....	93
Figure (5.29): Load- strain comparison for [NC-L30-0T6-V].....	93
Figure (5.30): Load- strain comparison for [NC-L30-2T6-V].....	94
Figure (5.31): Load- strain comparison for [NC-L30-4T6-V].....	94
Figure (5.32): Load- strain comparison for [NC-L30-6T6-V].....	94
Figure (5.33): Load- strain comparison for [NC-L30-2T8-V].....	95
Figure (5.34): Load- strain comparison for [NC-L30-NT6-V].....	95
Figure (5.35): Load- strain comparison for [HC-L00-0T6-V].....	95
Figure (5.36): Load- strain comparison for [HC-L30-0T6-V].....	96
Figure (5.37): Load- strain comparison for [HC-L30-6T6-V].....	96
Figure (5.38): Load- strain comparison for [NC-L30-4T6-S].....	96
Figure (5.39): Load- strain comparison for [NC-L30-4T6-R].....	97
Figure (5.40): Load- strain comparison for [NC-L30-4T6-C].....	97
Figure (5.41): Steel stresses on [NC-L00-0T6-V].....	98
Figure (5.42): Steel stresses on [NC-L30-0T6-V].....	98
Figure (5.43): Steel stresses on [NC-L30-2T6-V].....	99
Figure (5.44): Steel stresses on [NC-L30-4T6-V].....	99
Figure (5.45): Steel stresses on [NC-L30-6T6-V].....	100
Figure (5.46): Steel stresses on [NC-L30-2T8-V].....	100
Figure (5.47): Steel stresses on [NC-L30-NT6-V].....	101
Figure (5.48): Steel stresses on [HC-L00-0T6-V].....	101
Figure (5.49): Steel stresses on [HC-L30-0T6-V].....	102
Figure (5.50): Steel stresses on [HC-L30-6T6-V].....	102
Figure (5.51): Steel stresses on [NC-L30-4T6-S].....	103
Figure (5.52): Steel stresses on [NC-L30-4T6-R].....	103
Figure (5.53): Steel stresses on [NC-L30-4T6-C].....	104
Figure (5.54): Concrete stresses on [NC-L00-0T6-V].....	104
Figure (5.55): Concrete stresses on [NC-L30-0T6-V].....	105
Figure (5.56): Concrete stresses on [NC-L30-2T6-V].....	105
Figure (5.57): Concrete stresses on [NC-L30-4T6-V].....	106
Figure (5.58): Concrete stresses on [NC-L30-6T6-V].....	106
Figure (5.59): Concrete stresses on [NC-L30-2T8-V].....	107
Figure (5.60): Concrete stresses on [NC-L30-NT6-V].....	107
Figure (5.61): Concrete stresses on [HC-L00-0T6-V].....	108
Figure (5.62): Concrete stresses on [HC-L30-0T6-V].....	108
Figure (5.63): Concrete stresses on [HC-L30-6T6-V].....	109

Figure (5.64): Concrete stresses on [NC-L30-4T6-S].....	109
Figure (5.65): Concrete stresses on [NC-L30-4T6-R].....	110
Figure (5.66): Concrete stresses on [NC-L30-4T6-C].....	110

ABSTRACT

In the recent years, many research efforts have been carried out on the effect of different parameters on modifying bond strength of tension lap spliced reinforcing bars in beams such as lap splice length. Comparatively, Limited attention has been directed towards the effect of using transverse reinforcement along the splice length. An experimental program consists of sixteen reinforced concrete beams was conducted in the concrete research laboratory of Cairo university to investigate the effect of providing transverse reinforcement within the splice region and splice bond strength. The parameters covered are number, diameter and shape of transverse reinforcement provided for different concrete types. The used concrete types on the research are normal strength concrete, high strength concrete and self - compacting concrete. The research involved tests on simply supported beams of 1800mm span and 150*250 mm cross section where tensile steel was spliced in the constant moment zone. Load - deflection relationship, steel strain and crack propagation are recorded during loading from which we can judge the effect of each parameter on the ductility and mode of failure of each beam.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

It is usually possible from the practical point of view to produce reinforcement bars with lengths that can fit the different details of structural members with full length continuous bars. Many reasons make it impossible to have continuous reinforcement bar such as; steel fabrication, transportation limitations and steel workshop detailing that usually set targets to reduce the waste of the standard fabricated bar with 12 meters length as much as possible as a result, it is necessary to splice bars in the field.

Lap splicing, which is often achieved by the overlapping of two parallel bars with enough length that allow stress transfer from one bar to another through the concrete surrounding both bars, has long been considered as an effective and economical splicing method. The efficiency of the lap splice can be measured by how much it gives performance similar to continuous bars embedded in concrete. Good bond strength of lap splice with surrounding concrete reduce the probability of bar slippage or splitting failure before the yielding of reinforcing steel bars.

Stress distribution of reinforcing bars in concrete basically depends on bond strength between steel and concrete. That bond is based on concrete characteristics as a kind of material proprieties. On the other hand, modern codes present other factors that affect the bond strength namely; lap length, concrete cover, reinforcement bar diameter, reinforcement ratio, bar relative rib area and transverse reinforcement provided within the lap zone.

As mentioned above, concrete mechanical properties significantly affect the bond characteristics. As a result, each type of concrete could be a worthy parameter in the evaluation of splice bond strength. Construction using concrete is spreading widely and there is a need for concrete types that satisfy special requirement such as Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC) and High Strength Concrete (HSC). SCC is known for its excellent deformability, high resistance to segregation, without applying vibration flow under its own weight and completely fills the formwork of shape, even with congested reinforcement. HSC has higher strength than the usual normal strength concrete (NSC) that has been produced for almost a century with a compressive strength in the range of 20-40 MPa. HSC has higher compressive strength than the usual normal-strength concrete so naturally; it has higher tensile strength as well.

1.2 Research Objectives

The main objective of this research mainly was to investigate the effect of using transverse reinforcement on the behavior of the beams with tension lap splices and the following parameters were studied:

- 1- Increasing amount of transverse reinforcement within splice zone for different concrete types; NSC, HSC and SCC.