

Faculty of Commerce Business Administration Department

Measuring the Influence of Corporate Social Responsibility on Brand Equity through Building **Positive Consumers' Attitude**

An Applied Industry on Food Industry.

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements For Doctor of Philosophy Degree in Business Administration

Presented By:

Yasmine Saeed Mahmoud Hassan

Under the Supervision of:

Prof. Dr. Aisha El Meniawy Dr. Reham El Seidi

Professor of Marketing Faculty of Commerce

Lecturer in Business Administration

2017-1438

Dedication

This dissertation is dedicated to my lovely

My dearest Mom and Dad, my caring husband Tarek, my supportive brothers, my lovely sisters, my gifts from God Hamzah, Alii and Ismail, my sweet and adorable nieces and my parents in law; I am blessed to have you all in my life.

May God bless and protect all of you.

Acknowledgments

Looking back, I am very grateful for all I have accomplished throughout these years in the PhD program. It has certainly shaped me as a person and led me to where I am now.

My heartfelt gratitude and appreciation goes to my dissertation committee. To my Advisor, *Prof. Dr. Aisha El Menyawy*, I express my thanks for mentoring and encouraging me from my veryfirst year and guiding my development. Ihave been very blessed with *Dr. Reham El Seidi*, encouragement and guidance.

I am also grateful to *Prof. Dr. Mohammad Bakry* and to *Dr. Madeeha Moutawa* for participating in the examining committee for my dissertation and for their valuable time, insightful comments and discussions.

Sincere appreciation for my friend Noha El Bendary for always being there.

My sincere thanks also go to my family for continuous support. Special thanks for my dad, who always believed in me and supported all my decisions. I thank my mom for herneverending love, support, and encouragement. Also,I want to thank my siblings who have been there for me throughout the years.

Last, but not least, I would like to thank my husband for always being there for me through all the good and bad times. Without his patience, support, strength, and love, I would not have been able to successfully get through the program. I am grateful for having you in my life, Tarek and for our amazing gifts from God, Hamzah, Alii and Ismail.

Contents

Dedication
Acknowledgments
Abstract x
List of Abbreviations xi
List of Tables xii
List of Figuresxv
Chapter One
General framework for Study
1.1 Introduction
1.2 Context of Study
1.3 Relative Importance of Research
1.4 Exploratory Research
1.5 Recent studies about CSR in Egypt
1.6 Research Problem1
1.7 Research Questions 12
1.8 Research Objectives
1.9 Research Hypothesis
1.10 Research Variables 10
1.11 The proposed model
1.12 Population & Sampling
1.13 Statistical Analysis Techniques
Chapter two
Literature review on Corporate Social Responsibility
2.1 Introduction 20
2.2 Definition of CSR 20
2.3 Evolution of CSR from 1920 till 21st Century
2.3.1 1920-1950
2.3.2 1960 Decade
2.3.3 1970 Decade
2.3.4. New millenary (21 Century)
2.4. Different views about Corporate Social Responsibility Concept 21 27
2.5 Frameworks and conceptual models of CSR
2.5.1. Carrol conceptual model (1979-1991)
2.5.2. Wood's conceptual model (1991)
2.5.3. Spiller's Key Business Practices (1991)
2.6 Companies' Motives for CSR
2.6.1. The Self Centered and Other Centered Motives for CSR
2.6.2. The Ethical, Altruistic and Strategic Motives of CSR

2.6.3. The resource-based view of the firm (RBV)
2.7 Outcomes of CSR 41
2.7.1. Financial outcomes as benefit of CSR
2.7.2. Benefits of CSR in terms of Employees commitment
and loyalty42
2.7.3. Benefits of CSR in terms of brand positioning
2.8. The Negative Side for CSR
2.8.1. Negative Financial Returns
2.8.2. Expectations of Stakeholders
2.8.3. Distrust or lack of credibility
2.9 CSR Measuring Methods: 45
2.9.1 Reputation Indices and Databases 46
2.9.2 Using Single- and Multiple-issue Indicators
2.9.3 Scales that Measure the CSR Perception of Individuals 48
2.10 Communication of Corporate Social Responsible Activities
2.11 CSR in Egypt
2.11.1 Efforts of the Egyptian Government to enforce CSR
2.11.1.1 Egyptian Corporate Responsibility Center
(ECRC)
2.11.1.2 Standard and Poor's/Egypt Stock Exchange
Economic Social and Governance Index
(S&P-EGX ESG)
2.11.1.3 Business Solutions for Human Development
report, 2007
2.11.1.3.1 Difference between CSR in SMEs and
MNG in Egypt54
2.11.1.3.2 Business approach for community service
2.11.1.3.3 Philanthropy in Egypt
2.12 Conclusion 57
Chapter 3
Literature review on Consumers' Attitude
3.1 Introduction 61
3.2 Definition of Attitude 61
3.3 Components of Attitude 62
3.4 CSR and Customer's Attitude 65
3.5 Perception 66
3.6 CSR Attributions 68
3.7 Consumers' Perceptions of CSR
3.7.1 Societal Concern 72
3.7.2 Ethical practice
3.7.3 Accountability in practice 73

3.7.4 Past records	
3.7.5 Responsibility to shareholders	
3.7.6 Philanthropic orientation	
3.8 Conclusion	
Chapter 4	
Literature review on Brand equity	
4.1 Introduction	
4.2 Definition of Brand Equity	
4.3 Models for Different Dimensions of Brand Equity	
4.4 Measuring Brand Equity	
4.4.1 Customer based Brand Equity Measures	
4.4.2 Company-Level Measures	
4.4.3 Financial-Level Measures	
4.5 Dimensions for brand equity	
4.5.1 Brand Awareness	
4.5.2 Brand Association	
4.5.3 Perceived Quality	
4.5.4 Brand Loyalty	
4.6 Corporate Associations	
4.6.1 Main Clusters of Typologies for Brand Association	
4.6.1.1 Types of Corporate Associations based on	
Social Roles	
4.6.1.2 Types of Corporate Associations based on	
Corporate Personality	
4.6.1.3 Types of Corporate Associations based on Trust	
4.6.2 Difference between Corporate Image, Corporate Reputation	
and Brand Association	
4.7 CSR and Building Brand Equity	
4.8 Conclusion	
Chapter Five	
Research Methodology and Conceptual Framework	
Research Methodology and Conceptual Framework	
5.1 Introduction	,
5.2 Relative Importance of the Research	
5.3 Research Design	
5.3.1 Exploratory Research	
5.3.2 Findings of Interviews	
5.3.3 Juhayna Interview	
5.3.4 Pepsi Interview	

5.3.5 Nestle Interview	105
5.3.6 Chipsy Interview	106
5.4 The Research Problem	106
5.5 Research Questions	108
5.6 Research Objectives	109
5.7 Research Hypothesis	109
5.8 Proposed Conceptual Model	121
5.9 Research Variables	122
5.10 Research Population and Sample	124
5.10.1 Population	124
5.10.2 Sample	129
5.10.2 Sumple	12)
Chantar 6	
Chapter 6	
Statistical Analysis and its Results	100
6.1 Introduction	132
6.2 Procedures and Statistical Analysis Techniques	133
6.2.1 Data entry	133
6.2.1.1Respondent's Demographic Variables	133
6.2.1.2Independent, Mediator, Moderator	
and Dependent Variables	134
6.2.2 Descriptive Statistics	135
6.3 Descriptive Statistics for Demographic, Independent,	
Mediator, and Dependent Variables	136
6.3.1 Respondent's Demographic Variables	136
6.3.2 Descriptive Statistics for Independent, Mediator,	
and Dependent Variables	138
6.3.2.1Brand awareness	139
6.3.2.2 Brand Association	140
6.3.2.3 Perceived Quality	142
6.3.2.4 Brand Loyalty	143
6.3.2.5 Economic	144
6.3.2.6 Legal	145
6.3.2.7 Ethical	146
6.3.2.8 Philanthropic	147
6.3.2.9 Attitude	148
6.3.3Summary for statistics for independent, mediator and	
dependent variables	149
6.4 Reliability and Intrinsic Validity for Research Construct	150
6.5 Confirmatory Factor Analysis to Measure Validity for	
Research Construct	151
6.5.1 Initial CFA by Standardized and Unstandardized	
Regression Weights	151

6.5.2 Measuring the Goodness of Fit of the (CFA) for	
Initial Model	155
6.5.3 Adjusted CFA by Standardized and Unstandardized	
Regression Weights	158
6.5.4 Measuring the Goodness of Fit of the (CFA) for	
AdjustedModel	161
6.6 Testing the Research Hypothesis	163
6.6.1 The effect of sub construct of CSR on Brand Equity	
through attitude as a mediator	166
6.6.1.1Path diagram to measure the effect of CSR sub	
constructs on Brand Equity constructs through	
attitude as a mediator	166
6.6.1.2 Testing the Significance of Relationship between CSR	
sub constructs on Brand Equity constructs through	
attitude as a mediator	167
6.6.1.3 The relationships among the research sub	
constructs represented in regression equations	169
6.6.1.4Accessing the direct and indirect relations	
among the research sub constructs	172
6.6.1.5 Measuring the Goodness of Fit of the (SEM)	
Model	174
6.6.2 The total effect of construct of CSR on Brand Equity	
through attitude as a mediator	175
6.6.2.1 Path diagram to measure the total effect of CSR construct	
on Brand Equity construct through attitude as a mediator	176
6.6.2.2 Testing the significance of relationship between CSR	
construct on Brand Equity construct through attitude as a	
mediator	176
6.6.2.3 The relationships among the research total	
constructs represented in regression equations	176
6.6.2.4 Assessing the direct and indirect relationships	
among the research total constructs	179
6.6.2.5 Measuring the Goodness of Fit of the (SEM)	
Model	180
6.7 Multi Group Analysis	181
6.7.1 Income as one of the Demographic Variables	181
6.7.1.1 Income less than L.E. 3000	182
6.7.1.2 Income more than L.E. 3000	184
6.7.2 Age as one of the Demographic Variables	187
6.7.2.1 Age less than 30 years	188
6.7.2.2 Age more than 30 years	189
6.7.3 Education as one of the demographic variables	193

6.7.3.1Postgraduates	193
6.7.3.2 Graduates and Undergraduates	195
6.8 Conclusion	198
Chapter Seven Discussion, Implications And Recommendations	
7.1 Introduction	201
7.2 Summery of research findings	
7.3 The research objectives	203
7.3.1 The First objective	
7.3.2 The second objective	205
7.3.3 The Third objective	209
7.3.4 The Fourth Objective	
7.3.5 The Fifth Objective	
7.3.6 The sixth Objective	
7.3.7 The seventh Objective	
7.4 Recommendations	
7.5 Managerial Implications	
7.6 Limitations and Future Research Directions	
References	
Bibliography	
Appendex (I)	
Appendex (II)	268

Abstract

This research is investigating the impact of CSR on a core area that a lot of companies are working for, which is brand equity. How can the company utilize the positive perception about CSR to increase brand equity through positive attitude? On the other side the research is investigating if there is a direct relationship between CSR and Brand equity without the mediation of consumer' attitude.

CSR is assessed from the consumer's perception and not from the employees' or management's perception. Also, the study is assessing CSR dimension has strongest impact on attitude and if there is a positive relation between legal, ethical, economic and philanthropic dimensions of CSR and between consumer's attitude. Moreover, the research is measuring the influence of consumer's attitude on brand equity. Which of the four dimensions of brand equity has influenced by consumer's attitude; and what is the most important brand equity dimension from the customer's point of view. The research also assesses the influence of customer's demography like age, income and education on consumer's perception relationship between the three research variables as well.

The research proves the positive relationship between consumer's perception toward CSR and brand equity. The relationship between the independent variable (CSR) and dependent variable (Brand Equity) through the mediator (attitude) is proved as well the direct relationship between (CSR) and brand equity is proved.

List of Abbreviations

BOP : Base of pyramid model

CSR : Corporate social responsibility

ECRC : Egyptian Corporate responsibility center

EGX : Egypt stock exchange

ESG : Environmental social governance

MDG : Millennium Development goal

MNC : Mutli national Company

S&P- EGX ESG: Standard and Poor's/ Egypt Stock Exchange

Environmental Social and Governance index

SEM : Structural equation model

SME : Small medium enterprise

UNDP : Untied Nation development programme

BSC : Balanced Score Card

List of tables

Table	Title	Page
2.1	A stakeholders' view of Corporate Social Responsibility	34
2.2	CSR perspective and the key business practices	36
4.1	Common dimensions for brand equity	80
4.2	Corporate personality scale	90
5.1	List of statements with dimensions and variables measured	125
6.1	Interpretation of scale intervals	136
6.2	Distribution of the Sample Members according to	136
0.2	Gender	130
6.3	Distribution of the Sample Members according to Age	137
6.4	Distribution of the Sample Members according to Age	137
6.5	Distribution of the Sample Members according to Education	138
6.6	Descriptive Statistics for Brand Awareness	139
6.7	Descriptive Statistics for Brand Association	140
6.8	Descriptive Statistics for Student- Student Interaction within AS	142
6.9	Descriptive Statistics for Student's Satisfaction	143
6.10	Descriptive Statistics for Economic Dimension of CSR	144
6.11	Descriptive Statistics for legal Dimension of CSR	145
6.12	Descriptive Statistics for Ethical Construct of CSR	146
6.13	Descriptive Statistics for Philanthropic Construct of CSR	147
6.14	Descriptive Statistics for Philanthropic Construct of CSR	148
6.15	Descriptive Statistics for Independent, Mediator and Dependent Constructs	149
6.16	Reliability and Intrinsic Validity for Research Constructs	150
6.17	Initial Confirmatory Factor Analysis by standardized and unstandardized regression weights for the initial model	151
6.18	The Goodness of Fit Indices in the Confirmatory Factor Analysis	155
6.19	Adjusted Confirmatory Factor Analysis by standardized and unstandardized regression weights for the initial model	158

6.20	The Goodness of Fit Indices in the Confirmatory Factor Analysis	161
6.21	Pearson correlation matrix to measure a significant linear relationship between the constructs of the Corporate Social Responsibility, Attitude and Brand Equity	168
6.22	Regression weights according to Maximum Likelihood Estimates	169
6.23	Standardized direct and indirect Effects	172
6.24	The Goodness of Fit Indices of the SEM	174
6.25	Pearson correlation matrix to measure a significant linear relationship between the total construct of the Corporate Social Responsibility, Attitude and Brand Equity	176
6.26	Regression weights according to Maximum Likelihood Estimates	177
6.27	Standardized Direct and Indirect Effects	179
6.28	The Goodness of Fit Indices of the SEM	180
6.29	Regression weights according to Maximum Likelihood Estimates for respondents with income more or less than 3000 L.E	182
6.30	Standardized direct and indirect Effects	183
6.31	Standardized direct and indirect Effects	185
6.32	The Goodness of Fit Indices of the SEM	185
6.33	Regression weights according to Maximum Likelihood Estimates for respondents age group (21-30) and (30+)	187
6.34	Standardized direct and indirect Effects	189
6.35	Standardized direct and indirect Effects	190
6.36	The Goodness of Fit Indices of the SEM	191
6.37	Regression weights according to Maximum Likelihood Estimates for respondents' educational level; Post graduate and under graduates	193
6.38	Standardized direct and indirect Effects	194
6.39	Standardized direct and indirect Effects	196
6.40	The Goodness of Fit Indices of the SEM	197
7.1	Hypothesis test results	201
7.2	Comparison between research findings and findings of other researches about CSR in Egypt	216
7.3	Recommendations and implications based on the research results	219

List of Figures

Fig.	Title	Page
1.1	The proposed model for the research	15
2.1	Carrol Conceptual model (1979-1991)	32
3.1	The Three Hierarchies for Effect	64
3.2	Filter Model	68
4.1	A Framework for Measuring Customer-Based Brand	82
	Equity	
4.2	Loyalty pyramid	86
5.1	The proposed conceptual model	121
6.1	Conceptual framework for the effect of Corporate	135
	social responsibility on brand equity with attitude as a	
	mediator variable	
6.2	structural equation modeling for testing the effect of	175
	initial model for corporate social responsibility	
	constructs on brand equity constructs through attitude	
	as a mediator variable	