



# STRENGTHENING OF STEEL BEAM TO COLUMN CONNECTIONS TO RESIST PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE

By

Ahmed Mohamed Nabil Abdel-Salam

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2014

# STRENGTHENING OF STEEL BEAM TO COLUMN CONNECTIONS TO RESIST PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE

#### By

#### Ahmed Mohamed Nabil Abdel-Salam

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. SHERIF A. MOURAD	Dr. M. Hassanien Serror
Professor of Steel Structures	Associate Professor
Structural Engineering Dept.	Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University	Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2014

# STRENGTHENING OF STEEL BEAM TO COLUMN CONNECTIONS TO RESIST PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE

#### By

#### Ahmed Mohamed Nabil Abdel-Salam

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Approved by the Examining Committee
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour El-Din Fayed, External Examiner
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Farouk Abdel-Fattah, Internal Examiner
Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad, Thesis Main Advisor
Dr. Mohamed Hassanien Serror Thesis Advisor

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2014 **Engineer's Name:** Ahmed Mohamed Nabil Abdel-Salam

**Date of Birth:** 11/05/1990 **Nationality:** Egyptian

**E-mail:** Ahmed\_nabil@windowslive.com

**Phone:** 0100 529 7123

**Address:** 30 kambiz street, Dokki – Giza – Egypt.

**Registration Date:** 01/10/2012 **Awarding Date:** \_\_/\_\_/2014 **Degree:** Master of Science

**Department:** Structural engineering department

**Supervisors:** 

Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad Dr. Mohamed Hassanien Serror

**Examiners:** 

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Nour El-Din Fayed (External examiner) (Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University)

Prof Dr. Ahmad Foroult Hasson Ahdal Fottah (Internal examina

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Farouk Hassan Abdel-Fattah (Internal examiner)

Prof. Dr. Sherif Ahmed Mourad (Thesis main advisor) Dr. Mohamed Hassanien Serror (Thesis advisor)

#### **Title of Thesis:**

STRENGTHENING OF STEEL BEAM TO COLUMN CONNECTIONS TO RESIST PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE

#### **Key Words:**

Progressive collapse; Connections; Steel structures; Finite element modeling; Alternate path method

#### **Summary:**

Progressive collapse is currently one of the important research topics in structural engineering. It occurs when a primary structural component of a building fails to resist an accidental overloading. This failure leads to spreading of the forces to other neighboring load bearing components; if this distribution of loads exceeded the component capacity then this will lead to collapse of these components and ultimately to total collapse.

In this research, the progressive collapse of a symmetric steel structure is examined and the effect of different strengthening techniques for the moment resisting frame connections is investigated. The principles presented in this research were examined by developing idealized models and carrying out the alternate path method with the nonlinear static progressive collapse analysis using SAP2000 software according to UFC 2009 guidelines. The strengthening of beam to column moment connections has been carried out for flush end plate connections using five different methods and for extended end plate connections using three different methods, utilizing ANSYS 11.0 software to generate the corresponding moment rotation curves. The study concluded that the most effective way for strengthening the flush end plate connection is welding the end plate entirely with the column and for strengthening the extended end plate connection is adding vertical stiffeners to the beam perpendicular and parallel to the beam web.



#### Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Sherif Mourad (professor of steel structures, structural engineering department, Dean of Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University {FECU}) and Dr. M. Hassanien Serror, (associate professor of steel structures, structural engineering department, FECU) for their guidance, support, valuable review and discussion along all stages of my thesis.

I would like to declare my sincere appreciation to Prof. Mohamed Nour Fayed (professor of structural engineering, Ain Shams University), Prof. Ayman Ismail (professor of structural engineering, Housing and Building National Research Center {HBRC}), Eng. Sherif Hamed (assistant researcher, HBRC), Eng. Basem Hassan, Eng. Emad Shafik (teaching assistants, FECU) and Eng. Andrew Nabil (teaching assistant, Faculty of Engineering, Shoubra University) for enhancing my computer knowledge and helping me integrating the computer software programs in the study conducted.

Also I would like to acknowledge Eng. Hazem Hosam (graduate student, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign), Miss Noha Abdrabou (teaching assistant, American University in Cairo,) and Sarah Shaltout (senior student in FECU, credit hours system) for their constant support and motivation along the way. Also, I would like to thank them for their effort in proofreading the thesis.

Finally, I am utmost grateful to my father for his support and guidance, in addition to my family and my friends for encouraging, supporting, and motivating me to accomplish such an achievement.

### **Table of Contents**

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS	II
LIST OF TABLES	V
LIST OF FIGURES	VI
ABSTRACT	VII
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Overview	1
1.2. SIGNIFICANCE OF PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE	2
1.3.Objective	
1.4.Scope	
1.5.Thesis outline	
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1. DEFINITION OF PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE	4
2.2. Past studies	
2.3. Codes and standards	
2.3.1. American National Standard Institute (ANSI)	
2.3.2. New York City Building Code	
2.3.3. American Society of Civil Engineers Standards (ASCE)	
2.3.4. American Concrete Institute Building Code (ACI)	
2.3.5. General Service Administration Facilities Standards for the Public Building	
Service 2000 (GSA PBS)	19
2.3.6. General Service Administration Facilities Standards for the Public Building	
Service 2003 (GSA PBS)	19
2.3.7. General Service Adminstration Progressive Collapse Guidelines 2003	19
2.3.8. Department of Defense (DoD) Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC), Design of	
Buildings to Resist Progressive Collapse (4-023-03)	23
2.4. METHODS OF ANALYSIS, SOFTWARE PROGRAMS AND DESIGN METHODS FOR	
PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE	28
2.4.1. Methods of analysis for progressive collapse	28
2.4.1.1. Linear static method	28
2.4.1.2. Nonlinear static method	
2.4.1.3. Linear dynamic method	
2.4.1.4. Nonlinear dynamic method	
2.4.2.1. IS DVNA	
2.4.2.1. LS DYNA	
2.4.2.3. Extreme Loading for Structures (ELS)	
2.4.2.4 SAP2000 FTARS and PERFORM 3D	

2.4.3. Progressive collapse design methods	32
2.4.3.1. Direct design approach	32
2.4.3.2. Indirect design approach	33
CHAPTER 3: ANALYSIS PROGRAMS AND VERIFICATION	34
3.1. SAP2000 VERIFICATION EXAMPLE	34
3.1.1. Preliminary design	34
3.1.2. Modeling assumptions	35
3.1.3. Loading assumptions	35
3.1.4. Methods of analysis	36
3.1.4.1. Linear static procedures	36
3.1.4.2. Nonlinear static procedures	42
3.2. ANSYS VERIFICATION EXAMPLE	48
3.2.1. Preliminary design	48
3.2.2. Sections properties	49
3.2.2.1. Element type	49
3.2.2.2. Material properties	49
3.2.3. Developing ANSYS model	
3.2.3.1. Area elements	
3.2.3.2. Meshing	
3.2.3.3. Coupling	
3.2.3.4. Column restraints	
3.2.3.5. Load assignments	
3.2.4.1. Deformed shape	
3.2.4.2. Moment rotation curves	
CHAPTER 4: STRENGTHENING STEEL MOMENT RESISTING FRAME	
CONNECTIONS TO RESIST PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE.	
4.1. SAP2000 MODEL	
4.1.1. Prelimnary design	
4.1.2. Modeling data	
4.1.3. Removed column location	
4.1.4. Model development	56
4.1.5. Load assignments	57
4.1.6. DIF calculations	58
4.1.7. Load cases	59
4.1.8. Plastic hinge definition	59
4.1.9. Model results	60
4.2. ANSYS Model	60
4.2.1. Prelimnary design	60
4.2.2. Moment rotation cuvers	61
4.2.2.1. Flush end plate connections	61
4.2.2.1.a Original unstiffened moment frame connection	
4.2.2.1.b Stiffened moment frame connection (vertical stiffeners in the beam)	
4.2.2.1.c Stiffened moment frame connection (horizontal stiffeners in the column)	
4.2.2.1.d Stiffened moment frame connection (cover plates in the column)	6/
4.2.2.1 - Cdff 1	
4.2.2.1.e Stiffened moment frame connection (flange and web cover plates in the beam)	65

4.2.2.2. Extended end plate connections	67
4.2.2.2.a Original unstiffened moment frame connection	67
4.2.2.2.b Stiffened moment frame connection (vertical stiffeners in the beam)	68
4.2.2.2.c Stiffened moment frame connection (horizontal stiffeners in the column)	69
4.2.2.2.d Stiffened moment frame connection (vertical stiffeners in the beam perpendicular	
and parallel to the beam web)	70
4.3. DISCUSSION OF THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT STRENGTHENED	
CONNECTION CONFIGURATIONS IN RESISTING PROGRESSIVE	
COLLAPSE	71
4.3.1. Flush end plate connection configurations	71
4.3.1.1. Original unstiffened beam to column connection	71
4.3.1.2. Stiffened moment frame connection (horizontal stiffeners in the column)	72
4.3.1.3. Stiffened moment frame connection (beam flange and web cover plates)	73
4.3.1.4. Stiffened moment frame connection (end plate full welding to the column).	74
4.3.2. Extended end plate connection configurations	75
4.3.2.1. Original unstiffened beam to column connection	75
4.3.2.2. Stiffened moment frame connection (vertical stiffenners in the beam	
perpendicular and parallel to the beam web)	76
CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.	78
5.1. SUMMARY	78
5.2. Conclusions	
5.3. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES	
REFERENCES	
KILL LIKILI VILU 1011111111111111111111111111111111111	•••• •••

## **List of Tables**

Table 2.1: Acceptance criteria for linear procedures - steel frame components	21
Table 2.2: Occupancy categories	24
Table 2.3: Occupancy categories and design requirments	
Table 2.4: Deformation limits for steel	
Table 2.5: Design approaches for preventing progressive collapse in various codes	
and standards	27
Table 3.1: Component m factors for primary deformed controlled actions	
Table 3.2: Yield and plastic rotation for members and connections	44
Table 4.1: Stiffening the moment resisting frame flush end plate connections	
results	77
Table 4.2: Stiffening the moment resisting frame extended end plate connections	
results	77

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1.1: Ronan Point failure	1
Figure 1.2: Murrah federal building failure	
Figure 2.1: World Trade Center collapse	
Figure 2.2: GSA (2003) recommendations for column removal for steel frame	
buildings	20
Figure 2.3: Tie forces in a frame structure	
Figure 2.4: Progressive collapse design approach diagram	
Figure 3.1: Steel building plan	
Figure 3.2: Steel building elevation	
Figure 3.3: Column removal location	
Figure 3.4: FEMA acceptance criteria of beams for linear procedures	
Figure 3.5: FEMA acceptance criteria for partially restrained connections for linear	
procedures	37
Figure 3.6: FEMA acceptance criteria for fully restrained connections for linear	
procedures	37
Figure 3.7: Isometric view of SAP model	39
Figure 3.8: Load assigns for SAP model	
Figure 3.9: Load cases for linear static procedures (LSP) on SAP model	40
Figure 3.10: Deflected shape of LSP on SAP model	
Figure 3.11: Moment ratios for LSP on SAP model analysis	
Figure 3.12: Moment ratios for LSP on code results analysis	41
Figure 3.13: FEMA acceptance criteria of beam for nonlinear procedures	42
Figure 3.14: FEMA acceptance criteria for partially restrained connections for	
nonlinear procedures	43
Figure 3.15: FEMA acceptance criteria for fully restrained connections for nonlinear	r
procedures	43
Figure 3.16: Nonlinear staged construction column removal load case	45
Figure 3.17: Nonlinear load case on SAP model	46
Figure 3.18: Plastic hinge definition.	46
Figure 3.19: Original NSP model results	47
Figure 3.20: Redesigned NSP model results	47
Figure 3.21: Steel moment connection configuration plan	
Figure 3.22: Steel moment connection detailing	48
Figure 3.23: ANSYS model element definition	49
Figure 3.24: ANSYS model material properties	49
Figure 3.25: ANSYS model 3D view	
Figure 3.26: ANSYS model meshing	
Figure 3.27: ANSYS model coupling	
Figure 3.28: ANSYS model column restraints	
Figure 3.29: ANSYS model load assignments	52
Figure 3.30: ANSYS model deformed shape	
Figure 3.31: ANSYS model different meshing areas	
Figure 3.32: ANSYS model different coupling techniques	
Figure 4.1: Steel frame typical elevation	
Figure 4.2: Steel frame typical plan	
Figure 4.3: Column removed location	56

Figure 4.4: Steel model 3D isometric view	.56
Figure 4.5: Steel model gravity load assignments	.57
Figure 4.6: Steel model lateral load assignments	.57
Figure 4.7: DIF calculations	
Figure 4.8: Load cases	.59
Figure 4.9: Plastic hinge definition	.59
Figure 4.10: SAP2000 model results	.60
Figure 4.11: ANSYS model	.60
Figure 4.12: Original unstiffened moment frame connection configuration	.61
Figure 4.13: Moment rotation curve for the unstiffened connection	.61
Figure 4.14: Vertical stiffeners in beam - moment frame connection configuration	.62
Figure 4.15: Moment rotation curves for vertical stiffeners in the beam and the	
unstiffened connections	.62
Figure 4.16: Horizontal stiffeners in column - moment frame connection	
configuration	.63
Figure 4.17: Moment rotation curves for horizontal stiffeners in the column and the	
unstiffened connections	
Figure 4.18: Cover plates in the column - moment frame connection configuration	.64
Figure 4.19: Moment rotation curves for stiffened column with cover plates and the	- 1
unstiffened connections	
Figure 4.20: Cover plates in the beam - moment frame connection configuration	.65
Figure 4.21: Moment rotation curves for stiffened beam with cover plates and the	65
unstiffened connections.	.03
Figure 4.22: Full welding of the end plate to the column - moment frame connection configuration	.66
Figure 4.23: Moment rotation curve for welding the end plate to the column	
connection	.66
Figure 4.24: Original unstiffened moment frame connection configuration	.67
Figure 4.25: Moment rotation curve for the unstiffened connection	.67
Figure 4.26: Vertical stiffeners in beam - moment frame connection configuration	.68
Figure 4.27: Moment rotation curves for vertical stiffeners in the beam and the	
unstiffened connections	.68
Figure 4.28: Horizontal stiffeners in column - moment frame connection	
configuration	.69
Figure 4.29: Moment rotation curves for horizontal stiffeners in the column and the	
unstiffened connections	.69
Figure 4.30: Beam vertical stiffeners perpendicular and parallel to the beam web -	<b>-</b> 0
moment frame connection configuration	.70
Figure 4.31: Moment rotation curves for beam vertical stiffeners perpendicular and	70
parallel to the beam web and the unstiffened connections	
Figure 4.32: Plastic hinge definition for the unstiffened connection	
Figure 4.33: Deformed shape for the unstiffened connection case	
Figure 4.34: Plastic hinge definition for horizontal stiffeners in column connection	
Figure 4.35: Deformed shape for horizontal stiffeners in column connection case	.12
Figure 4.36: Plastic hinge definition for beam flange and web cover plates	72
connection	
Figure 4.38: Plastic hinge definition for welded end plate connection	
Figure 4.39: Deformed shape for welded end plate connection case	
Figure 4.40: Plastic hinge definition for the unstiffened connection	
TIESTS TO TO THE HOUSE THE SECTION OF THE SECTION O	

Figure 4.41: Deformed shape for the unstiffened connection case	75
Figure 4.42: Plastic hinge definition for the stiffened connection with beam vertical	
stiffeners perpendicular and parallel to the beam web	76
Figure 4.43: Deformed shape for the stiffened connection case with beam vertical	
stiffeners perpendicular and parallel to the beam web	75

#### **Abstract**

For the past 40 years, progressive collapse was extensively researched in structural engineering. It occurs when a primary structural component of a building fails to resist an accidental overloading. This failure leads to spreading of the forces to other neighboring load bearing components; if this distribution of loads exceeded the component capacity then this will lead to collapse of these components and ultimately to total collapse. There are numerous threats which could cause progressive collapse in a structure that may lead to fatality such as: airplane crashes, errors in design or construction process, fire accidents, accidental over load, explosion caused by bombs, vehicular collision and fire accidents. In order to mitigate the effect of progressive collapse; code agencies such as: General Services Administration (GSA/USA) and Department of Defense (DoD/USA) Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) have established guidelines to resist this phenomenon. Researches on the progressive collapse assessment of steel framed structures are gradually increasing with the improvements in steel material properties, methods of analysis and construction technology.

Nowadays, governmental agencies all over the world are seeking not only to design buildings capable of resisting progressive collapse, but also to retrofit the existing buildings in order to resist this phenomenon. One of the most effective ways used in resisting progressive collapse is strengthening frame connections. Frame connections are critical elements that are responsible for the safe transfer of loads from a member to another. However, the connection may have a paradoxical effect causing the member's failure if it is not strong enough to sustain this load transfer.

In this study the susceptibility of a symmetric steel structure, with different strengthened moment resisting frame connections configurations, to resist progressive collapse has been assessed. To examine the principles presented in the research; idealized building models were analyzed using SAP2000. Alternate path method with the nonlinear static analysis is carried out according to UFC 2009 guidelines. Five methods were implemented to strengthen the flush end plate connections; 1) adding vertical stiffeners in the beam, 2) adding horizontal stiffeners in the column panel zone, 3) adding cover plates in the column panel zone, 4) adding flange and web cover plates at the beam end and 5) complete welding of the end plate to the column. Moreover, three methods were used to strengthen the extended end plate connections; 1) adding vertical stiffeners to the beam, 2) adding horizontal stiffeners in the column panel zone and 3) adding vertical stiffeners to the beam perpendicular and parallel to the beam web. The finite element program ANSYS 11.0 was used to generate the corresponding moment rotation curve for each strengthened connection, and this was used in the idealized building model to represent the retrofitted structure. The study concluded that the most effective way for strengthening the flush end plate connection is welding the end plate entirely with the column and for strengthening the extended end plate connection is adding vertical stiffeners to the beam perpendicular and parallel to the beam web.

#### **Chapter 1: Introduction**

#### 1.1 Overview

The term progressive collapse is typically used to refer to the spread of an initial local failure within a structure. The local failure is initiated by the loss of one or more of the primary components of the structure. The sudden removal of a primary component will cause the transmission of gravity loads (Dead Load and Live Load) to the adjoining components in the structure. Thus, an adequate design of these primary elements is required to enable them to resist and redistribute the overloading and to avoid the complete or partial collapse of the structure. A progressive collapse can be triggered by a variety of causes such as vehicle collision, building modifications, explosion, terrorist attacks, earthquake and other natural or artificial hazards including design and construction errors.

The interest in progressive collapse has come in waves, with each wave produced by a catastrophic failure. The landmark event was the Ronan Point Apartment Tower collapse in England in 1968 as shown in figure 1.1. Despite the small number of casualties, the collapse prompted the interest and concern of engineers all over the world and manifested in the generation of many influential papers tackling the phenomena (Levy and Salvadori, 2002). A second wave of interest succeeded the Oklahoma City bombing in 1995, in which a terrorist detonated a bomb outside of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building as shown in figure 1.2. This was the first progressive collapse event in recent history of the United States that was not caused by human error or natural disaster. Consequently, as the first wave, this failure had drawn the attention of many researches to eventually producing numerous papers tackling the damage and progression of collapse. A portion of the presented papers included future design recommendations for codes. The last wave, which is still ongoing, was a result of the collapse of the World Trade Centers and the partial collapse of the Pentagon on September 11, 2001. Since 2001, interest in progressive collapse has excessively increased. A major factor in the peak of interest can be attributed to the advancements made in building systems and computational capabilities since the landmark event in 1968.



Figure 1.1: Ronan Point failure



Figure 1.2: Murrah federal building failure

Following the collapse of Ronan Point, a local government minister, Alan Whitehead said, "The lessons of the Ronan Point collapse mean that criteria for building robustness must be constantly reviewed and updated to provide the reliable structures that people expect from modern construction. The proposed amendments to the Building Regulations are intended to make our buildings safer from collapse".

#### 1.2 Significance of progressive collapse

For a rare accident in developed countries, the dangerous effect of the progressive collapse effect on buildings cannot be neglected. This lethal phenomenon can be prevented only with significant consideration of adequate ductility, continuity, and redundancy. In 1995, the Alfred P Murrah building in Oklahoma City was a landmark in the history of progressive collapse resulting in 168 fatalities. In order to prevent such fatalities in the future, new effective preventative/resistant measures to progressive collapse have been introduced taking into consideration all threats that may lead to such an unfortunate event. After the occurrence of these multiple severe accidents, it became inevitable to assess the buildings upon their vulnerability to progressive collapse.

In a natural consequence of the rise in terrorist attacks, especially casualties (nearly 3000 died in the attacks of September 2001) in the World Trade Center case, followed by the many research conducted on progressive collapse led to development of new guidelines, such as General Service Administration (GSA) and Department of Defense, Unified Facilities Criteria (DoD/UFC) publications for resisting and preventing such a phenomena. Unlike reinforced concrete, a limited number of investigations were carried out on steel structures. Researches that are tackling the progressive collapse resistance of steel framed buildings are gradually increasing nowadays as a response to the improvements of steel material properties, technology and methods of analysis.

#### 1.3 Objectives

This research aims to study the effect of strengthening the steel moment frame connections using different techniques in resisting the progressive collapse phenomenon.

#### 1.4 Scope

This research was conducted using two software packages. 1) ANSYS 11.0 was used to generate the moment-rotation curves (M-Theta) of different moment frame structure connections' configurations. For each method different stiffeners' thickness, spacing and length were studied. 2) SAP2000 was used to investigate the vulnerability of different frame connections' configuration to mitigate the progressive collapse phenomenon.

To examine the ideologies presented in this research, an analysis was performed on idealized building models using the alternate path method with the nonlinear static analysis according to UFC 2009 guidelines.