

# ***Assessment of Two Surface Treatment Protocols on Monolithic Zirconia at Pre- sintered and Post-sintered Stages***

*A thesis submitted for the partial fulfillment of the Doctorate  
Degree requirements in Crown & Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry,  
Ain Shams University*

***By***

**Kamal Khaled Ebeid Ahmed**

*B.D.S, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, 2009  
M.sc, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, 2014*

2016

## **Supervisors**

### **Dr. Tarek Salah Morsi**

Assistant professor and head of Crown and Bridge Department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, Egypt

### **Dr. Marwa Mohamed Wahsh**

Assistant professor, Crown and Bridge Department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, Egypt

### **Dr. Maged Mohamed Zohdy**

Lecturer, Crown and Bridge Department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, Egypt

### **Prof. Dr. med. dent. Matthias Kern**

Head of Prosthodontics, Propaedeutics, and Dental Materials Department

Christian-Albrechts University, Kiel, Germany

## *Acknowledgment*

No words can express my deepest thanks and sincere gratitude as well as appreciation to **Dr. Tarek Salah Morsi**, Professor of Crown and Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. His valuable advice, devoted effort and unique cooperation, will always be deeply remembered. This work could have never been completed without his extraordinary assistance and sincere guidance. His advice on both research as well as on my career have been priceless.

I would also like to express my special appreciation and thanks to **Prof. Matthias Kern**, Head of Prosthodontics, Propaedeutics, and Dental Materials Department, Christian-Albrechts University, whom have been a tremendous mentor for me. I would like to thank him for encouraging my research and for allowing me to grow as a research scientist.

I want also to express my profoundest gratitude to **Dr. Marwa Wahsh**, Assistant Professor of Crown and Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. Tutoring me since I was an undergraduate student till today, Dr. Marwa offered her unreserved help, guidance, motivation, and immense knowledge.

The good advice, support and friendship of **Dr. Maged Zohdy**, Lecturer of Crown and Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University has been invaluable on both an academic and a personal level, for which I am extremely grateful. His devoted effort, close supervision and remarkable help are highly appreciated.

I wish to express appreciation for technical assistance and laboratory support of **Dr. Sebastian Wille, Frank Lehmann, Detlev Gostomsky, and Rüdiger Möller**, of the Department of Prosthodontics, Propaedeutics and Dental Materials, Christian-Albrechts University at Kiel.

Last but not least, deepest thanks to my dear professors, colleagues and staff members of Crown and Bridge Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, and special thanks to my elder brothers **Dr. Amr el Etreby, Dr. Ahmed Sabet** and **Dr. Ahmed Abo El Fadl** for their great support, encouragement and cooperation.

# *Dedication*

*This work is dedicated to*

*My dear parents,*

*Precious sister,*

*Beloved wife,*

*and lovely son*

# Contents

---

<b>List of figures</b> .....	I
<b>List of tables</b> .....	IV
<b>List of abbreviations</b> .....	V
<b>Introduction</b> .....	1
<b>Review of literature</b> .....	4
Zirconia restorations .....	4
Effect of different surface treatments on zirconia .....	6
Effect of surface treatment of zirconia on its surface roughness.....	6
Effect of surface treatment and aging on the phase transformation and flexural strength of zirconia .....	8
Effect of surface treatment of zirconia on the bond strength to resin cement ..	13
Effect of stage of surface treatment on zirconia .....	26
<b>Aim of the study</b> .....	29
<b>Materials and methods</b> .....	30
I) Materials .....	30
II) Methods .....	31
1- Sample grouping .....	31
2- Fabrication of zirconia discs .....	33
3- Surface treatment of discs .....	37
4- Surface roughness evaluation.....	39
5- Phase transformation evaluation .....	41
6- Biaxial flexural strength .....	43
7- Tensile bond strength .....	46
8- Data management and analysis.....	58
<b>Results</b> .....	60
1- Effect of type of surface treatment and the stage in which it is performed on surface roughness .....	60
2- Effect of type of surface treatment and the stage in which it is performed on phase transformation .....	66

3- Effect of type of surface treatment and the stage in which it is performed on the biaxial flexural strength .....	69
4- Effect of type of surface treatment and the stage in which it is performed on the tensile bond strength of zirconia to resin cement .....	71
5- Correlations of results of different variable.....	86
<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>Summary and Conclusions .....</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>98</b>

## List of figures

---

Figure 1: Illustration showing how samples were divided in each subgroup .....	32
Figure 2: Disc design on CAD software .....	33
Figure 3: Datron dental milling machine .....	35
Figure 4: Zirconia blanks after milling the discs .....	35
Figure 5: Robocam dryer .....	36
Figure 6: The two disc designs before and after sintering .....	36
Figure 7: Apparatus to standardize nozzle specimen distance .....	37
Figure 8: Rocatec silica coating machine .....	38
Figure 9: Specimens placed under the apparatus and surface treated .....	38
Figure 10: Keyence VX-100 laser scanning microscope .....	40
Figure 11: 100X magnification scanning lens .....	40
Figure 12: Zirconia disc placed in specimen holder .....	42
Figure 13: X-ray source, disc, and receptor .....	42
Figure 14: Metallic platform with steel balls .....	43
Figure 15: Zirconia disc placed on metallic platform .....	44
Figure 16: Close-up view of zirconia disc, metallic platform, and piston .....	44
Figure 17: Fractured zirconia disc .....	45
Figure 18: Resin cement, universal primer, and composite core material .....	46
Figure 19: Plexiglass tube .....	47
Figure 20: Filling of plexiglass with composite core material .....	47
Figure 21: Plexiglass overfilled with composite core material .....	48
Figure 22: Removal of excess composite and formation of a flat surface .....	48
Figure 23: Rubbing of universal primer on zirconia disc .....	50
Figure 24: Air drying of universal primer .....	50
Figure 25: Insertion of plexiglass tube with composite into bonding apparatus ..	51
Figure 26: Placing of resin cement .....	51
Figure 27: Applying the load using the bonding apparatus to bond the resin cement to zirconia .....	52
Figure 28: Close-up view of zirconia disc, resin cement, and plexiglass tube .....	52
Figure 29: Removal of excess cement using pellet .....	53
Figure 30: Light curing of resin cement .....	53
Figure 31: Bonded plexiglass containing composite core to the zirconia disc using resin cement .....	54
Figure 32: Water incubator with temperature set at 37 degrees Celsius .....	55
Figure 33: Thermocycling machine .....	55
Figure 34: Loop apparatus for tensile bond strength testing .....	56
Figure 35: Close-up view of loop apparatus .....	56
Figure 36: Gold sputtered zirconia specimen .....	57

Figure 37: Scanning electron microscope .....	58
Figure 38: Box plot of Ra for all subgroups .....	61
Figure 39: 3D surface image of control group (unsintered) .....	62
Figure 40: 3D surface image of control group (Sintered) .....	62
Figure 41: 3D surface image of group air abraded in pre-sintered stage (Unsintered).....	63
Figure 42: 3D surface image of group air abraded in pre-sintered stage (Sintered) .....	63
Figure 43: 3D surface image of group silica coated in pre-sintered stage (Unsintered).....	64
Figure 44: 3D surface image of group silica coated in pre-sintered stage (Sintered) .....	64
Figure 45: 3D surface image of group air abraded in post sintered stage .....	65
Figure 46: 3D surface image of group silica coated in the post sintered stage.....	65
Figure 47: Illustration of graph showing only tetragonal phase characteristic peaks.....	67
Figure 48: Illustration of graph showing tetragonal and monoclinic characteristic peaks.....	67
Figure 49: Box plot of monoclinic phase % for all subgroups .....	68
Figure 50: Box plot of BFS for all subgroups.....	70
Figure 51: Box plot of TBS for all subgroups (Before thermocycling).....	72
Figure 52: Box plot of TBS for all subgroups (Before and after thermocycling)....	74
Figure 53: Graph showing mode of failure for all subgroups before thermocycling .....	75
Figure 54: Graph showing mode of failure for all subgroups after thermocycling	75
Figure 55: Stereomicroscope image of control group (Before thermocycling) .....	76
Figure 56: SEM image of control group (Before thermocycling) .....	76
Figure 57: Stereomicroscope image of control group (After thermocycling) .....	77
Figure 58: SEM image of control group (After thermocycling) .....	77
Figure 59: Stereomicroscope image of group air abraded in pre-sintered stage (Before thermocycling) .....	78
Figure 60: SEM image of group air abraded in pre-sintered stage (Before thermocycling).....	78
Figure 61: Stereomicroscope image of group air abraded in pre-sintered stage (After thermocycling) .....	79
Figure 62: SEM image of group air abraded in pre-sintered stage (After thermocycling).....	79
Figure 63: Stereomicroscope image of group silica coated in pre-sintered stage (Before thermocycling) .....	80
Figure 64: SEM image of group silica coated in pre-sintered stage (Before thermocycling).....	80



Figure 65: Stereomicroscope image of group silica coated in pre-sintered stage (After thermocycling) .....	81
Figure 66: SEM image of group silica coated in pre-sintered stage (After thermocycling).....	81
Figure 67: Stereomicroscope image of group air abraded in post sintered stage (Before thermocycling) .....	82
Figure 68: SEM image of group air abraded in post sintered stage (Before thermocycling).....	82
Figure 69: Stereomicroscope image of group air abraded in post sintered stage (After thermocycling) .....	83
Figure 70: SEM image of group air abraded in post sintered stage (After thermocycling).....	83
Figure 71: Stereomicroscope image of group silica coated in post sintered stage (Before thermocycling) .....	84
Figure 72: SEM image of group silica coated in post sintered stage (Before thermocycling).....	84
Figure 73: Stereomicroscope image of group silica coated in post sintered stage (After thermocycling) .....	85
Figure 74: SEM image of group silica coated in post sintered stage (After thermocycling).....	85

## List of tables

---

Table 1: Materials used in this study .....	30
Table 2: Experimental factorial design .....	32
Table 3: Effect of sintering on mean(SD) of Ra of group treated in pre-sintered stage and control group .....	60
Table 4: Percentage decrease in surface roughness due to sintering .....	60
Table 5: Mean(SD) of Ra for all subgroups .....	60
Table 6: Effect of sintering stage on mean(SD) of Ra .....	61
Table 7: Effect of surface treatment on mean(SD) of Ra .....	61
Table 8: Effect of sintering on mean(SD) of monoclinic % of group treated in pre-sintered stage and control group .....	66
Table 9: Mean(SD) of monoclinic % for all subgroups .....	66
Table 10: Mean(SD) of BFS in MPa for all subgroups .....	69
Table 11: Effect of sintering stage on mean(SD) of BFS .....	69
Table 12: Effect of surface treatment on mean(SD) of BFS .....	70
Table 13: Mean(SD) of initial TBS for all subgroups .....	71
Table 14: Mean(SD) of TBS for all subgroup before and after thermocycling .....	73

## List of abbreviations

---

**Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>**: Aluminum trioxide

**BFS**: Biaxial flexural strength

**CAD**: Computer aided design

**CAM**: Computer aided manufacturing

**FDP**: Fixed dental prosthesis

**LTD**: Low temperature degradation

**MDP**: 10-methacryloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate

**Ra**: Surface roughness

**SBS**: Shear bond strength

**TBS**: Tensile bond strength

**XPS**: X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

**XRD**: X-ray diffraction

**ZrO<sub>2</sub>**: Zirconium dioxide



## Introduction

---

The increased popularity of all-ceramic materials as an alternative to metal-ceramic restorations is mainly due to their excellent esthetics, chemical stability and biocompatibility. However, the brittleness and low tensile strength of conventional glass ceramics limit their long-term clinical application.<sup>(1)</sup> Several glass ceramics have been introduced such as high alumina-content glass-infiltrated ceramic material and lithium disilicate glass-ceramic which has been successfully used for crowns, anterior fixed dental prostheses (FDPs) and three-unit FDPs replacing the first premolar.<sup>(2)</sup> However, these materials do not have sufficient strength to allow reliable use for FDPs, especially in the molar region.<sup>(3)</sup>

Recently, the development of advanced dental ceramics has led to the application of partially stabilized zirconia in restorative dentistry which can be produced using a computer aided design/computer-aided manufacture (CAD/CAM) systems. The use of zirconia-based ceramics for dental restorations became more popular due to their superior fracture strength and toughness when compared to other dental ceramic systems.<sup>(4-6)</sup>

Nowadays, the application of zirconia in the production of all-ceramic restorations has become one of the most focused on topics in dental research. Such increase in the interest is mainly due to its high mechanical strength and exceptional biocompatibility.<sup>(7, 8)</sup> The success of zirconia-based all-ceramic restorations is highly dependent on the establishment of a strong adhesion between zirconia and the luting cement. Compared with traditional luting cements, resin cement has some irreplaceable advantages including higher mechanical strength and better esthetic properties.<sup>(9)</sup> However, without any surface treatment, the resin zirconia integration was found to be susceptible to aging conditions.<sup>(10)</sup> Meanwhile, the conventional bonding approaches, such

as acid etching followed by the application of silane coupling agents, could not effectively improve the bond strength between zirconia and resin cement due to the chemical inertness of zirconia and the absence of a glass content.<sup>(11, 12)</sup> Thus, zirconia ceramics cannot be etched with commonly used acids, such as hydrofluoric (HF) and phosphoric ( $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ ) acids for adding the surface roughness. Furthermore, it is also very cumbersome to form a strong chemical integration between zirconia and resin cement by using solely the conventional silane coupling agents.<sup>(13-15)</sup>

Air-abrasion with alumina particles followed by an appropriate chemical bonding process was recommended to achieve long-term retention to zirconia.<sup>(13)</sup> The incorporation of 10-methacryloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate (MDP) in primers or resin cements was a major factor in producing durable resin zirconia bonding which has already been confirmed in the related clinical trials.<sup>(16, 17)</sup> Other surface treatments, such as the tribochemical silica coating, selective infiltration etching, heating with a hot etching solution, laser surface treatment, plasma treatment and surface fluorination, have been developed to enhance resin zirconia bonding.<sup>(18)</sup> The tribochemical method has been proven not only to increase the values of surface roughness, but also to add silica content on zirconia surface. Silica content is vital for activating the functions of silane coupling agents. Both mechanical interlocking and chemical integration between resin cement and zirconia have thus been enhanced.<sup>(19)</sup>

However, there are still concerns about the influence of air abrasion and tribochemical silica coating on the mechanical properties and long-term stability of zirconia ceramic since it has been reported that they induce some flaws and phase transformation on the surface, thus promoting low temperature degradation.<sup>(20)</sup> The generation of such flaws and transformation might produce some detrimental effects on the liability of zirconia ceramic.<sup>(21)</sup>

There are also some concerns about the durability of the bond strength to silica-coated zirconia ceramic as many laboratory studies showed that it decreased significantly after a few months of artificial aging.<sup>(22, 23, 13, 15, 24)</sup>