



IMPROVING NATURAL VENTILATION DESIGN FOR SPACES WITH SINGLE-SIDED VENTILATION USING COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS

By

Reem Samy El Haddad

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In
ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT

2016





IMPROVING NATURAL VENTILATION DESIGN FOR SPACES WITH SINGLE-SIDED VENTILATION USING COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS

By Reem Samy El Haddad

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY In ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Reda Abdin

Prof. Ayman Hassan Ahmed

Professor of architecture Department of architecture Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Professor of architecture Department of architecture

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2016

Engineer: Reem Samy Abd Elaal El Haddad

Date of Birth: 27/6 / 1979
Nationality: Egyptain

E-mail: arch_reemhaddad@yahoo.com

Phone: 0227254173

Address: 30 Ebad Elrahman behind shooting club, Ring road, Mokatam.

Registration Date: 1 / 10 / 2013 Awarding Date: / / 2016

Degree: DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
Department: ARCHITECTURAL ENGINEERI



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Reda Abdin (Professor of Architecture & Environmental Design, Department of Architecture –Cairo University)

Prof. Dr.Dr. Ayman Hassan Ahmed (Professor of Architecture & Environmental Design, Department of Architecture –Cairo University)

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Reda Abdin (Professor of Architecture & Environmental Design, Department of Architecture –Cairo University)

Prof. Dr.Dr. Ayman Hassan Ahmed (Professor of Architecture & Environmental Design, Department of Architecture –Cairo University)

Prof .Dr. Ahmed Ahmed Fikry (Professor of Architecture &Environmental Design, Department of Architecture −Cairo University)□

Prof. Dr. Morad Abd El Kader Professor of Architecture & Environmental Design, Department of Architecture –Ain Shams University

Title of Thesis:

IMPROVING NATURAL VENTILATION DESIGN FOR SPACES WITH singlesided ventilation USING Computational fluid Dynamics

Key Words:

Natural ventilation, CFD, Passive techniques, Wing-Wall.

Summary:

The research developed into three parts. The first part is a critical literature review which includes the physical science of natural ventilation, its strategic design as well as the design measures that control air flow. The literature reviews also include the computational fluid dynamic physical science and its uses in natural ventilation improving and designing.

In the second part the natural ventilation performance in the case study was quantitatively evaluated through conducting field objective. In this evaluation study the airflow of the case study was measured, monitored and simulated on CFD program respectively

The third part is consisted of three stages. The first stage is modeling the test unit, and the second one is setting up the variables, such as the openings and wing – wall positions, lengths, inclined angle and shape. Finally, the third stage is testing the variables using CFD to reach the optimum windows and wing-wall position and length to induce the air inside the unit and raise the air velocity to meet ASHRAE ventilation requirements which is 0.2 m/s.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my main advisor Prof. **AHMED ABDIN** for the continuous support of my Ph. D study and related research, for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

Deep respect and gratitude go to Prof. **AYMAN HASSAN**, for his valuable instructions, and immense knowledge. Without his precious support it would not be possible to conduct this research.

Enormous thanks must go to my family and friends who support me during this hard stage of my life.

Table of contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS	II
LIST OF TABLES.	III
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
ABSTRACT	XII
CHAPTER ONE: RESEARCH OUT LIN E AND SCIENTEFIC	
BACKGROUND	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 problem formulation.	2
1.2.1 Problem context	2
1.2.1 Pilot study	2
1.3 Study area	3
1.4 Objectives	3
1.5 Hypotheses	3
1.6 Limitations	4
1.7 Scientific contribution	4
1.8 Research methodology	4
1.9 Methodology overview.	6
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW: NATUREL VENTILATION	
CONCEPT AND STRATEGIES	
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 ventilation	7
2.3 Driving force in natural ventilation	9
2.3.1 Wind	9
2.3.2 Thermal buoyancy	10
2.3.3combination of wind and thermal buoyancy force	10
2.4 Natural ventilation and thermal comfort.	11
2.5 passive techniques provide natural ventilation	12
2.5.1 Wind force passive techniques	12
2.5.2 Wind pressure difference passive techniques	15
2.5.3 Solar effect passive techniques	16
Summary	20
CHAPTER THREE: LITERATURE REVIEW: CFD AS AN ANALYSIS,	
DESIGN, TEST TOOL FOR NATURAL VENTILATION	
3.1 Introduction	21
3.2 computational fluid dynamic	21
3.2.1 CFD concept.	22
3.2.2 CFD vs. Experimental.	22
3.2.3 Steps to setup simulation.	23
3.3 Computational fluid dynamics and architecture	23
3.3.1 Macro level.	23

3.3.2 Intermediate level	
3.3.3 Micro level	
Summary	
CHAPTER FOUR: ASSESSMENT OF NATURAL VE	
INSIDE THE STUDY MODEL	
4.1 Introduction	
4.2 Research geographical context	
4.3 Research climatic context	
4.4 Summer wind analysis in Cairo	
4.5 Study model selecting criteria	
4.6 Study model description.	
4.7 Study model analysis	
4.7.1Site and location	
4.6.2 Master plan	
4.7.3 Landscape	
4.7.4 Blocks	
4.7.5 The blocks internal design	
4.8 Study model assessment methodology	
4.9 Measurement methodology	
4.10 Model studies measurements	
4.10.1 First study model	
4.10.1.1 First study model measurement	
4.10.1.2 Results and discussion	
4.10.2 Second study model	
4.10.2.1 Second study model measurement	
4.10.2.2 Results and discussion	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
5.10.3 Third study model	
4.10.3.1 Third study model measurement	
4.10.3.2 Results and discussion	
4.10.4 Fourth study model	
4.10.4.1 Fourth study model measurement	
4.10.4.1 Pourth study model measurement	
4.10.4 Measurements process results	
4.11 CFD methodology	
4.11.1 First study model simulation	
4.11.2 Second study model simulation	
4.11.3 Third study model simulation	
4.11.4 Fourth study model simulation	
4.11.5 CFD results	
Summary	
CHPTER FIVE: IMPROVING NATURAL VENTILAT STUDY MODEL	
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Choosing the passive technique	
5.3 Reasons for choosing Wing-wall.	
5.4 Improving detailed methodology	
5.5 Unit modeling.	
5.5.1 Unit Description.	
5.5.2 Cell type	
v v v cen onemanon	

5.6 Variable setting
5.7 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern in the cases of difference in
Wing-wall positions and its projection is 0.4cm
5.7.1 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when inlet is in UW
and its projection is 0.4m.
5.7.1.1 Air velocity studies (Inlet is in UW position)
5.7.1.2 Air velocity vectors when inlet is in upper and its projection
is 0.4
5.7.1.3 Graphical comparison of airflow when inlet is in UW and
its projection is 0.4 m.
5.7.1.4 Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in upper and
its projection is 0.4 m
5.7.1.5 Results
5.7.2 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when inlet is in center
and its projection is 0.4m
5.7.2.1 Air velocity studies (Inlet is in center position)
5.7.2.2 Air velocity vectors when inlet is in center and its projection
is 0.4
5.7.2.3 Graphical comparison of airflow when inlet is in center and
its projection is 0.4 m
5.7.2.4 Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in center and
its projection is 0.4 m
5.7.2.5 Results
5.7.3 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when inlet is in DW
and its projection is 0.4m
5.7.3.1 Air velocity studies (Inlet is in DW position)
5.7.3.2 Air velocity vectors when inlet is in DW and its projection is
0.4
5.7.3.3 Graphical comparison of airflow when inlet is in DW and
its projection is 0.4 m
5.7.3.4 Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in DW and
its projection is 0.4 m
5.7.3.5 Results
5.8 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern in the cases of difference in
Wing-wall positions and its projection is 0.8cm
5.8.1 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when inlet is in UW
and its projection is 0.8m
5.8.1.1 Air velocity studies (Inlet is in UW position)
5.8.1.2 Air velocity vectors when inlet is in upper and its projection
is 0.8
5.8.1.3 Graphical comparison of airflow when inlet is in UW and
its projection is 0.8 m
5.8.1.4 Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in upper and
its projection is 0.8 m
5.8.1.5 Results
5.8.2 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when inlet is in center
and its projection is 0.8m
5.8.2.1 Air velocity studies (Inlet is in center position)
5.8.2.2 Air velocity studies (finet is in center position)
is 0.8
10 U.U

5.8.2.3 Graphical comparison of airflow when inlet is in center and
its projection is 0.8 m
5.8.2.4 Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in center and its projection is 0.8 m
5.8.2.5 Results
5.8.3 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when inlet is in DW
and its projection is 0.8m.
5.8.3.1 Air velocity studies (Inlet is in DW position)
5.8.3.2 Air velocity vectors when inlet is in DW and its projection is 0.8
5.8.3.3 Graphical comparison of airflow when inlet is in DW and
its projection is 0.8 m.
5.8.3.4 Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in DW and
its projection is 0.8 m.
5.8.3.5 Results.
5.9 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern in the cases of difference in Wing-wall positions and its projection is 1.2m
5.9.1 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when inlet is in UW
and its projection is 1.2m
5.9.1.1 Air velocity studies (Inlet is in UW position)
5.9.1.2 Air velocity studies (linet is in 0 w position)
is 1.2m
5.9.1.3 Graphical comparison of airflow when inlet is in UW and
its projection is 1.2m
5.9.1.4 Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in upper and
its projection is 1.2m.
5.9.1.5 Results
5.9.2 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when inlet is in center
and its projection is 1.2m
5.9.2.1 Air velocity studies (Inlet is in center position)
5.9.2.2 Air velocity vectors when inlet is in center and its projection
is 1.2m
5.9.2.3 Graphical comparison of airflow when inlet is in center and
its projection is 1.2 m.
5.9.2.4 Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in center and
its projection is 1.2 m
5.9.2.5 Results
5.9.3 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when inlet is in DW
and its projection is 1.2m
5.9.3.1 Air velocity studies (Inlet is in DW position)
5.9.3.2 Air velocity vectors when inlet is in DW and its projection is 1.2m.
5.9.3.3 Graphical comparison of airflow when inlet is in DW and
its projection is 1.2 m
5.9.3.4 Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in DW and
its projection is 1.2 m
5.9.3.5 Results
5-10 Results and discussion (Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern in
the cases of difference in wing- wall projection and position)
5.11 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern in the cases of difference in

Wing-wall angels
5.11.1 Wing- wall angles 30, 45, and 60
5.11.1.1 Air velocity studies
5.11.3.2 Investigation of the airflow pattern in the cases of
difference in Wing-wall angles
5.11.3.3 Graphical comparison of airflow velocity in the cases of
difference in Wing-wall angles
5.11.3.4 Numerical comparison of airflow pattern in the cases of
difference in Wing-wall angles
5.11.3.5 Results
5.12 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern in the cases of difference
in wing- wall inclination angles.
5.13 Investigation of the airflow investigation for velocity and pattern in the
cases of difference in Wing-wall shapes
5.13.1 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when inlet is in UW
5.13.1.1 Air velocity studies
5.13.3.2 Investigation of the airflow pattern in the cases of
difference in Wing-wall shapes
5.13.3.3 Graphical comparison of airflow velocity in the cases of
difference in Wing-wall shapes
5.13.3.4 Numerical comparison of airflow pattern in the cases of
difference in Wing-wall shapes
5.13.3.5 Results.
5.14 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern in the cases of difference
in Wing-wall in inlets shapes and outlet shapes
5.14.1 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when inlet is in UW
5.14.1.1 Air velocity studies
5.14.1.2 Investigation of the airflow pattern in the cases of
difference in Wing-wall shapes
5.14.1.3 Graphical comparison of airflow velocity in the cases of
difference in Wing-wall positions
5.14.1.4 Numerical comparison of airflow pattern in the cases of
difference in Wing-wall positions
5.14.1.5 Results
5.15 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern in the cases of
differences in inlet and outlet shape
5.16. Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when the wind is oblique
to the window 45°
5.16.1 Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when the wind is
oblique to the window 45°
5.16.1.1 Air velocity studies.
5.16.1.2 Investigation of the airflow velocity and pattern when the wind
is oblique to the window 45°
5.16.1.3 Graphical comparison of airflow when the wind is oblique to the
window 45°
5.16.1.4 Numerical comparison of airflow when the wind is oblique to the window 45°
5.16.1.5 Results
5.17. Investigation of airflow velocity and pattern when the wind is oblique
to the window 45°

5.16. General results and discussion.	121
Conclusion	127
CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION, AND	
FURTHER WORK	
6.1 Introduction.	128
6.2 Theoretical part	128
6.3 Analytical part	129
6.4 Practical part	130
6.5 Recommendation	131
6.5 Further studies.	132
PREFERENCES	133

List of tables

CHAPTER THREE: LITERATURE REVIEW: NATURAL VENTU A TION AND COMPLITATIONAL ELLID DYNAMIC	
VENTILATION AND COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMIC	22
Table 3.1 CFD vs. Experimental	22
CHAPTER FOUR: ASSESSMENT OF NATURAL VENTILATION INSIDE THE STUDY MODEL	
Table 5-1 first case study	42
Table 5-2 Second case study	45
Table 5-3 Third case study	48
Table 5-4 Fourth case study	51
Table (5-5) case studies analysis	58
CHPTER FIVE: IMPROVING NATURAL VENTILATION IN THE	
STUDY MODEL	(2
Table (5-1) positions of wing-wall in the unit	
Table (5-2) Air velocity studies (Inlet is in UW position)	. 64
Table (5-3) Air velocity vectors when inlet is in upper and its length is 0.4	65
Table (5-4) Graphic comparison of airflow when inlet is in UW	
and its length is 0.4 m	66
Table (5-5) Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in	
UW and its length is 0.4 m	. 67
Table (5-6) Air velocity studies (Inlet is in center position)	
Table (5-7) Air velocity vectors when inlet is in center and its	
length is 0.4	69
Table (5-8) Graphic comparison of airflow when inlet is in	
center and its length is 0.4 m	70
Table (5-9) Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in	
center and its length is 0.4 m	71
Table (5-10) Air velocity studies (Inlet is in DW position)	72
Table (5-11)Air velocity vectors when inlet is in DW and its	
length is 0.4.	73
Table (5-12) Graphic comparison of airflow when inlet is in DW	7
and its length is 0.4 m	74
Table (5-13) Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in	
DW and its length is 0.4 m	75
Table (5-14) Air velocity studies (Inlet is in UW position)	76
Table (5-15) Air velocity vectors when inlet is in upper and its	
length is 0.8	. 77
Table (5-16) Graphic comparison of airflow when inlet is in	
UW and its length is 0.8 m	78
Table (5-17) Numerical comparison of airflow when inlet is in	. •