

Evaluation of Dimensional Accuracy of
Different Implant Impression Techniques
Using Two Impression Materials

Thesis

***Submitted to faculty of dentistry,
Crown and Bridge department,
Ain Shams University,***

***For
Partial fulfillment of Requirement for master degree
in fixed prosthodontics***

By:

**Ali Ahmed Ali Aboud Bawazir
B.D.S (2004)**

**Faculty of Dentistry
Misr University for Science & Technology**

**Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University
2012**

تقييم الدقة البعدية لمختلف التقنيات المستخدمة لطبعة الغرسات السنية باستخدام مادتين طابعتين

رسالة مقدمة

لكلية طب الأسنان جامعة عين شمس

قسم التيجان والجسور

توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستير في التيجان والجسور

مقدمة من

الطبيب/علي أحمد علي عبود باوزير

بكالوريوس طب الأسنان

جامعة مصر للعلوم والتكنولوجيا 2004

2012

تحت إشراف

د/جيهان فاروق محمد يونس

أستاذ مساعد التيجان والجسور
كلية طب الأسنان - جامعة عين شمس

د/ محمد عادل الدملوي

أستاذ مساعد التيجان والجسور
كلية طب الأسنان - جامعة عين شمس

Supervised by:

Dr. Jihan Farouk Mohamed Younis

Assistant Professor of Crown & Bridge department

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Adel El-Damalawy

Assistant Professor of Crown & Bridge department

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University



In the name of **Allah**, the Most Beneficent, the Most Merciful.

I am grateful to Ain Shams University for providing a great environment for research and academics.

I wish to extend my gratitude to my thesis adviser **Dr. Jihan Farouk M. Younis** assistant professor of Crown & Bridge faculty of Dentistry Ain shams University for her inspirational guidance, help and unique supervision of the thesis work. Working with her was indeed a wonderful and learning experience.

I am also thankful to **Dr. Mohammed A. El-Damalawy** assistant professor of Crown & Bridge faculty of Dentistry Ain shams University for his remarkable help, valuable advice, constant support and encouragement during the course of this research.

Deep thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Amina Mohammed Hamdy**, assistant professor of Crown & Bridge faculty of Dentistry Ain shams University, for her valuable advice, her constant guidance and unforgettable support and encouragement.

My special appreciation goes to **Dr. Tarek Salah El Din Morsi**, assistant professor of Crown & Bridge faculty of Dentistry Ain shams University, for his help and for his scientific guidance.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge my brother **Dr. Mohammed**, friends and mates who have rendered their whole hearted support at all times for the successful completion of this thesis. Thank you very much.

edication

*To my father & mother who brought me up
enduring extraordinary hardship &
patience.*

*To my brothers & sisters who supported me
generously emotionally & financially.*

*May Allah bless you, with mercy now & in
the hereafter.*

List of Tables

<i>Table</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
1	Sample grouping	54
2	Dimensional accuracy in (mm) of different implant impression techniques for two impression materials	76
3	Dimensional accuracy of direct implant impression technique for un-splinted square copings.	78
4	Dimensional accuracy (mm) of direct implant impression technique for splinted square copings.	79
5	Dimensional accuracy (mm) of indirect implant impression technique for un-splinted tapered copings	81
6	Dimensional accuracy (mm) of indirect implant impression technique for splinted tapered copings	83
7	Dimensional accuracy of unsplinted implant impression techniques for two impression materials.	84
8	Dimensional accuracy of splinted implant impression techniques for two impression materials	86
9	Three way analysis of variance ANOVA test of significance comparing variables affecting dimensional accuracy mean values	88

List of Figures

<i>Figure</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
1	Types of impression (transfer) copings – from right to left- A,B and C.	15
2	Implant fixture	42
3	Implant Copings (Square <i>left</i> , Tapered <i>right</i>).	43
4	Implant analog	43
5	Fixation screw <i>left</i> , Tapered Hex tool <i>right</i>	43
6	Impregum "penta" <i>right</i> , Pentamix 3 <i>left</i> . 3M Espe.	44
7	Imprint II Garant & Imprint dispenser (3M Espe).	45
8	Milling machine	47
9	Making 3 parallel holes in the master cast by milling machine.	47
10	Placement of 3 parallel implants in the master cast.	48
11	Schematic 3D diagram of implants with square copings	48
12	Schematic 3D diagram of implants with tapered copings	49
13	A 3mm thick wax spacer (square copings).	49

List of Figures (Cont.)

<i>Figure</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
14	A 3mm thick wax spacer (tapered copings).	50
15	Three guide stops.	50
16	Producing uniform thickness of acrylic custom tray	51
17	A calliper showing 3mm uniform thickness of the custom tray.	51
18	Open custom tray <i>left</i> , Closed custom tray <i>right</i> .	52
19	tighening the copings using Hex tool.	55
20	Square transfer copings.	55
21	Painting the fitting surface of the tray with tray adhesive. Polyether adhesive <i>upper</i> . VPS tray adhesive <i>lower</i> .	56
22	Loading polyvinyl siloxane in the tray.	57
23	Loading polyether in the tray.	57
24	Holding the tray in place with finger pressure.	58
25	Removal of the tray with impression material&t he square copings as one unit. (Polyvinyl siloxane).	59
26	Removal of the tray with impression material& the square copings as one unit. (Polyether).	59
27	Analogues are tightly attached to the transfer copings.	60

List of Figures (Cont.)

<i>Figure</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
28	Vacuum mixer machine.	60
29	Pouring the impression using vacuum machine & vibrator.	61
30	Stone cast after inspection& trimming.	62
31	Dental floss connecting the square transfer copings.	62
32	Sectioning the splint between the copings.	63
33	The splint after rejoining & before impression.	64
34	Applying impression material beneath the splint & around the copings.	64
35	Tray setting over the model with finger pressure.	65
36	The impression after removal from the cast <i>left</i> attaching the analogues to the copings <i>right</i> .	65
37	Stone cast with square transfer copings	65
38	Tapered copings screwed in master model.	67
39	The impression after removal.	67
40	Replacement of the copings with the analogues in place before stone pouring.	68
41	Dental floss connecting the tapered transfer copings.	69
42	Sectioning the splint between the copings	69

List of Figures (Cont.)

<i>Figures</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
43	The splint after rejoining & before impression.	70
44	The impression after removal.	70
45	Replacement of the copings together with the splint in the impression.	71
46	Stone cast with tapered transfer copings.	71
47	Stereo microscope.	73
48	Measuring master model	73
49	Diagram showing measurement of inter-implants distances (Square copings).	74
50	Diagram showing measurement of inter-implants distances (Tapered copings)	74
51	Histogram of dimensional accuracy mean values of different implant impression techniques for two impression materials	77
52	Box plot of dimensional accuracy mean values of direct implant impression technique for un-splinted square copings	78
53	Box plot of dimensional accuracy mean values of direct implant impression technique for splinted square implant coping design.	80
54	Box plot of dimensional accuracy mean values of indirect implant impression technique for unsplinted tapered implant coping design.	82

List of Figures (Cont.)

<i>Figures</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
55	Box plot of dimensional accuracy mean values of indirect implant impression technique for splinted tapered implant coping design.	83
56	Box plot of dimensional accuracy mean values of unsplinted polyether impression for two implant coping designs	85
57	Box plot of dimensional accuracy mean values of unsplinted polyvinyl siloxane impression for two implant coping designs	85
58	Box plot of dimensional accuracy mean values of splinted polyether impression for two implant impression techniques	87
59	Box plot of dimensional accuracy mean values of splinted polyvinylsiloxane impression for two implant impression techniques	87

List of contents

List of tables	I
List of figures	II
Introduction	1
Review of literature.....	3
Aim of the study.....	41
Material and methods	42
Results	76
Discussion	89
Summary	99
Conclusion	101
Recommendations	102
References	103
Arabic Summary	1

Introduction

The connection of a fixed partial denture (FPD) to osseointegrated implants produces a unified structure in which the FPD, the implants and the bone act as one unit⁽¹⁾. Lack of proper fitting of the FPD to osseointegrated implant, visible or not, is believed to induce internal stresses in the FPD, the implants and the bone matrix^(2, 3). An Endosteal implant distributes the physiologic loads onto the surrounding supporting tissues⁽⁴⁾. Implants unlike natural teeth cushioned in alveoli by periodontal fibers are somewhat intolerant to movement in their adaptation to the demands of the metal supporting structure⁽⁴⁾. The slight mobility of osseointegrated implants is ascribed to the "elasticity" of the investing bone.⁽⁵⁾

There is a general awareness that the installation of implant and subsequent prostheses can affect changes in the metastable matrix of supporting bone^(2, 3). Whether the changes will be destructive or constructive in any given situation is not entirely predictable. It has been suggested that forced tightening of the metal supporting structure can result in micro fractures of bones, zones of marginal ischemia and fibro integration of the implant producing major risks for the implants treatment⁽²⁾. Other has suggested that there may be an optimum stress distribution dictated by design and material that will encourage maintenance of marginal bone proximal to the implant^(6, 7). A successful result is believed to be fully achieved only through the fabrication of passively fitting prostheses.⁽⁸⁾

The range of clinically tolerable stress regarding the long-term retention of implant prosthesis is still unclear. Accurate impression, fabrication of a model to precisely reproduce implant position and avoiding distortion during model transfer to the laboratory are required for passively fitting casts.

Several implant impression techniques have been advocated to achieve a definitive final cast with passive fit of prosthesis on osseointegrated implants, but more common include the indirect, direct, and direct-splinted. The main purpose of a multi-implant

impression is to record and transfer the relationship between implant abutments or implant and to reproduce this relationship as accurately as possible. Impression materials also play an important role in implant impression as the more dimensional stable and the more the material ability to record the details the more accurate will be the cast stone. The most material used recently with implant impressions are polyvinylsiloxane and polyether impression materials.

In this study we are trying to find out the most accurate implant impression technique with which we can obtain an exact replica of patient jaw with the dental implants in order to produce a prosthesis that fits passively in relation to the ridge bone and the dental occlusion.